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REOPENING THE EMMETT TILL CASE:
LESSONS AND CHALLENGES FOR CRITICAL
RACE PRACTICE

Margaret M. Russell*

INTRODUCTION

Oh, what sorrow,
Pity, pain,
That tears and blood
Should mix like rain
In Mississippi!
And terror, fetid hot,
Yet clammy cold
Remain.¹

On May 10, 2004, the United States Department of Justice and the
Mississippi District Attorney’s Office for the Fourth District
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¹ Langston Hughes, Mississippi—1955 (1955), reprinted in The Lynching of
[hereinafter The Lynching of Emmett Till]; see also Christopher Metress, Langston
Hughes’s “Mississippi—1955”: A Note on Revisions and an Appeal for
Reconsideration, 37 Afr.-Am. Rev. 139 (2003). A slightly different version of
Hughes's poem was first published less than a month after Till's murder as part of
Hughes's weekly column in the Chicago Defender, a leading African-American
newspaper. Hughes’s column called for a Congressional investigation into Till's
murder and criticized Congress for neglecting to investigate other Southern lynchings.
See Langston Hughes, Editorial, Langston Hughes Wonders Why No Lynching
further examples of the enormous, and continuing, impact of the murder on
American literature and culture, see infra note 20 and accompanying text, and see
also generally The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra.
announced the opening of a new investigation into the 1955 murder of Emmett Till. This internationally known case involved a fourteen year old African-American boy from Chicago who was visiting relatives in Mississippi when he was abducted from his bed at gunpoint in the middle of the night. Three days later, a boy on a fishing trip in the Tallahatchie River found his corpse—battered, mutilated, shot, and weighted down with a seventy-five pound cotton gin fan. Based on eyewitness testimony about Till’s abduction and an identification of his body by his uncle and mother, Tallahatchie County tried two local white men, Roy Bryant and J.W. Milam, for the murder. After five days of trial, a jury of twelve white men deliberated for sixty-seven minutes and voted to acquit. Shortly thereafter, the freed Bryant and Milam sold their “confession” to the murder, in the form of a detailed, gloating testimonial, to Look magazine for $4000.


The killers' admission, published only five months after the slaying, was generally consistent with the theory presented at trial: that they had murdered Emmett Till for flirting with Bryant's wife, Carolyn, as she worked at the Bryants' convenience store in Money, Mississippi. Roy Bryant had been out of town at the time, but when he returned and heard the story, he enlisted his half-brother Milam to exact revenge. In their admission, Bryant and Milam said that their initial intention in kidnapping Till was to "just whip him... and scare some sense into him." However, Till's apparent fearlessness, even after severe beatings, irked the men into going further. Milam explained that:

Well, what else could we do? He was hopeless. I'm no bully; I never hurt a nigger in my life. I like niggers—in their place—I know how to work 'em. But I just decided it was time a few people got put on notice. As long as I live and I can do anything about it, niggers are gonna stay in their place. Niggers ain't gonna vote where I live. If they did, they'd control the government. They ain't gonna go to school with my kids. And when a nigger even gets close to mentioning sex with a white woman, he's tired o' livin'. I'm likely to kill him. Me and my folks fought for this country, and we've got some rights. I stood there in that shed and listened to that nigger throw that poison at me, and I just made up my mind. "Chicago boy," I said, "I'm tired of 'em sending your kind down here to stir up trouble. Goddam you, I'm going to make an example of you—just so everybody can know how me and my folks stand."

According to Milam and Bryant, they then drove Till to a steep bank of the Tallahatchie River, ordered him to strip, shot him in the head, barb-wired the gin fan to his neck, and rolled him into twenty feet of water.

Given the clear-cut finality of the acquittal and post-acquittal admission, why would federal and state prosecutors decide to reopen the case nearly fifty years later? Bryant and Milam are long gone; their culpability is not in question. What motivates the prosecutors' decision that something new can and should be accomplished? The answers to these questions are both simple and complex. On a conventional, legalistic level, the prosecutorial decision to reopen is based on newly discovered evidence of additional eyewitnesses and living potential defendants. Two filmmakers, Stanley Nelson and

5. Id. at 239.
6. Id. at 239-40.
8. In his work on the ethical exercise of prosecutorial discretion in cases of racial violence, Anthony Alfieri categorizes this type of reopening as the "easy," evidence-
Keith Beauchamp, separately identified new eyewitnesses while making their respective documentaries about the Till case. Beauchamp’s investigative efforts over a nine-year period proved particularly salient in locating individuals whose recollections suggest the involvement of several additional observers or participants; he noted that, at a certain point, “I realized that I wasn’t doing interviews—I was taking depositions.” Beauchamp’s evidence proved to be the ultimate catalyst for the decision to reopen.

A more complex set of reasons for the reopening stems from the emblematic significance of the case itself. In announcing the involvement of federal prosecutors, Assistant Attorney General R. Alexander Acosta noted that “[t]he murder of Emmett Till stands at the crossroads of the American civil rights movement,” and was a “brutal murder and grotesque miscarriage of justice [that] moved this nation.” He observed that the Till case helped “launch[] the modern [American] civil rights movement.” At the end of his statement, Acosta concluded that “[w]e owe it to Emmett Till, we owe it to his mother and to his family, and we owe it to ourselves to see if, after all these years, any additional measure of justice is still possible.”


10. Beauchamp’s slightly longer documentary, The Untold Story of Emmett Louis Till, was screened as a “work-in-progress” for several years beginning in 2001 in connection with Beauchamp’s advocacy efforts to reopen the case; it is now complete. See The Untold Story of Emmett Louis Till, at http://www.emmetttillstory.com/index2.html (last visited Feb. 14, 2005) (website of Beauchamp’s Till Freedom Come Productions with details about the documentary and reopening advocacy efforts).


14. Id.

15. Id.
While some have questioned the timing and motivations of the Justice Department’s proclamation,\textsuperscript{16} there is no disagreement with its assessment of the historic consequence of the death of Emmett Till. Till’s murder is one of the most infamous acts of racial violence in the history of the United States; it arose from events “that would forever change the way we talk about race in America.”\textsuperscript{17} His death and the ensuing trial attracted worldwide attention and outrage. His funeral drew mourners in numbers estimated to range from ten thousand to one hundred thousand,\textsuperscript{18} and his mother’s memorable insistence on an open-casket viewing resulted in widespread circulation of an unforgettable \textit{Jet} magazine photo of his pulverized face.\textsuperscript{19} A generation has grown up with the tragic image of Emmett Till’s defaced corpse etched in its memory. That image and its racial meaning have engendered countless works in politics, history, journalism, and the arts from the 1950s to the present.\textsuperscript{20} Till’s mother, Mamie Till-Mobley, aided by scores of civil rights leaders, politicians,

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{17} The Lynching of Emmett Till, \textit{supra} note 1, at xiii.
  \item \textsuperscript{18} \textit{Id.} at 31.
  \item \textsuperscript{19} See Hendrickson, \textit{supra} note 3, at 6.
\end{itemize}
and artists, devoted the rest of her life to preserving her child’s legacy through public education and lobbying to reopen the case.\footnote{21} By the time that those efforts finally proved fruitful, the case of Emmett Till had become a symbol not only of this nation’s history of brutality against African-Americans, but also of the inadequacies of the American legal system in redressing past racial injustices.

Using the Justice Department’s language as a starting point, it is useful to ask just what “additional measure of justice” is possible after so many years.\footnote{22} What notions of “justice” could be fulfilled today to compensate for such a grievous wrong? Could any outcome be meaningful in light of the passage of time?\footnote{23} Even, and perhaps especially, among the community that most ardently supported the reopening, there is a bittersweet sense of accomplishment. On the one hand, the decision is seen as a long-delayed opportunity for truth, justice, and closure. This viewpoint is reflected in the optimistic comments of public figures who urged reopening: “It is never too late for justice.”\footnote{24} “The sun of justice is shining bright.”\footnote{25} “I think that the time is always ripe to do right.”\footnote{26} “[N]ow, almost fifty years later, we have a chance to have justice done for Emmett Till.”\footnote{27} Others reacted to the reopening with more sobering, doubtful assessments: “Justice this delayed is justice denied. Fifty years later is an injustice.”\footnote{28} “[J]ustice unreasonably delayed is most likely justice miscarried.”\footnote{29} This spectrum of reactions reflects a common ambivalence in movements to revive long-dormant racial justice claims.\footnote{30} Aspirations

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\footnote{22}{Acosta Briefing, \textit{supra} note 2.}
\footnote{24}{Emmett Till: Blacks React to Reopening of Tragic Case, \textit{supra} note 21, at 8 (quoting U.S. Congressman Bobby L. Rush).}
\footnote{25}{\textit{Id.} at 61 (quoting Alvin Sykes, president of the Emmett Till Justice Campaign, Inc.).}
\footnote{26}{\textit{Id.} (quoting television and radio talk show host Tavis Smiley).}
\footnote{27}{\textit{Id.} at 10 (quoting U.S. Congressman Charles Rangel).}
\footnote{28}{\textit{Id.} at 8 (quoting the Reverend Jesse Jackson).}
\footnote{29}{Williams, \textit{supra} note 16.}
\footnote{30}{For a consideration of typical objections to reviving such claims, see Martha Minow, Foreword, \textit{Why Retry? Reviving Dormant Racial Justice Claims}, 101 Mich. L. Rev. 1133 (2003).}
to repair the past must always be tempered by the knowledge that deep memories prevail and that some injuries are irreparable.\textsuperscript{31} In revisiting past racial injustices, it is important to remember that even the “cleansing moments” of new trials and convictions cannot wash away the residue of the past.\textsuperscript{32}

This pragmatic tension also lies at the heart of critical race theory, the literature of which reflects a multivalent set of dichotomies: racial optimism versus racial realism; idealism versus materialism; and racial healing versus sheer survival strategies.\textsuperscript{33} Much of critical race theory literature aims to acknowledge and dissect the ostensible contradictions of a liberation movement for social justice through law. Throughout critical race scholarship, one can discern modes of inquiry that are simultaneously skeptical and hopeful, practical and theoretical, deconstructionist and ultimately reconstructionist.\textsuperscript{34} A central goal is the development of different contexts and methodologies to reopen and revive questions of race. In a sense, the reopening and reconsideration of long-dormant cases such as Emmett Till’s can serve as a paradigm for the re-examination of broader questions that lie at the heart of critical race theory and practice.

This Symposium marks a rare and noteworthy opportunity to explore the collaborative possibilities between critical race theory and lawyering practice: critical race lawyering, or, critical race practice. Eric Yamamoto uses the terms “race praxis” and “critical race praxis”\textsuperscript{35} to discuss the methods by which the concepts of critical race

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item For discussions of the impact of war crimes and other state atrocities on the possibilities for forgiveness and repair, see generally Priscilla B. Hayner, Unspeakable Truths: Confronting State Terror and Atrocity (2001); Aryeh Neier, War Crimes: Brutality, Genocide, Terror, and the Struggle for Justice (1998); and Steven R. Ratner & Jason S. Abrams, Accountability for Human Rights Atrocities in International Law: Beyond the Nuremberg Legacy (2d ed. 2001).
\item When white supremacist Byron de la Beckwith was finally convicted in 1994 of the 1964 murder of civil rights leader Medgar Evers, Evers’ widow Myrlie Evers referred to the successful reprosecution as a “cleansing moment.” Ed Vulliamy, Deep South Confronts Murderous Past, Observer (London), Nov. 14, 1999, at 26; see also Margaret M. Russell, Cleansing Moments and Retrospective Justice, 101 Mich. L. Rev. 1225, 1226-27 (2003).
\item Now in its third decade, the literature of critical race theory is both voluminous and eclectic. See, e.g., Critical Race Feminism: A Reader (Adrien Katherine Wing ed., 1997); Critical Race Theory: An Introduction (Richard Delgado & Jean Stefancic eds., 2001); Critical Race Theory: The Cutting Edge (Richard Delgado ed., 1995); Critical Race Theory: The Key Writings that Formed the Movement (Kimberlé Crenshaw et al. eds., 1995); Crossroads, Directions, and a New Critical Race Theory (Francisco Valdes et al. eds., 2002).
\item For discussions of these characteristics of critical race theory, see generally Rhonda V. Magee Andrews, The Third Reconstruction: An Alternative to Race Consciousness and Colorblindness in Post-Slavery America, 54 Ala. L. Rev. 483 (2003); Angela P. Harris, Foreword, The Jurisprudence of Reconstruction, 82 Cal. L. Rev. 741 (1994); and Mari J. Matsuda, Voices of America: Accent, Antidiscrimination Law, and a Jurisprudence for the Last Reconstruction, 100 Yale L. J. 1329 (1991).
\item In his book, Interracial Justice, Eric Yamamoto proposed the following working definition of critical race lawyering or “race praxis”:
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
theory are tested in practice. We can also use these terms to describe
the array of presentations at this Symposium; the goals that animate
the many educators, students, activists, and policymakers also in
attendance; and the vision captured in Gerald López's eloquent
keynote remarks about his own community-based practice in New
York. 36 Critical race practice is very much a work-in-progress. It
encompasses foundational work in legal, political, and educational
theory, as well as diverse strategies in organizing and lawyering for
social change. 37 It pushes critical race theorists to keep in mind the
material conditions of clients and communities; it urges practitioners
to contemplate the theoretical presumptions and possibilities of their
approaches to problem solving. 38 The growing literature of critical
race practice focuses on the ways in which race fundamentally affects
the practice, formulation, interpretation, and application of law. Its
ultimate goal is to provide a series of strategies to achieve social
justice through the analysis and debunking of racist myths and
practices. 39

As part of the symposium panel on "Re-Trying Racial Injustices," I
devote this Essay to an expansion of themes addressed in my earlier
work on the reopening of civil rights era prosecutions. 40 I draw upon
this work, as well as upon the insights of my co-panelists Anthony
Alfieri and Sherrilyn Ifill, 41 to examine the reopening of the Emmett

---

Race praxis combines critical pragmatic analysis with political lawyering
and community organizing to practice justice by and for racialized
communities. Its central idea is racial justice as antisubordination
practice. 36

Race praxis provides structure to justice practice. It means understanding
justice in terms of both process (experience-rethinking-translation-
engagement) and norms (first principles of antisubordination and
rectification of injustice).

Eric K. Yamamoto, Interracial Justice: Conflict and Reconciliation in Post-Civil
Rights America 129 (1999).

2041 (2005).

37. For examples of the latter, see Vanita Gupta, Critical Race Lawyering in Tulia,

38. Eric Yamamoto refers to this dynamic as "reflective action": infusing
antiracism practice with aspects of critical inquiry and pragmatism and then recasting

39. Few works in the field of critical race theory comprehensively bridge the
divide between theory and lawyering practice. Prominent among the few are Derrick
A. Bell's pioneering casebook, Race, Racism and American Law (5th ed. 2004),
originally published in 1973, and Race and Races: Cases and Resources for a Diverse
America (Juan F. Perea et al. eds., 2000).

40. See Russell, supra note 32; see also Alfieri, supra note 8, at 1159-66 (discussing
the reprosecution of criminal and civil rights actions from the 1950s and 1960s).

41. See Anthony V. Alfieri, Transcript of Remarks, Panel III: Re-Trying Racial
Injustices, Critical Race Lawyering Symposium, Fordham University School of Law
(Nov. 5, 2004) (on file with author); Sherrilyn Ifill, Transcript of Remarks, Panel III:
Re-Trying Racial Injustices, Critical Race Lawyering Symposium, Fordham
University School of Law (Nov. 5, 2004) (on file with author).
Till case and its critical race practice possibilities. In an earlier essay, I posited that the successful reopening of cases provided catalytic "cleansing moments" that perhaps led to deeper truths:

[T]he concept of reopening cases to come to terms with the past appears not anachronistic and irrelevant, but compelling and promising. Imperatives of legal accountability—combined with moral concerns of healing, truth, and reconciliation—drive us to consider whether coming to terms with America's racial past may provide the key to a just future.  

In this Essay, I consider other aspects of these "cleansing moments." Are they illusory? Do they provide a misleading sense of closure at the expense of the ongoing hard work of racial justice that leads up to—and must proceed from—those moments? What lessons or "teaching moments" might these cases create for critical race lawyers in their ongoing social justice work? In notable respects, the impetus to reopen long-dormant cases shares with critical legal theory a justified skepticism of the construct of finality and an idealistic vision of the possibilities for ultimate justice. Procedural and substantive bulwarks of finality may be necessary in a legalistic sense, but they do not signify closure or justice, particularly when structural inequality persists. Reopening, with its promise of restorative justice through racial healing and reconciliation, has the potential to provide the closure that mere finality lacks, but only if that restorative justice is authentic and far-reaching.

This Essay proceeds to address the above concerns as follows. In Part I, I discuss the Emmett Till case in greater detail, with brief contextual reference to two historical eras that frame it chronologically and thematically: lynching in the late nineteenth to mid-twentieth centuries, and the civil rights movement of the mid-to-late 1950s. In Part II, I focus on the significance of the 2004 Till case reopening and lessons that it may offer for critical race practice. These lessons dovetail with recurrent questions in the literature of critical race theory and offer suggestions for fostering the integration of theory and practice (race praxis). Finally, I conclude that the Till case and other similar reopenings will yield transcendent meaning.

42. Russell, supra note 32, at 1268.
43. This Essay is part of a larger research project concerning the reopening of dormant racial justice claims. The project examines additional legal and ethical questions beyond the scope of this Essay, including concerns about: due process; use of legal resources; and the efficacy of reprosecutions versus other legal and extralegal restorative justice remedies.
44. In January 2005, a Mississippi grand jury indicted Edgar Ray Killen, a former Ku Klux Klan leader and an ordained Baptist minister, for the 1964 murders of civil rights workers James Chaney, Michael Schwerner, and Andrew Goodman. Manuel Roig-Franzia, 40 Years On, Murder Charges Filed, Wash. Post, Jan. 8, 2005, at A7. The State of Mississippi had never before sought a murder prosecution in the case; in 1967, a federal civil rights conspiracy trial resulted in the convictions of seven
and "closure" only if a self-reflective approach propels them past the transitory "cleansing moments" toward a deeper commitment to restorative justice.

I. EMMETT TILL IN CONTEXT

A. Lynching

The things that influenced my conduct as a Negro did not have to happen to me directly; I needed but to hear of them to feel their full effects in the deepest layers of my consciousness. Indeed, the white brutality that I had not seen was a more effective control of my behavior than that which I knew.45

In order to understand the resonance of the Emmett Till case in American law and letters, it is useful to see it in the context of the history of lynching.46 The term "lynch law" originated during the Revolutionary War to describe Colonel Charles Lynch's use of extralegal means to deal with Tory enemies and criminal elements; since then, the definition of the term has evolved numerous times, always retaining its core connotations of social control through vigilantism, mob violence, and summary punishment.47 After the Civil War, these mechanisms merged inextricably with the long-standing history of violence against slaves, resulting in a common definition of lynching as a specifically anti-black ritual of terror.48 During Reconstruction, the lynching of blacks in the South increased individuals, the acquittal of eight, and hung jury verdicts on three (including Killen). For a discussion of the Chaney/Schwerner/Goodman murders, see Russell, supra note 32, at 1241-45.


47. See Robert L. Zangrando, About Lynching, in The Reader's Companion to American History 684-86 (Eric Foner & John A. Garraty eds., 1991). In recent years, the term "high-tech lynching" was employed by then-U.S. Supreme Court nominee Clarence Thomas to suggest that he was unfairly subjected to allegations of sexual harassment as an attempt to punish him for his conservative views. For a critique of this use of the term "lynching," see Anita Hill, Speaking Truth to Power 201-03 (1997).

dramatically as whites retaliated against blacks’ attainment of economic, social, and political power. As my co-panelist Sherrilyn Ifill has written, the practice of Reconstruction and post-Reconstruction lynching functioned as an attack on black citizenship, reinforced by the U.S. Supreme Court’s narrow interpretation of Congressional authority to enact legislation to protect the constitutional rights of newly freed blacks. African-American journalist Ida B. Wells-Barnett, a key force behind the anti-lynching movement of the 1890s, noted that lynching linked the economic and political subordination of blacks with the reinforcement of the sexual taboo against relations between black men and white women.

Lynching and the threat of lynching emerged as tools of terror to maintain racial and sexual hierarchies. By the turn of the century, lynching was so prevalent that Mark Twain coined the phrase “The United States of Lyncherdom” to describe its commonality.

Many lynchings were never recorded or reported. Between 1882 (when reliable records were first collected) and 1968, however, an estimated 4743 persons were victims of lynching; 3446 of these were black men and women. With 539 black and 42 white victims, Mississippi had the largest recorded number, followed by Georgia (492 blacks, 39 whites), Texas (352 blacks, 141 whites), Louisiana (335

50. Id. at 276-80.

Our country’s national crime is lynching. It is not the creature of an hour, the sudden outburst of uncontrolled fury, or the unspeakable brutality of an insane mob. It represents the cool, calculating deliberation of intelligent people who openly avow that there is an “unwritten law” that justifies them in putting human beings to death without complaint under oath, without trial by jury, without opportunity to make defense, and without right of appeal.

Id.
52. In The United States of Lyncherdom, Twain wrote:

[Picture the scene in their minds, and soberly ponder it; then multiply it by 115, add 88; place the 203 in a row, allowing 600 feet of space for each human torch, so that there may be viewing room around it for 5,000 Christian American men, women, and children, youths and maidens; make it night, for grim effect; have the show in a gradually rising plain, and let the course of the stakes be uphill; the eye can then take in the whole line of twenty-four miles of blood and flesh bonfires unbroken....

53. Zangrando, supra note 47, at 685.
blacks, 56 whites), and Alabama (299 blacks, 48 whites). Lynchings were often public events, supported by prominent community members and advertised in newspapers. Sometimes people brought their children to observe. With the advent of cameras, many lynching “parties” resulted in macabre photographs and postcards of people who posed with corpses and body parts as “souvenirs.” In many instances, lynching occurred with the acquiescence and even endorsement of government actors such as elected officials, sheriffs, prosecutors, and judges.

The history of lynching is relevant to an understanding of the Till case in several respects. First, the supremacist fanaticism underlying lynching explains in part why Till’s few moments of interaction with a white woman in a grocery store would provoke such outrage and vicious retaliation. In the eyes of Bryant and Milam, Till’s behavior was not just rude or crude; as Milam complained, it was “poison,” a threat to their “rights.” To his killers, Till, a “Chicago boy,” had not learned the requisite lessons of fearfulness and submission; he represented to them exactly the kind of menace historically targeted by lynch mobs. Although the recorded number of lynchings in Mississippi and other Southern states had declined by the mid-twentieth century, a pervasive threat of lynching continued to operate as a form of interracial social control. As noted by Richard Wright and other artists of the early twentieth century, lynching was still deeply intertwined with the fabric of Southern life. Milam and Bryant proved that lynchings still could occur as revenge for nearly any act that threatened white authority. In the history of lynching, the brutality wrought upon Till was shocking and tragic, but hardly unique.

54. Id.
55. Id.; see also James Allen et al., Without Sanctuary: Lynching Photography in America (2000) (collection gathered by Allen in the 1980s and the 1990s from antique shops, flea markets, and private dealers). Many of the images explicitly depict the victims’ bodies and the murderers’ (and spectators’) contentment. The collection, later the basis of a touring exhibit and related events, was a controversial pivot point in the recent resurgence of scholarly and public interest in the history of lynching.
56. Ifill, supra note 49, at 268.
57. Huie, supra note 4, at 238.
58. Id.
60. See, e.g., David Margolick, Strange Fruit: Billie Holiday, Café Society, and an Early Cry for Civil Rights (2000) (detailing the history of Strange Fruit, the famous anti-lynching ballad written by Abel Meeropol (a.k.a. “Lewis Allan”) and made famous by Billie Holiday).
61. As a black resident of rural Georgia recounted, describing his memory of community life in the mid-20th century: “You know there was fear,...” Melissa Fay Greene, Praying for Sheetrock 21 (1991).
Second, the context of lynching history helps to explain why Till's death, as well as his killers' acquittal and subsequent admission, attained such looming significance in the emerging civil rights movement of the 1950s. In certain respects, Till's murder differed from the lynchings discussed above: it was hidden and private rather than an open, public display; it occurred at the hands of Milam and Bryant (and perhaps a few others) rather than in the midst of mob violence; and it was followed by a search for the body and a trial in a court of law, both of which were closely watched by reporters from around the world. Completely consistent with earlier lynching history, however, the killers went free and gloated about their lawlessness. Legal process had made no difference save its creation of a charade, an appearance of legitimacy. This stunning arc of events reinforced the growing belief of many in the civil rights movement that law itself—and not just the flouting of law represented by lynching—was an impediment to racial justice.

Finally, lynching history offers a context in which to consider the meaning and merits of reopening the Emmett Till case now, nearly fifty years later. In proposing a truth and reconciliation commission for lynching, Sherrilyn Ifill seeks to address what she terms a "largely unhealed wound in many American communities," 62 one that affects the lives of perhaps thousands of blacks and whites today. Do similar objectives underlie the decision to reopen the Till case? Can the wound of the original miscarriage of justice be healed, and if so, under what circumstances? These are among the questions addressed below.

B. Emmett Till (1941-1955)

1. The Photographs: "I want the whole world to see what they did to my boy." 63

For most Americans of a certain age (for those born around 1950), the memory of Emmett Till can be distilled into a single, searing image: the photograph of his macerated face and upper torso as he lay in his casket. For African-Americans of that same certain age, the image of his bloated, decomposed body was more than disturbing; it

---

63. See American Experience, The Murder of Emmett Till, Transcript [hereinafter Transcript], at http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/amex/till/filmmore/pt.html (last visited Feb. 14, 2005). This now-famous remark was voiced when Mamie Till insisted that her son's hammered-shut casket be opened and on display at his funeral. Id.; see also Hendrickson, supra note 3, at 9; Mamie Till Bradley & Ethel Payne, Mamie Bradley's Untold Story, Chi. Defender, Apr.-June 1956, in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 227.
was profoundly frightening, even life-changing. The fact that Jet magazine, at Mamie Till’s request, featured the photographs in its September 15, 1955 issue, was enormously significant to African-Americans. Jet, along with Ebony, were the trusted national magazines “of record” for black America. They were black-owned periodicals that took the time to cover matters that mainstream (white) magazines would not: blacks’ achievements and activities in education, entertainment, politics, religion, sports, society, fashion, and the professions. With their glossy, photo-filled pages, they were in some respects a shared family album for the extended family of black middle-class America. In publishing the Till photographs, Jet opened its album to show the world a painful family history.

The State of Mississippi had planned to keep Emmett’s visage hidden. When his casket arrived in Chicago for the funeral, Mamie Till noticed that its lid had been screwed down, padlocked, and marked with a state seal. She insisted that it be opened so that she could examine her son’s body:

I kept on up until I got to his chin and then I—I was forced to deal with his face. I saw that his tongue was choked out. I noticed that the right eye was lying . . . midway [on] his cheek, I noticed that his nose had been broken like somebody took a meat chopper and chopped his nose in several places. As I kept looking, I saw a hole, which I presumed, was a bullet hole and I could look through that

64. African-Americans from all walks of life have described their viewing of the Till photo as a traumatic and transformative event. See, e.g., Wideman, supra note 20, at 288 (“I cannot wish away Emmett Till’s face. The horrific death mask of his erased features marks a place I ignore at my peril. The sight of a grievous wound. A wound unhealed because untended.”); see also 60 Minutes: Justice, Delayed But Not Denied (CBS television broadcast, Oct. 21, 2004) (quoting filmmaker Keith Beauchamp: “After seeing the photograph, it shocked me tremendously and my parents came in and sat me down and explained to me at that time the story of Emmett Till. And it hit me hard. It really hit me hard.”), at http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2004/10/21/60minutes/main650652.shtml. Another account is from Mississippi activist Margaret Block:


65. See NPR Broadcast, supra note 64.


67. Transcript, supra note 63.
hole and see daylight on the other side. And I wondered was it necessary to shoot him?\textsuperscript{68}

Mamie Till's decision to let the world see the brutality wrought upon her son's corpse was a radical act. Whereas white supremacists traditionally used photographs and other public displays of lynching as tools of terror, her insistence that "the whole world see" subversively used a graphic display as a tool of confrontation and resistance.

2. Life and Death: From Chicago, Illinois to Money, Mississippi

Before Emmett Till's life became a symbol of the horrors of racial hatred, it was unconnected to civil rights, white supremacy, or the South itself. Born in Chicago in 1941 to Mamie Carthan and Louis Till, Emmett Louis Till never got to know his father, an Army private who was shipped to Europe in 1943 and died two years later.\textsuperscript{69} In the summer of 1955, Mamie Till, a Chicago civil service employee, planned to take Emmett on a summer vacation to Nebraska to visit relatives. Emmett asked if he could instead join his young cousins in Money, Mississippi. On August 20, Mamie Till put her son on a train from Chicago to Money to stay with his cousins at the home of his great uncle Moses Wright. As a going-away present, she gave Emmett his father's old ring, which was inscribed with his initials "L.T."\textsuperscript{70}

Testimony about exactly what happened between August 24 through August 28 (the end of Emmett’s life) is not available from trial transcripts; for unknown reasons, they no longer exist.\textsuperscript{71} However, facts gathered through contemporaneous documents, the aforementioned documentaries, and other sources piece together the story that follows. On August 24, Emmett and a group of teenagers (seven boys and one girl) ended a day of picking cotton by going to a local convenience store in Money to buy candy, gum, and drinks. Bryant's Grocery and Meat Market was owned by Roy and Carolyn Bryant, a young white couple who lived on the premises with their two

\textsuperscript{68} Id. In The Lynching of Emmett Till, Metress reports a less-cited and even more disturbing detail about the murder; he quotes the separate recollections of two men that the hole in Till's head came not from a bullet, but from the use of a drill bit and brace. Christopher Metress, Introduction to The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 6-7.


\textsuperscript{70} Transcript, supra note 63.

small children. The grocery’s clientele consisted primarily of black sharecroppers and their families; it was not unusual for a group of black children to enter the store.\textsuperscript{72} Wheeler Parker, a cousin who did not testify at trial but who is interviewed extensively in the 2002 Stanley Nelson documentary, recalled that Emmett entered the store to buy bubble gum, and that he talked to and whistled at Carolyn Bryant. Parker recounted:

We all got a-scared and someone said, “She’s going to get a pistol.” That’s when we became afraid. Said, “She’s going to get the car to get a pistol.” And as she went to the car, we all jumped in my uncle’s car. And of course, Emmett Till begged us not to tell my grandfather [Moses Wright] what had took place. And we didn’t. This was on a Wednesday. And we didn’t tell him what had taken place. Ah, so Wednesday went by, Thursday went by, nothin.’ Friday. We forgot about it.\textsuperscript{73}

The Nelson documentary also features an interview with Moses Wright, Emmett’s great uncle, who did testify at trial. He recalled that on Sunday, August 28, at about 2:30 a.m., he heard a voice at the door: “And it said this is Mr. Bryant. And said they wanted the boy that did the talk at Money. And when I opened the door there was a man standing with a pistol in one hand and a flashlight in the other.”\textsuperscript{74} Two men then entered the house and insisted that Wright take them to Emmett. Wright begged the two men to relent, explaining that Emmett was only fourteen and was “from up north.” He said, “[w]hy not give the boy a whipping, and leave it at that?”\textsuperscript{75} The men forced Wright to take them to Emmett; when they found him, they woke him up and told him to put on his clothes. According to Wright, one of the men (whom he identified at trial as J.W. Milam) turned to him and asked, “[h]ow old are you, preacher?” Wright replied, “[s]ixty-four.” Milam said, “[y]ou make any trouble, you’ll never live to be sixty-five.” Wright then recalled: “Near to the car they asked a question, ‘Is this the right one?’ And I heard a voice say, ‘Yes,’ and they drove off toward Money with him.”\textsuperscript{76}

\textsuperscript{72} Transcript, supra note 63.
\textsuperscript{73} Id. The exact nature of what Till said or did while in the store remains unknown. Contemporaneous news accounts of Carolyn Bryant’s trial testimony quote her as saying that Till made “obscene remarks,” touched her, and whistled. See Mrs. Bryant Tells How Northern Negro Grabbed Her, “Wolf Whistled” in Store, Jackson Daily News, Sept. 23, 1955, in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 93-96; Woman in Lynching Case Weaves Fantastic Story, Wash. Afro-Am., Sept. 24, 1955, in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 96-97. However, even the most famous detail—that he allegedly “wolf-whistled” at Carolyn Bryant—has long been in dispute. Some sources posit that Till may have been making a whistling sound to bring his stuttering under control. See, e.g., Rick Bragg, Emmett Till’s Long Shadow, N.Y. Times, Dec. 1, 2002, § 4, at 4.
\textsuperscript{74} Transcript, supra note 63.
\textsuperscript{75} Id.
\textsuperscript{76} Id.; see also Ralph Hutto, Slain Boy’s Uncle Identifies Bryant, Milam on Stand,
Nelson's documentary features interviews with several others with recollections of what happened later in the day on August 28. One witness remembered seeing Milam in his truck and hearing someone yelling inside; another heard beating and crying coming from a barn and saw Milam emerge; a third saw an employee of Milam's washing blood out of Milam's truck. The third witness related: "I said, 'What all that blood come from?' He laughed. The boy laughed. That's what he did. He said, 'There a shoe here. There's one of his shoes here.' I said 'Who!?' That's the way I said it. I say 'Who?' 'Emmett Till's shoe.'"77

That same day, Mamie Till learned of her son's kidnapping from her family in Mississippi. The family contacted the authorities, who began to search for Emmett near riverbanks and bridges—"where black folks always look when something like this happens," said Moses Wright.78 On August 29, Milam and Bryant were arrested and charged with the kidnapping in Greenwood, Mississippi. On August 31, a boy fishing in the Tallahatchie River found a decomposed body caught on a twisted root; it was weighted down with a cotton gin fan and badly disfigured. Moses Wright identified the corpse as Emmett Till based on the initials "L.T." on the boy's ring.79 On September 2, Emmett Till's casket arrived in Chicago to be received by his mother, who insisted that it be opened and displayed at the September 3 funeral. The public funeral drew worldwide attention and thousands of mourners. Emmett Till was buried on September 6, at the end of the summer of his fourteenth year.80

3. Trial and Acquittal: "[I]t was almost like a 4th of July celebration."81

On the day of Emmett Till's burial, a Mississippi grand jury indicted Milam and Bryant for his kidnapping and murder.82 The two men admitted they had taken Till but insisted that they had let him go.83 By the time that the trial began in the small town of Sumner on September 19, more than seventy reporters and thirty photographers were in attendance. Journalist David Halberstam noted that "[t]he murder of Emmett Till and the trial of the two men accused of murdering him became the first great media event of the civil rights..."
Milam and Bryant enjoyed broad local support; every lawyer in the county offered support to their defense team, and local stores raised ten thousand dollars for their legal fees. Contemporaneous accounts describe the courtroom as humid, crowded, and rigidly segregated. All blacks involved in the trial and trial coverage (Mamie Till, journalists, counsel, and a U.S. Congressman) sat at a small card table to the side of the courtroom; every morning, the local sheriff greeted the table by saying, “[g]ood morning, niggers!”

The jury consisted of twelve white men. Outside of the jury’s presence, Carolyn Bryant testified that Emmett Till had entered the store, bought two cents’ worth of bubble gum, made “obscene remarks” to her, and whistled at her. Milam and Bryant did not take the stand. Remarkably, given the intimidating courtroom atmosphere, several blacks testified, including: Mamie Till; Moses Wright; a teenager named Willie Reed; and Reed’s grandfather Ed (Add) Reed. Mamie Till testified that the corpse that she had examined was her son; on cross-examination, the defense attorneys suggested that she and the NAACP were lying as part of a northern conspiracy. In the Nelson documentary, Mamie Till recalled:

“They summed up by saying, “Isn’t it true that you and the NAACP got your heads together and you came down here and with their help, you all dug up a body and you have claimed that body to be your son? Isn’t it true that your son is in Detroit, Michigan with his grandfather right now?”

84. The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 44.
85. Transcript, supra note 63.
86. Id. Other examples abound of the open hostility of the community. In contemporaneous news coverage, one “man on the street” interviewee expressed scorn of Mamie Till: “I can’t understand how a civilized mother could put a dead body of her child on public display.” Another person suspected a “communist front.” Still another joked: “Isn’t that just like a nigger to swim across the Tallahatchie with a gin fan around his neck?” Id.
87. Id.
89. See id. (neglecting to mention testimony from the defendants); Transcript, supra note 63 (same).
90. Transcript, supra note 63. Ed (Add) Reed’s testimony is discussed in materials available online. See The Emmett Till Murder, at http://www.emmetttillmurder.com (last visited Feb. 14, 2005). There is no extant trial transcript; the only copies have vanished, their whereabouts unknown since the early 1960s. The source of the most accurate account of the trial proceedings is probably the unpublished 1963 master’s thesis of Hugh Stephen Whitaker, supra note 3. Whitaker had access to the transcript and quotes directly from it. He also interviewed many key participants. Id.
91. Transcript, supra note 63.
92. Id.
This outlandish strategy laid the foundation for Milam and Bryant’s defense: that the corpse in question was not Till.

The prosecution presented two witnesses who testified that they had seen Milam and/or Bryant with Till on August 28. Willie Reed testified that he had seen Bryant, Milam, and one other white man with Till, and had heard the sounds of a beating coming from Milam’s barn.93 It was rare for a black man at that time to testify against whites. After testifying, Reed quietly slipped out of town to Chicago, where he was hospitalized for a nervous breakdown.94 Moses Wright, Till’s uncle, endured death threats for his role as the key prosecution witness. During his testimony, Wright literally stood up in open court to point his finger in identification of Milam and Bryant as the men who had kidnapped Till from his house. He too had to be smuggled out of the state after his testimony.95

The defense’s summation consisted of openly inflammatory supremacist rhetoric. The lead defense attorney warned that if the jury did not free Milam and Bryant, their “ancestors would turn over in their graves,” and exhorted, “[e]very last Anglo-Saxon one of you . . . has the courage to free these men.”96 After sixty-seven minutes of deliberations, the jury acquitted the men on September 23.97 One juror later commented that the jury had waited that long to “make it look good,” and had paused to drink soda pop before returning with its verdict.98 The jury foreman explained that the State


94. Transcript, supra note 63.

95. Id.

96. Id.; see also Sam Johnson, Jury Hears Defense and Prosecution Arguments As Testimony Ends in Kidnap-Slaying Case, Greenwood Commonwealth (Miss.), Sept. 23, 1955, in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 100. The defense attorney summation went as follows:

There are people in the United States who want to destroy the way of life of Southern people.

There are people . . . who will go as far as necessary to commit any crime known to man to widen the gap between the white and colored people of the United States.

They would not be above putting a rotting, stinking body in the river in the hope that it would be identified as Emmett Till.

97. Transcript, supra note 63.

98. Id.
had failed to prove that the murder victim found was indeed Emmett Till.  

Contemporaneous news footage shows Milam and Bryant reacting to the verdict by lighting up cigars, kissing their wives, and grinning for news photographers. There was a celebratory atmosphere at the courthouse. Mamie Till recalled: "You could hear guns firing. I mean it was almost like a 4th of July celebration, or it was almost as if the White Sox had won the pennant in the city of Chicago." Sheriff H. C. Strider told reporters, "I hope the Chicago niggers and the NAACP are satisfied." Although Milam and Bryant still faced kidnapping charges, in November the grand jury heard testimony from both Wright and Reed and failed to indict.

4. The Immediate Aftermath: “Perhaps, an Opening of Consciousness”? 

In the United States and abroad, the trial and acquittal garnered much scrutiny and controversy. Defenders of the verdict, particularly in the white and colored southern press, described the trial process as fair and the evidence as weak. Leading Mississippi newspapers strongly criticized the NAACP and its “sympathizers” for their presence in Sumner, and blamed them for the worldwide condemnation of Mississippian and their justice system. On the

---

99. Id. Whitaker’s thesis asserts that the jurors, when polled, all said that they believed that Milam and Bryant had murdered Till; only one doubted the identity of the corpse retrieved from the Tallahatchie River. Whitaker, supra note 3, at 155.
100. James L. Kilgallen, Defendants Receive Handshakes, Kisses, Memphis Com. Appeal, Sept. 24, 1955, in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 105-06.
101. Transcript, supra note 63.
102. Id.
103. See Crowe, supra note 3, at 114; Murray Kempton, 2 Face Trial As “Whistle” Kidnappers—Due to Post Bond and Go Home, N.Y. Post, Sept. 25, 1955, in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 107.
106. In Fair Trial Was Credit to Mississippi, the editors of the Greenwood Morning Star of September 23, 1955 defended the verdict:
This was one of those cases where the radicals and NAACP sympathizers were hoping that Miss. would give them occasion to lambaste our state because of its being a segregation stronghold. They were alert to every possibility and played every angle. Sometimes they wore your patience thin, but Miss. people rose to the occasion and proved to the world that this is a place where justice in the courts is given to all races, religions, and classes. Fair Trial Was Credit to Mississippi, supra note 105 at 115. Other editors acknowledged the acquittal as a failure, but blamed the NAACP for the state’s failure
other hand, the acquittal galvanized those who viewed it as both a failure of the American legal system and a pivotal event in race relations. The African-American press, northern press organizations, and many other groups denounced the verdict and called for nationwide protests and boycotts.\footnote{Acquittal, supra note 105, at 116.}

International criticism of the verdict was ample and harsh. As legal historian Mary Dudziak notes, European public opinion was acutely critical of United States domestic race relations in the Cold War era; the European press pointed out the dissonance between U.S. proclamations of liberty in the international sphere and its own shameful record of racial injustice at home.\footnote{Mary L. Dudziak, Cold War Civil Rights: Race and the Image of American Democracy (2000).} Headlines characterized the verdict as: the “Judicial Scandal” (Le Peuple); the “Scandalous Acquittal in Sumner” (L’Aurore); the “Shame of the Sumner Jury” (Le Figaro); and the “Mockery of Justice in Mississippi” (L’Humanite).\footnote{Timeline, 1955-2003, supra note 71.} In an October 1955 memorandum summarizing European reaction, the Paris Office of the American Jewish Committee reported: “Europe’s condemnation came from all sections of public opinion, all political directions, and was expressed immediately and spontaneously... These protestations were expressed in hundreds of newspaper editorials, statements by public

Acquittal, supra note 105, at 116.

\begin{itemize}
\end{itemize}
leaders in every country of Western Europe, and by men in the
street.”110

In January 1956, Look magazine, a top-selling weekly periodical,
published The Shocking Story of Approved Killing in Mississippi,
Milam and Bryant’s first-person account of how they had murdered
Emmett Till.111 In exchange for $4000, Milam and Bryant had
consented to an interview with journalist William Bradford Huie.112
Huie recalled:

I met Milam and Bryant. We had this strange situation. We’re
meeting in the library of this law firm. Milam and Bryant are sitting
on one side of the table, [lawyer] John Whitten and I sitting on the
other side. I’m not doing the questioning. Their own lawyer is
doing the questioning. And he’s never heard their story. Not once.
He becomes as interested in the story as I am. I said, “Now, I’m
going to take notes and then during the day I’m going to do two
things. I’m going to be roughing out this story, and I’m also going
where you say you went, and I’m going to find evidence.”113

The admission and accompanying story by Huie are startling
historical artifacts in several respects. Most important, of course, is
that the admission exists at all. Lured by money and publicity, Milam
and Bryant provided minute details of their thoughts, motivations,
and actions during the kidnapping, killing, and disposal of the body.
They offer extended recreations of their confrontations with Moses
Wright, Moses Wright’s wife Elizabeth, and especially Emmett Till.114
Whether their statements are entirely true or embellished with self-
serving braggadocio, they are shocking in their hubris and lurid detail.
The juxtaposition of their remorseless tale with their acquittal only
months before was a mockery of the justice system, a confirmation of
blacks’ worst fears about white supremacist lawlessness.

A second notable aspect of the story is journalist Huie’s
presentation. In contrast to the details of the killing, it is odd and
unsettling to read Huie’s descriptions of the Bryants’ small-town,
plain “American” values. He describes their social life as “visits to
their families, to the Baptist church and, whenever they can borrow a

110. See The American Jewish Committee, Memo on the European Action to the
Till Case (Oct. 7, 1955), in The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 138. In a
speech at a labor rally in October 1955, U.S. Congressman Adam Clayton Powell, Jr.,
commented: “I can objectively report that the lynching of Emmett Till in
Mississippi was, in the eyes of Europe, a lynching of the Statue of Liberty. No single
incident has caused as much damage to the prestige of the United States on foreign
shores as what has happened in Mississippi.” Press Release, supra note 107, at 133.
111. Timeline, 1955-2003, supra note 71; see also Huie, supra note 4, at 200-08.
112. Huie, supra note 4, at 200-08.
113. American Experience, The Murder of Emmett Till, Special Features, Killers’
Confession, at http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/amex/till/sfeature/sf_look.html (last visited
114. See Huie, supra note 1, at 205-08.
car, to a drive-in, with the kids sleeping in the back seat.”

They are “poor: no car, no T.V. . . . They sell ‘snuff-and-fatback’ to Negro field hands on credit; and they earn little because, for one reason, the government has begun giving the Negroes food they formerly bought.”

A southern writer, Huie conveys an air of eerie inevitability in his descriptions of rural white Mississippi and the rigid taboos of race and gender threatened by Emmett Till. In describing the Milam/Bryant family, he notes:

This is a lusty and devoted clan. They work, fight, vote and play as a family. . . . For years, they have operated a chain of cotton-field stores, as well as trucks and mechanical cotton pickers. In relation to the Negroes, they are somewhat like white traders in portions of Africa today; and they are determined to resist the revolt of colored men against white rule.

Huie’s combination of blunt expose and Southern parable only underscores the reader’s sense that Milam and Bryant’s status of impunity was far from aberrational in Southern white-black relations. Huie concludes that “[t]he majority—by no means all, but the majority—of the white people in Mississippi 1) either approve Big Milam’s action or else 2) they don’t disapprove enough to risk giving their ‘enemies’ the satisfaction of a conviction.”

But ultimately, what distinguished this case from countless other unpunished lynchings was the convergence of worldwide exposure and a ripening civil rights movement.

C. Emmett Till and the Growth of the Civil Rights Movement

Many scholars of the civil rights movement of the late 1950s view the Emmett Till case as a crucial moment in the struggle for black freedom. The murder of a fourteen year old boy for a single cavalier act was hardly an isolated event; it occurred in a context that bridged the history of Southern lynchings with the emerging civil rights revolution. Fifteen months before Till’s murder, the U.S. Supreme Court had decided Brown v. Board of Education, which was met with staunch opposition from southern segregationists. Mississippi

115. Id. at 201.
116. Id.
117. Id. at 202.
118. Id. at 208.
120. 347 U.S. 483 (1954).
121. Constance Baker Motley, a member of the Brown legal team, notes: In response to Brown in 1954, the Southern states had resurrected the basic political themes that guided the South during the Civil War—that is, nullification and interposition, which affirmed that a state had the
in particular emerged as a cauldron of race hatred; two months after the Brown decision, Mississippi supremacists founded the Citizens’ Council to “preserve” the white race from the “mongrelization” of desegregation.\textsuperscript{122} In May 1955, two African-American men active in voter registration drives were shot and killed in two separate incidents in Mississippi; no one was arrested in connection with either murder.\textsuperscript{123}

Even though Emmett Till’s actions in Bryant’s Grocery were hardly political in an overt sense, Milam and Bryant saw them as the integrationist, rabble-rousing “poison” of an impudent northerner. The fact that Till was a visitor from Chicago only underscored their anger; his actions became “political” the moment he stepped off the train in Money, Mississippi. It mattered little to Milam and Bryant that he was a fourteen year old boy trying to buy bubble gum; to them, he was a black predator who threatened their way of life.\textsuperscript{124}

The year 1955 marked a turning point for the Southern way of life for several important and interlocking reasons. As the first post-Brown year, it fueled not only segregationist backlash, but also further integrationist resistance to Jim Crow laws and institutions. As the year of Till’s murder and his killers’ acquittal, it awakened in many Americans a sense that the whole world had seen not only the death of Emmett Till, but also the brutality of lynching and the disintegration of Jim Crow’s legal and political legitimacy. As the year ended, the unraveling continued. On December 5, 1955, one hundred days after Till’s murder, Rosa Parks refused to give up her seat on a city bus in Montgomery, Alabama; in the ensuing days, the Montgomery bus boycott began.\textsuperscript{125}

\textsuperscript{122} See Timeline, 1921-1954, supra note 69.

\textsuperscript{123} Timeline, 1955-2003, supra note 71. Both men had been active in voter registration drives. The Reverend George Lee, a NAACP field worker in Belzoni, Mississippi, was shot at point blank range in his car after trying to vote. Lamar Smith was shot to death in broad daylight in front of the county courthouse. \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{124} For an analysis of the Till case and its influence on contemporary attitudes about interracial relationships, see Randall Kennedy, \textit{Interracial Intimacies: Sex, Marriage, Identity, and Adoption} (2003).

II. LESSONS AND CHALLENGES FOR CRITICAL RACE PRACTICE

Among the many intriguing questions that arise from the reopenings of the Emmett Till murder investigation and other civil rights era cases are considerations of long-term meaning and suitability of remedy. Without a doubt, these were and are serious miscarriages of justice that demand reflection, remembrance, and re-examination. The horrors of these crimes linger and reverberate many decades later and through many communities, suggesting that admonitions to “move on” and “leave the past behind” are not only inadequate and unrealistic, but insulting to human dignity as well. As one famous son of the South wrote, “[t]he past is never dead. It’s not even past.”126

These considerations notwithstanding, it is far from clear that individual reopenings and new prosecutions can sufficiently define or remedy the grievous harms inflicted by these events. New trials for the murder of Emmett Till might or might not result in the cleansing moment of conviction and incarceration; with either outcome, much harm remains not only unremedied, but perhaps irremediable. Of course, this is true with respect to murder prosecutions generally; however, the symbolic long-term significance of the Till case renders it categorically different in momentum and in meaning. If reopenings and new prosecutions fail to provide a sense of closure and justice, the fault may lie not in the outcome of new proceedings, but in the nature of conventional criminal proceedings and sanctions themselves. In this regard, Martha Minow, among others, has called for more study of systemic violence, as well as for a broader range of political and legal responses to it.127 The emerging field of transitional justice draws upon the experiences of countries that have endured mass violence; it focuses attention not only on atomistic actors or events, but also on patterns of violence and their contextual meaning and effects.128

Critical race literature also urges a broader contextual approach to conceptions of harm and remedy in the American legal system. In legal settings ranging from reparations129 to affirmative action130 to

126. William Faulkner, Requiem for a Nun 92 (1951). Faulkner published an open letter in newspapers throughout the nation during the trial of Milam and Bryant, calling for justice: “Because if we in America have reached that point in our desperate culture when we must murder children, no matter for what reason or what color, we don’t deserve to survive, and probably won’t.” The Lynching of Emmett Till, supra note 1, at 43 (quotation marks omitted).
128. See Impunity and Human Rights in International Law and Practice, supra note 23.
129. See Alfred L. Brophy, Reconstructing the Dreamland: The Tulsa Riot of 1921: Race, Reparations, and Reconciliation (2002); Charles J. Ogletree, Jr., Repairing the Past: New Efforts in Reparations Debate in America, 38 Harv. C.R.-C.L.
wrongful convictions, a many critical race writings address both the philosophical and pragmatic underpinnings of the concept of redress for racial injustice. These writings are part of a larger body of social justice scholarship that aims to generate innovative legal theories and strategies for the next generation of lawyers. A noteworthy characteristic of both critical race literature and the social justice scholarship that it is a part of is a concerted effort to unite legal theory with practice: to create a dynamic where theoreticians and practitioners learn from and influence each other in addressing specific problems of injustice. In this part, I address two key areas where the Till reopening (as it has unfolded thus far) can both influence and be affected by critical race practice: questions of harm, and questions of remedy. Each of these areas presents an example of the ways in which the concerns underlying reopenings dovetail with core themes of critical race praxis.

A. Questions of Harm: Defining the Scope of Injustice

A first lesson from the Till reopening is the importance of non-lawyers, non-legal approaches, and non-legal sources in defining the scope of harms suffered. Five decades of organizing, investigation, research, and artistic expression preceded the legal decision to reopen. Throughout this process, non-lawyers were the agents of inquiry, protest, and change. Certainly, some lawyers were instrumental in the reopening—for example, Kenneth Thompson, a former prosecutor who supported Keith Beauchamp’s efforts. But before the


132. See generally Cases and Materials on Social Justice: Professionals, Communities, and Law (Martha R. Mahoney et al. eds., 2003) (groundbreaking casebook of cases and materials about social justice lawyering).

movement to reopen the case reached the venues of the district
attorney's office, Congress, and the U.S. Department of Justice, it
gained momentum in homes, community halls, churches, and
screening rooms. The primary arena in which Till's story was
preserved was not the courtroom, but collective memory.

These differences greatly affect the way in which questions of harm
have been framed in our historical memory of what happened to
Emmett Till. The scope of injustice is now defined not by the
parameters of the original legal proceedings, but by what historical
memory tells us happened in 1955 and in the five decades since then.
In a sense, the Justice Department tacitly acknowledged this more
capacious definition when it announced that "we owe it to ourselves"
as well as to Emmett Till to address a case that stands "at the
crossroads of the modern American civil rights movement."\textsuperscript{134}

Similarly, many critical race theorists urge that lawyers consider a
broader context in defining the nature of injustice. This tenet is
described in the literature in several ways. Pivotal critical race
writings underscore the importance of "looking to the bottom"\textsuperscript{135} and
of "counter-storytelling" to "help us understand what life is like for
others, and invite the reader into a new and unfamiliar world."\textsuperscript{136}
More recent scholarship displays a continuing emphasis on the need
for critical race practitioners to listen to previously unheard voices
and communities in formulating strategies for political empowerment
and racial justice.\textsuperscript{137} Sharon K. Hom and Eric K. Yamamoto write:

Who frames injustice in the law's eye and the public's mind?
How and with what societal effects? ... [F]raming injustice is about
social memory.

\ldots

In an era characterized by a conservative "retreat from justice,
many progressive lawyers and activists seeking legal justice define
injustice narrowly. They focus on legal doctrine and its definition of

\footnotesize{http://schumer.senate.gov/SchumerWebsite/pressroom/press_releases/PR02568.Till04
1304.html.}

\textsuperscript{134}. Acosta Briefing, \textit{supra} note 2.

\textsuperscript{135}. \textit{See generally} Mari J. Matsuda, \textit{Looking to the Bottom: Critical Legal Studies
and Reparations}, in \textit{Critical Race Theory: The Key Writings that Formed the
Movement}, \textit{supra} note 33, at 63-79.

\textsuperscript{136}. \textit{Critical Race Theory: An Introduction}, \textit{supra} note 33, at 41. Also, see Peggy
Cooper Davis, \textit{Neglected Stories: The Constitution and Family Values} 6 (1997), in
which Davis uses the novel structure of "stories" to develop a theory of family rights.
Davis observes: "All legal doctrines are stories, structured upon formal but
ambiguous texts and nourished by history and culture. They owe their existence to
collective recognition which comes as a result of their resonance with the culture and
traditions of the people who announce and live by their terms." \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{137}. \textit{See generally} Lani Guinier & Gerald Torres, \textit{The Miner's Canary: Enlisting
Race, Resisting Power, Transforming Democracy} (2002); Ian F. Haney López,
\textit{Racism on Trial: The Chicano Fight for Justice} (2003).}
a civil rights claim.... That framing, while legally apt, narrows public imagination and debate. In its search for “relevant facts” and crisp argument, it relegates history and community agitation to back-up roles in civil rights struggles.¹³⁸

Hom and Yamamoto urge practitioners to consider broader theories of harm, to “expand the law’s narrow framing of injustice and focus on historical facts to more fully portray what happened and why it was wrong.”¹³⁹

These prescriptions suitably describe a way to frame the many injustices of the Till case and its aftermath; a broader definition of the scope of harms is very helpful in understanding the impact of this case on the landscape of the American civil rights movement. However, complexities arise when applying this broader framework to the question of whether reopening would sufficiently address the harms. Consider the reopening at three levels. First, consider the reopening in its most elemental legal function: a chance to address the murder of Till. If the reopening results in one or more prosecutions, one must keep in mind that in all likelihood these prosecutions would be, at most, the trial of a few minor “accomplices” (if sufficient evidence shows that Milam and Bryant did not act alone). According to the research of documentarian Keith Beauchamp and others, these individuals could include whites and blacks; these “accomplices” may have been handymen in the employ of Milam.¹⁴⁰

Without intending to diminish or excuse in any way the culpability of accomplices, one might still ask: would securing convictions of these individuals effectively address the harm of Till’s murder?¹⁴¹ Milam and Bryant, the acknowledged culprits, have been dead for decades. They went to their graves without convictions and without any public accountability for the enormity of their actions.¹⁴² That fundamental injustice can never be corrected.

Next, consider the reopening through the lens of a second level of harm, again broadly defined: the delegitimation of the legal system fostered by the miscarriage of justice of the original trial. Could a

¹³⁹. Id. at 1757.
¹⁴⁰. See Lichtblau & Jacobs, supra note 2; Van Biema, supra note 11; David T. Beito & Linda Royster Beito, Why It’s Unlikely the Emmett Till Murder Mystery Will Ever Be Solved, History News Network, at http://www.hnn.us/articles/4853.html (Apr. 26, 2004). Thus far, it is not entirely clear from the public record which individuals would be defendants if new prosecutions ensue, nor is it clear whether Carolyn Bryant is among those under investigation.
¹⁴¹. For a discussion of constitutional and other legal limitations on the prosecution of long-dormant murder charges, see Russell, supra note 32, at 1255-61.
¹⁴². Hendrickson, supra note 3, at 11. Hendrickson notes that Bryant, when interviewed by a journalist in the mid-1980s, attempted to deny that he had murdered Till and commented: “You mean do I wish I might wouldn’t have done it? I’m just sorry that it happened.” Id.
reopening ameliorate this harm? Can the successful revival of long-dormant claims correct the warping effect on the legal system of the original legal injustices? Should the reopening of the Till case be viewed in this way?

At this point, my answer is no. One reopening, even amid a spate of reopenings, does not signify structural transformation. Fortunately, there are an increasing number of examples of concrete positive legal change through reopenings: for example, reprosecutions and convictions of the murderers of Medgar Evers, the Birmingham Church bombing victims, and others;\(^43\) the reversal of numerous wrongful convictions;\(^44\) the success of the coram nobis litigation on behalf of Fred Korematsu;\(^45\) and the grant of a presidential pardon for one of the Port Chicago prisoners.\(^46\) These efforts illustrate both the deep flaws in our legal system and its capacity to withstand challenges. However, it would be misleading to suggest that these exceptional, relatively high-profile examples of self-correction prove the legitimacy of the system itself.

Critical race practice offers several analytical insights with regard to this second level of harm. In response to arguments that individual case reopenings provide an opportunity to alter structures of legal injustice, the literature sounds a few notes of caution. In its critiques of liberalism, critical race literature challenges mainstream beliefs about the legal system—namely, that it is premised on values of neutrality, colorblindness, and incremental social change.\(^47\) Critical race literature argues instead that these supposed "values" are in fact myths that mask deep truths about the persistence of systemic racism and the majoritarian tendency to accept change only when it converges with majoritarian interests (interest convergence).\(^48\) These

---

143. See Russell, supra note 32, at 1236-41.
144. See Barry Scheck et al., Actual Innocence: Five Days to Execution and Other Dispatches from the Wrongly Convicted (2000); Santa Clara Univ. Sch. of Law, Northern Innocence Project at Santa Clara University, at http://www.scu.edu/law/socialjustice/ncip_home.html (last visited Feb. 14, 2005).
146. The Port Chicago incident stemmed from a munitions explosion at the Port Chicago naval base in northern California in 1944. After the explosion killed several hundred sailors (many of them African-Americans) in the worst home-front military disaster of World War II, a group of remaining sailors refused to continue working in the unsafe conditions of the base. They were court-martialed. A movement to reopen an investigation into the incident resulted in ongoing efforts to secure pardons for the few remaining living sailors. See Robert L. Allen, The Port Chicago Mutiny (Amistad Press, Inc. 1993) (1989). In December 1999, President Bill Clinton issued a pardon for one of the surviving men. Richard Goldstein, Freddie Meeks, 83, Ex-Sailor Who Was Pardoned, Dies, N.Y. Times, June 30, 2003, at A19.
147. See generally Critical Race Theory: An Introduction, supra note 33.
148. See, e.g., Derrick Bell, Faces at the Bottom of the Well: The Permanence of Racism (1992); Derrick A. Bell, Jr., Brown v. Board of Education and the Interest-Convergence Dilemma, 93 Harv. L. Rev. 518 (1980); Derrick A. Bell, Racial Realism,
tenets suggest that reopenings represent at most only superficial, transitory improvements.

Finally, consider a third, and most broad, conception of harm present in the Till case. This definition of injustice is perhaps the most elusive, yet pervasive of all: the harm that Richard Wright wrote of when he referred to the effects of white brutality on the deepest levels of consciousness. 149 Although Wright wrote *Black Boy* in 1935, his description of the invisible “policing” effect of supremacist violence upon African-Americans is compellingly contemporary; it is an apt evocation of the meaning of racial subordination through the exercise of terror. Contemporary writers John Edgar Wideman and Michael Eric Dyson have drawn analogies between the treatment of Emmett Till and the dehumanizing violence suffered by young black men today. 150 Wideman writes: “Disfigured by drugs, crime, disease, homelessness, pathological poverty, drenched in hot-blooded or cold-blooded statistics, the brutalized Black body displayed in the media loses all vestiges of humanity.” 151 Dyson decries the “young black Emmett Tills who are killed by other young black Emmett Tills in a culture of crime and violence.” 152 Yet he also comments:

The unspeakable horror of Emmett’s death caused shock to ripple through the entire nation. More importantly, his death galvanized a people perched on the fragile border between heroism and fear to courageously pursue meaningful and complete equality. In the curious mix of fortuity and destiny that infuse all events of epic meaning, Emmett’s death gained a transcendent metaphoric value. 153

This third and deepest level of harm is perhaps the most meaningful legacy of the Till case: a sense that the most craven manifestation of race hatred, reinforced through an unprincipled legal process, served as the catalyst for a vigorous social change movement. This interpretation suggests that the reopening of the Till case—like the death of Emmett Till himself—has gained a “transcendent metaphoric value” in the eyes of those who equate reopening with reconciliation.

B. Questions of Remedy: Can Law Provide a Solution?

With these conceptions of harm in mind, is it possible for reopening to provide a satisfactory remedy?

Given the parameters of what is possible if the Till investigation results in new prosecutions, I conclude that the reopening is
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151. Wideman, supra note 20, at 280.
152. Dyson, supra note 20, at 269.
153. Id. at 268.
problematic not because it demands too much, but rather because it demands too little. The nature of the framework in which the case is being re-examined is necessarily limited to questions of evidentiary sufficiency, prosecutorial discretion, and legal viability. The impetus to reopen the case through these channels (both state and federal) is laudable and worthy. However, given that Till's murderers are long deceased and that their mockery of justice is irreversible, the reopening should focus on broader issues than the identification of new witnesses and possible suspects. Unlike other civil rights era reprosecutions (such as Byron de la Beckwith and Edgar Ray Killen), Till's is not a case in which the "real culprits" or co-conspirators can still be prosecuted. The lack of closure in the case cannot be ameliorated through criminal proceedings. Moreover, unlike recent reparations efforts through state commissions and civil lawsuits, this is not a case where reopening will lead to redress of a compensatory or equitable nature. The "narrowest," most literal conception of harm (the grievous loss of Emmett Till) will forever be an irreparable tragedy; the broadest conception of harm invoked by the case cannot be addressed in the context of new criminal prosecutions.\footnote{155}

CONCLUSION

If the law can create miscarriages of justice yet provide no mechanism for correction of them, reopenings can serve another purpose: they can remind communities (and their lawyers) that the law often operates not as an arc of justice,\footnote{156} but rather as a web of power requiring analysis, navigation, and often resistance. Lucie White, embracing the notion of "law's deeper function in stimulating progressive change,"\footnote{157} proposes that critical lawyers use their positions to engage in a public conversation about social justice. She notes: "[S]uccess is measured by such factors as whether the case widens the public imagination about right and wrong, mobilizes..."
political action behind new social arrangements, or pressures those in power to make concessions.”  

If the Emmett Till reopening is to have any lasting meaning, its success must be measured not only by prosecutions won or lost, but by the respect and dignity with which we approach the task of understanding why it remains important to revisit the events of August/September of 1955. This may or may not be a legal task, but it is surely a moral one—as is, ultimately, any search for justice.

158. Id. at 758-59.