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Abstract

This book review evaluates Henry S. Dahl’s Spanish/English law dictionary. Dahl’s dictionary is so important for the conduct of transnational negotiations and the work of international lawyers that I strongly recommend its acquisition by all those operating in this field, as well as law libraries, embassies, and international divisions of major corporations. It acknowledges the impossibility of directly translating a legal term from one system into that of another. Explanations are given in English in the Spanish/English section and in Spanish in the English/Spanish section. Only one with Dahl’s profound knowledge of these different legal systems could have undertaken this Herculean effort of providing a full explanations of these many complex legal notions.
BOOK REVIEWS


Reviewed by Beverly May Carl*

At last, a Spanish/English law dictionary that acknowledges the impossibility of directly translating a legal term from one system into that of another! The traditional foreign language legal dictionaries tried for a one or two word synonym-type translation and would end up, for example, advising the reader that "jurisprudencia" in Spanish means "jurisprudence" (philosophy of law) in English. In fact, this Spanish term usually refers to judicial opinions or cases.

Because the civil law and the common law are so very different in their linguistic concepts, translating legal notions from one system into another is exceedingly difficult. One or two word translations can be dangerous, especially when they lead a lawyer from one system to conclude that a foreign legal concept is the same as the one with which he or she is already familiar. The crucial idea that should be conveyed is that the systems, subject matter divisions, and terminology are truly different. The lawyer wishing to work within the other system must constantly question his foreign colleagues to make certain that he has a valid understanding of the terms involved.

The only way around this problem is to avoid simple one or two word translations in favor of fairly lengthy explanations of the nature of the institution in the other legal system. One of the first dictionaries to do this was Maria Chaves de Mello’s Dicionário Jurídico.1 There, for example, a full paragraph explana-
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tion is given for the English term, "at arm's length." Because, however, all the explanations in Mello's dictionary are in Portuguese and English, that dictionary, while invaluable for a Brazilian or Portuguese lawyer, is of less assistance to the common law, English speaking attorney.

Dahl's dictionary goes a long way toward surmounting these problems. Explanations are given in English in the Spanish/English section and in Spanish in the English/Spanish section. Only one with Dahl's profound knowledge of these different legal systems could have undertaken this Herculean effort of providing a full explanations of these many complex legal notions. For example, instead of merely translating "jurisdiction" as "jurisdicción" or "competencia," he has broken the term down into five different headings and set forth explanations of both "in rem jurisdiction" and "in personam jurisdiction." For the Spanish term "companías en comandita," a two-paragraph description is included. The complicated concepts of "secured transactions" and of the "Uniform Commercial Codes" in each U.S. state receive almost four pages of explanation, essential for the Latin American lawyer.

Dahl's legal dictionary is so important for the conduct of transnational negotiations and the work of international lawyers that I strongly recommend its acquisition by all those operating in this field, as well as law libraries, embassies, and international divisions of major corporations.

Because Dahl's dictionary is so good, I would like to see certain improvements made in the next edition. First, the reader does need to be informed that a "collection of 'jurisprudencia' " usually refers to a group of cases, and not a series of philosophical treatises. Likewise, some of the definitions need revision to emphasize that the common law term is not the equivalent of the Spanish term. For instance, "causa" is not "consideration." The

2. Id. at 252.

3. Enrique Dahl has a civil law degree from the University of Buenos Aires in Argentina and a common law degree from King's College in the United Kingdom. In addition, he completed studies in socialist law in Leningrad (St. Petersburg). He has taught law at Buenos Aires University in Argentina, Louisiana State University, Southern Methodist University, and the University of Puerto Rico, as well as at various law schools in France.


5. Id. at 46 (Spanish to English).
Spanish jurist needs to understand that in English "consideration" is really a "bargained for exchange." Subject to certain exceptions, consideration is a basic requirement for a valid contract under common law. In common law, a contract to make a gift to one's brother generally will fail for lack of consideration. In contrast, in a civil law nation, such a contract is enforceable if certain formalities have been met. Thus, the element of "causa" would be considered satisfied.

Furthermore, in the next edition, a fuller explanation of "fuerza mayor" is needed to indicate that common law courts often deal with these situations under the doctrines of "impossibility of performance," "frustration of purpose," or "commercial impracticability." In addition, the whole concept of tort law ("delitos civiles" or "obligaciones non-contractuales") needs further clarification; this would include a detailed description of international torts, negligent torts, and strict liability.

Finally, the next edition should add those terms now being used so commonly in the fields of international trade, foreign investment, transnational licensing, patents, and copyrights. A perusal of a few recent issues of international legal journals, such as this one, will indicate some of these terms and phrases, such as "remittance of foreign exchange," "strict liability," "countervailing duties," "GSP," "intellectual property," etc. Another suggested source for currently employed vocabulary is the periodical, Integracion Latinoamericano, published by the Interamerican Development Bank in Buenos Aires; this publication is especially helpful for trade terms.

The World Bank also has published a glossary of English and Spanish terms that it has found essential for its staff work. Many of these phrases could be helpful in the next edition of Dahl's dictionary, such as "dictamen jurídico" (legal opinion), "licitación pública" (competitive bidding), "sociedad de inversión con numero de acciones fijo" (closed end investment company), and "convenio sobre un producto básico" (commodity agreements).

With these minor changes and the addition of more contemporary terms, this dictionary — already outstanding — could become simply indispensable to anyone working in the international arena.

International copyright law has become, during the past ten years, one of the most fascinating areas of international law. Although copyright has been of marginal interest to the general public for a long time, its inclusion in the GATT/TRIPs Agreement\(^1\) as well as the recent dispute between the United States and China\(^2\) has increased the general public awareness of the importance of international copyright.

In addition, the rapid development and application of new technologies, such as electronic databases and the information superhighway, necessitate new forms of protection. Consequently, one must develop new ways to interpret existing provisions or create new provisions of copyright law.

The economic impact of copyright has become increasingly visible and important. Sound recordings and films are more and more exploited around the world, including in developing countries, albeit often without any protection being granted or respected. Campaigns to combat piracy have become necessary. Among the forerunners in the push for strong intellectual property rights is the International Federation of Phonographic In-
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industry ("IFPI"), which commissioned a study from J.A.L. Sterling. Augmented and updated, that study ultimately matured into Intellectual Property Rights in Sound Recordings, Film & Video ("Intellectual Property"), reviewed herein.

The main work is divided into two parts. Part I contains analyses of the processes and uses of sound recordings and films as well as discussions of the legal systems of protection under national and international law. Part II includes a synopsis of laws, charts, and other reference material. The velocity of the above mentioned developments is shown by the mere fact that a supplement of 183 pages ("First Supplement") was issued only two years after the main work. Most additions have been made to Part II. Additions of the First Supplement refer to the respective paragraph numbers in the main work. Consequently, in order to obtain complete information on a certain topic, one must simultaneously consult two books and compare the texts, in order to learn which parts of the main work are no longer valid, which parts must be replaced by the information of the First Supplement, and which parts must merely be augmented by the text of the First Supplement.

From one perspective, this procedure allows the reader to follow the chronology of legal developments instead of simply being informed about the current status of the law. From a practical viewpoint, however, this procedure might not be the most convenient one for the user of the book. Indeed, considering that future developments will likely continue to be just as rapid as during the past years, the adoption of a looseleaf edition appears more practical for the reader than having two or even more supplements to the main work. Barely three months after the publication of the First Supplement, several important changes have already occurred, which could not yet be included...

4. Id. at 411-702.
5. J.A.L. Sterling, Intellectual Property Rights in Sound Recordings, Film & Video, First Supplement (1994) [hereinafter First Supplement]. Whereas the main work was based on material available as of October 31, 1991, the Supplement is based on material available as of January 1, 1994, and includes material that became available after that date. See First Supplement, supra, at vii.
6. Whereas the materials included in Part II of the main work account for 290 pages out of 702 pages, the materials added by the First Supplement to Part II comprise 133 pages out of 183 pages.
therein. These developments include the adoption of the new Belgian Copyright Act of June 30, 1994, and the adoption of the Spanish law for the implementation of the EC Rental Rights Directive.

Part I of Intellectual Property is divided into ten chapters: an introductory survey in particular on the scope of the commentary; a chapter on the recording processes explaining in generally intelligible terms the technical basis and steps of producing sound recordings and films; a chapter on recording descriptions including discussion of terms and short descriptions of certain categories of recordings, such as digital or sample recordings and computer-simulated motion pictures; a chapter on recording uses and corresponding rights presenting the rights of reproduction, adaptation, distribution, communication, and moral rights in respect of sound recordings and films in general as well as by reference to certain national laws (mostly the Copyright Acts of the United States, the United Kingdom, France, and Germany), international conventions (the Berne, Universal Copyright, Rome, and Geneva Phonograms Conventions, but not the TRIPs Agreement or other international or regional Agreements), and the WIPO Draft Model Provisions; a chapter on legal terminology analyzing the different usages of basic terms at the international level as well as within the framework of different concepts and national laws; chapters on the basis and structure of protection and on national laws and the international conventions presenting a survey of national laws and international conventions or other instruments; a chapter on comprehensive protection proposed by the author as a model for an adequate protection; and chapters on challenges to the establishment and exercise of rights and on a summary and conclusions of the main submissions, with references to the foregoing chapters.


One of the merits of *Intellectual Property* is the comparatively large coverage of questions of technologies, not only in Chapters 2 and 3 on the recording processes and descriptions, but also, for example, in Chapter 4 on the different uses and corresponding rights. Uses such as sampling, re-mastering, and colorization are explained in a concise manner, focusing on those elements essential to the legal protection. In a concentrated manner, Sterling raises the different questions of law that may arise in relation to such new techniques. Mostly, such general questions and, in some cases, answers are given separately for the national laws of the copyright system and the author's right system. Appendix A to Chapter 4 concerning "Computer Law affecting recordings" is also very useful. Because computer programs are increasingly involved in the process of producing or using sound recordings and films, this overview on the rights in computer programs, databases, computer-generated works, semiconductor topographies, and on the use of sound recordings and films in such "computer material" in the transient or storage processes of computers is highly valuable. This value is increased because there is relatively little literature on the relation between sound recordings or films and computer programs, for example on the overlapping of rights.

Of course, *Intellectual Property* cannot and does not intend to provide a full discussion of the problems and possible solutions in the field of new technologies. What it does offer, however, is a compilation of the main technical facts and legal questions as well as some solutions proposed or drawn from national laws. Partly, one might have wished to obtain more references to special literature, for example regarding electronic access. Although the First Supplement adds selected references to the literature and case law, such references are minimal and seem to be selected primarily from the journals, *European Intellectual Property Review* and *Entertainment Law Review*, distributed by the same publisher as *Intellectual Property*. A large number of equally valuable contributions, for example in French and German journals, have been published and would be accessible to most multilingual readers.

10. Id. at 142.
The reader should not forget that Intellectual Property originates from a study commissioned by the phonographic industry. In particular, Sterling expresses the view that "the category of phonographic work should be recognised as a separate and distinct subject of protection by copyright and author's right, where the phonographic production results from creative input." In this context, he refers to Section 6.15 of the main text, which elaborates a new concept of "phonographic works" apparently modelled on the situation of the cinematographic work. He proposes that sound recording engineers, editors or studio producers contribute creative elements to the "phonographic works" and may be regarded therefore as co-authors of such works. He presumes that the producer would acquire the rights of sound recording engineers and others employed by him or under contract with him. At the end of this paragraph, Sterling goes even further by proposing that "the producer . . . may be declared by law, or entitled by legislative or contractual provisions, to be the owner of or exercise the rights of the individuals producing the creative elements of the recording."

This proposal should be seen in the context of the longstanding phonographic industry campaign that envisages the international recognition of a copyright (instead of a mere neighboring right) for producers of sound recordings. Perhaps because neither the TRIPS Agreement, nor the WIPO negotiations on a Possible Protocol to the Berne Convention has reached this goal, Sterling may attempt to reach the same result through a different legal apparatus. Instead of claiming a proper copyright protection for producers of sound recordings themselves, the proposed scheme appears to claim such protection for sound engineers and others primarily in the employment of the producers. As their rights would by contract or even through a legal, irrebuttable presumption of transfer or exercise of rights regularly devolve upon the producer, the producer would in essence automatically benefit from a (derived) copyright. Although the knowledgeable reader should be aware that this

12. First Supplement, supra note 5, at 32.
idea of a "phonographic work" is a product of the phonographic industry rather than a generally accepted concept, the book does not make this clear to every reader. Unambiguous statements regarding the nature of this concept as a proposal, as well as statements indicating the low chance for such a concept to be accepted by legislators at least within the author's rights system, are lacking.

Finally, some remarks should be made about the main additions to Chapter 7 (national laws and the international conventions) by the First Supplement. The decision of the European Court of Justice ("ECJ"), in the Phil Collins case,\(^\text{15}\) has been summarized (rather than discussed, as announced in the advertising leaflet) by a short presentation of the facts and the legal situation under German law. The text additionally provides the preliminary questions by the court to the European Court of Justice and the rules of the judgment issued by the ECJ, as well as a short explanation of the main consequences of the judgment.\(^\text{16}\) Further, the main contents of the EC Directives, which have been adopted after the publication of the main work, has been accurately summarized.\(^\text{17}\) The TRIPS Agreement has been presented in an even shorter form.\(^\text{18}\) A similar style of presentation has been chosen for NAFTA.\(^\text{19}\) The discussions on a Possible Protocol to the Berne Convention and on a Possible Instrument on the Protection of the Rights of Performers and Producers of Phonograms in the framework of WIPO have been primarily presented by references to the reports in the journal, Copyright, and by an indication of the main items discussed.\(^\text{20}\) Although the EEA Agreement has been included under the heading "Other International Instruments and Developments,"\(^\text{21}\) mention of the numerous bilateral agreements between the European Union and Eastern and Central European countries that are obliged, to differing extents, to implement the European
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17. Id. at 18-28.
18. See, e.g., id. at 29 ("Article 1. Members must give national treatment to the nationals of other Members."); id. at 30 ("Articles 63-64. Dispute prevention and settlement.").
19. Id. at 33-35.
20. Id. at 30-32.
21. Id. at 32.
Community directives into their national laws, might have been worthwhile.\textsuperscript{22} Also, as another interesting international development in the geographical vicinity of NAFTA, one could have mentioned the adoption of the “Decision 351” of December 17, 1993, on a common regulation on copyright and neighboring rights of the Andean Pact.\textsuperscript{23}

The fact that so far a number of critical remarks have been made is certainly misleading. On the whole, \textit{Intellectual Property} and its First Supplement are highly valuable, particularly for those who must rely on quick and precise information in respect of a broad range of questions concerning the protection of sound recordings and films. Among the main merits of this work are the tremendous effort of compiling the latest information on the laws of over 140 countries, the short form of presenting national laws and international conventions combined with a selection of references to specialized literature and the most recent case law. In addition, all essential questions in respect of the protection of sound recordings and films, as well as the work and performances included therein, are dealt with in a clear, compact way.

\footnotesize
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{22} See Silke von Lewinski, \textit{Copyright Within the External Relations of the European Union and the EFTA Countries}, [1994] E.I.P.R. 429.
\end{itemize}