12-21-1995

The Advocate

The Advocate, Fordham Law School

Follow this and additional works at: http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/student_the_advocate

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation
http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/student_the_advocate/120

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Publications at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Advocate by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.
Dean John D. Feerick Asked to Join President Clinton on Northern Ireland Trip

(New York, NY, Nov. 28, 1995) Dean John D. Feerick of Fordham Law School has been asked by The White House to join the Presidential Party on the trip to Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland from through December 3, 1995. Dean Feerick will be part of a group which will participate in a number of activities with the President and members of the President's Cabinet in an effort to bolster the peace process.

Fordham Law School, with Dean Feerick as Project Leader, is currently engaged in a project to train a group of community leaders in Northern Ireland in the use of mediation techniques and dispute resolution processes. He has traveled to Ireland twice in the past 4 months to meet with local officials, community leaders and representatives of the University of Ulster. The University of Ulster is acting as a partner with Fordham in this project. The Project is funded by the United States Information Agency.

Dean Feerick has an extensive background in conflict resolution situations. He currently chairs the Executive Committee of the American Arbitration Association and has served in a number of positions to resolve disputes as a mediator and arbitrator. He is also the chair of the Ethics Committee of the Dispute Resolution Section of the American Bar Association.

TELEFILE GOES TO COLLEGE
Filing By Phone Available To Many On Campus

New York City - Many students attending college in the Metro Area and Long Island will be able to file their Federal income tax return directly from campus, by push-button phone, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, next year for the first time.

Welcome to Telefile, the first completely automated electronic filing process. Telefile means no forms, not even the ubiquitous W-2, are mailed to the Internal Revenue Service and refunds are received within three weeks.

Ideal for colleagues who meet certain requirements, Telefile is an interactive computer program that calculates and produces a special tax package that will include complete instructions. Telefilers will be prompted to input necessary information and complete the process. There is nothing to mail to IRS. Processing of the return will begin before the caller hangs up.

IRS spokesperson Robert Kobel describes Telefile as "the latest step on the way to a totally paperless, fully automated and computerized system of filing returns, paying taxes, or receiving a refund. It should appeal to busy college students who only have a simple return to file."

Students eligible to use Telefile will automatically receive a special tax package, which includes Quattro Pro, a spreadsheet application, Folioviews, and an updated version of CALI. WordPerfect for DOS was removed because it required too much memory and most DOS users could easily convert to Windows. The e-mail system is anticipated to be upgraded in 1996.

Marjorie Martin is the Manager of Technical Resources for the law school computer lab. She manages the computer lab, the adjacent training room, CALI, the Interactive Video, the Arkenstone equipment, and the faculty laptops.

While most students are familiar with the computer labs, many have yet to be introduced to the CALI program and the Interactive Video Program. Few will have the opportunity to experience the Arkenstone.

Arkenstone is an interactive system, however, it is used especially for the visually handicapped. The Arkenstone has vocal aspects that allow the computer to vocalize individual letters as they are being typed. It can also read entire paragraphs off of the screen.

Ms. Martin noted that the Arkenstone is generally used during the exam period. It allows visually impaired students to type their answers and allows it to be relayed onto a form that maintains the anonymity of the student.

The interactive video, which includes a video disc player, is useful as a study guide or to obtain extra information for class. Discs may be checked out through the Fordham Law Library reserve desk.

Concerning computer-assisted research, all Westlaw terminals are operational as is the West hotline. There are some operational problems with Lexis, which should be corrected by the time of this printing. The computer lab is investigating a possible Lexis hotline, which has been delayed due to complications with wiring installed during renovations.

The computer lab hopes to produce a newsletter, which would offer advice and tips from the library. Also, the lab would like to receive software input from the students, perhaps through a committee that would act as a liaison between the lab and the students.
Thanks are also due to all who volunteered to work during the blood drive:

Dante C. Alberi  Robert Matz
Susan M. Barc  Felicia J. McCoy
Cynthia L. Bailey  Mary C. McKee
Andrew P. Beame  Monica A. McLaughlin
Karen A. Boyer  Tracey L. McNeil
Eric D. Breitman  Gary R. Meyer
Lea Wei Chang  Holly T. Mitchell
Nadia J. Chanza  Howard Z. Myerowitz
Melissa R. Chapnick  Jennifer D. Nolte
Christina Chiaramonte  Stacy R. North Newbert
Julianne Cohn  Brian M. Nurse
Elizabeth B. Cooper  Kathryn A. O'Hagan
Catrina M. Callane  Philip F. O'Reilly
Michelle L. Davidson  Judith O'Sullivan
Matthew F. Davies  Karen M. Ortiz
Ivette E. DeCastro  Gregg A. Paradise
Brian M. Diglio  Elena Paraskevas
Daniel G. Ecker  Jigar S. Parikh
Steven R. Eichel  Sandra S. Petit
Joelle Entelis  Alan S. Rabinowitz
Nizada M. Escalera  Melissa G. Reed
John P. Falcone  Daniel C. Richman
Michael C. Faro  Andrea J. Rinaldi
Maria Fazzolari  Donna M. Rosinski
Jennifer S. Feeney  Andrew E. Roth
John D. Feerick  Karin N. Roth
Michael R. Feinsod  Alice W. Ryan
Jill A. Fieldstein  Brendan P. Ryan
Adam J. Fischkelta  Zoe B. Salem
Colleen Fitzgerald  Mark D. Salzberg
David L. Fitzgerald  Tami S. Scarola
Michael A. Flaherty  Holly T. Schepisi
Patrick J. Flanagan  Keri M. Scherr
Thomas J. Fox  Leah A. Schleicher
Mary C. Gaffney  Beth G. Schwartz
Paul C. Garfinkel  Chantal N. Senatus
Howard G. Glanzman  Carol S. Shapiro
Seth R. Goldman  Robye Shav
Melissa A. Goldstein  Todd H. Siegel
Remo A. Hammid  Edward T. Smith
Vivian Huelgo  Ali Spanakos
James L. Kainen  Joseph C. Sponholz
Benjamin A. Kaplan  Lisa A. Stanger
Kirk P. Kearney  Ann E. Stanley
Eric L. Lange  Debra A. Stolarik
Joseph A. Laroski  Robert H. Sutton
Peter T. Lattman  Kenneth E. Tabachnick
Ethan Y. Leonard  Christine M. Tierney
Paul J. Lightfoot  Rebecca M. Timlin
Mark A. Ligos  Janet R. Tracy
Kai S. Lui  William E. Uebbing
Jessica R. Lynn  Emilia K. Warlinski
Deborah A. Mallesch  Erica M. Weibe
Richard B. Marrin  Michael S. Winderman
Marjorie A. Martin

‘Twas the Night Before Christmas

...The Night Before Christmas...

Twas the nocturnal segment of the diurnal period preceding the annual Yuletide celebration, and throughout our place of residence, kinetic activity was not in evidence among the possessors of this potential, including that species of domestic rodent known as Mus musculus. Hosiery was meticulously suspended from the forward edge of the wood burning caloric apparatus, pursuant to our anticipatory pleasure regarding an imminent visitation from an ecstatic philanthropist among whose folkloric appellations is the honoriﬁc title of St. Nicholas.

The prepubescent siblings, comfortably ensconced in their respective accommodations of repose, were experiencing subconscious visual hallucinations of variegated fruit confections moving rhythmically through their cerebellums. Our conjugal partner and I, attired in our nocturnal head coverings, were about to take slumberous advantage of the hibernal darkness when upon the avenaceous exterior portion of the grounds there ascended such a cacophony of dissonance that I felt compelled to arise from my place of repose for the purpose of ascertaining the precise source thereof.

r...
You've heard about the Barrister's Bowl. You even know what a "barrister" is. But for ten points, what is Barrister's Bowl? If you guessed it's a game played with ten pins on a wood court, you'd be wrong. If you guessed that it is a bit like a cross between the multi-state bar review and Jeopardy, you wouldn't be too far off.

Two teams from Fordham Law School qualified for the statewide Barrister's Bowl tournament, to be held on February 4, 1996. Both Fordham teams were among the top six finishers in a legal and general knowledge quiz competition held on November 12 in New York City. Captained by Herb Detrick '97 and Rob Cohen '96, the Fordham I and II teams competed against teams from New York University, Cardozo, and several other downstate law schools.

After beating Touro I and Columbia I by scores of 320-150 and 290-260, respectively, both Fordham teams advanced to the second round of competition. Fordham I went on to qualify for the final tournament by eliminating Cardozo II and Rob Cohen '96, the Fordham I and II members of the Fordham II squad are Detrick, Tom McCormick '96, and Phil Parziale '96. The Fordham II squad includes Cohen, Tim Dockery '97, and Scott Jaffe '98. Chuck Loesner '97 is the alternate.

The Fordham teams were selected following on-campus try-outs in late October. Nearly twenty students participated in mock scrimmages, complete with electronic buzzers and sample questions prepared especially for the occasion. The Fordham Law School Student Bar Association (SBA) helped to organize and provide administrative support for the on-campus event. Barrister's Bowl questions test the legal and general knowledge of participants. To prepare for the regional tournament, Fordham team members quizzed each other on topics ranging from recent sports milestones to famous court cases. The practice sessions clearly paid off.

"Some of the questions in the tournament were real easy for us," said Herb Detrick, who collected sample questions for Fordham's intra-squad scrimmages from team members, and from Fordham law librarian Janice Greer.

"In practice, we had a question about Cal Ripken, the baseball player who just broke Lou Gehrig's record of 2,130 consecutive games played. In the regional tournament, there was another baseball question, and the correct response was Cal Ripken. I don't remember who it was, Phil or Tom, who answered, but they jumped all over it." Others questions were more difficult. "There was a 100 question written examination before the single-elimination tournament," Detrick said. "Each three-person team was asked to name a particular 19th century case that dealt with a problem encountered by a mill in England. I remembered the case from my contracts class with Professor Malloy. But very few of the other participants knew the right answer. What made the question so difficult was that our only real clue was a line excerpted from the case." While not all of Fordham's players were able to identify Hadley v. Baxendale as the source of the quote, "The shaft is broken," they clearly worked well together. Each Fordham team had at least one player with a good knowledge of either sports trivia, geography, science, or the humanities. And every player also participated in a pre-tournament briefing that covered recent Supreme Court appointments and other current events.

Commenting on the skills of the players selected to represent Fordham in the tournament, third year student Rob Cohen noted that both Fordham teams were well-balanced. "We were very pleased at how each team member showed a breadth of general knowledge and an expertise in certain areas," Cohen said. "Even though we had a third year, a second year, and a first year in my group, it was a real team effort. During our first game against Columbia I, we each buzzed in and answered between eight and ten questions correctly."

Another participant also expressed optimism that at least one of Fordham's bar teams will make it to the final round of the competition. "With the good showing at the regionals, we're confident that we will do well at the state level," said Tom McCormick. "The way the final brackets have been set up, we might even see a Fordham I versus Fordham II showdown in the championship match."

Fordham students may have a chance to see that showdown come to pass on February 4. The six downstate finalists, including teams from Columbia, Hofstra, Brooklyn and N.Y.U., and two upstate teams from S.U.N.Y. Buffalo Law, will compete in a single-elimination tournament, starting at 10 a.m. in the McNally amphitheatre. Fordham I is seeded to play Buffalo I in the lower bracket. Fordham II's first game will be an upper bracket grudge match against top-seeded Columbia II.

BAR/BRI, which supplied the questions for the regional matches, is sponsoring the final tournament. The bar review organization will also award a $1000 cash prize to each member of the winning team. Another $2500 will go to the SBA at the winning school. Prizes of $500 to $250 go to players at schools placing second through fourth. That's the kind of cash that anyone would dance for!
LALSA/BLSA/APALSA Joint Venture Symposium Addresses Minority Law Firms

By David Bowen ('96)

On November 16, 1995, the Black Law Student Association in conjunction with LALSA and APALSA, hosted a symposium entitled, "Minority-Owned Law Firms at the Threshold of the Twenty-First Century." Six panelists represented a wide spectrum of minority owned law firms: from solo-practitioners to a corporate law firm in direct competition with mainstream law firms.

Dean Feerick started off the event with greetings; he further stated that the importance of minority owned firms will only grow due to the fluctuations in today's legal market. After an introduction of the panelists by Dean Escalera, BLSA secretary and panel moderator Toni Agard, started off the discussion by asking the panelists what would be a good theme for the discussion. The responses of the panelists boiled down to one common theme: one has to be innovative in order to survive.

Paul T. Williams, Jr. stated in order "to survive and thrive we have to be smarter than ever" and better than most other law firms, given the current hostile climate against affirmative action. The "wholesale attacks on affirmative action" have an effect on private affirmative action efforts. He further contended that the black male is under attack in the media, and that professionalism, excellence, and entrepreneurship are not portrayed in the media. Also, he noted that no minority law firms are recognized as primary counsel to many Fortune 500 companies. He closed with the comment that "African-Americans, in the struggle for survival, have to be smarter than ever, better than ever, and more determined to succeed."

Jill Pilgrim of Pilgrim and Associates echoed a different response by stating that "the white law firm is not exactly the best model." She also believes that there is a lot of opportunity for minority firms, and that all they have to do is to provide quality legal services to their perspective minority communities. She also calls for lawyers to be more creative and to stay on the cutting edge of new technology.

Jose Camacho, an attorney who started his law practice about six month out of law school, reflected a similar sentiment by alluding to the fact that there is a lot of business in the community from bodegas to hip hop groups. All one has to do is to network in order to tap into the business. He also said "you must be confident because there are a lot of people trying to intimidate you."

Alexander P. Moon said "the key of being a minority owned firm is being a good firm." He admitted that he never thought about the fact that his was a "minority law firm." He also commented on the fact that there are many minorities who will not hire minority law firms. His firm, Moon & Ikeda, handles banking transactional work.

John Stanton Carro stated that you must "look for opportunities and be aggressive to develop skills." Originally, Mr. Carro started the firm a year ago with the notion of competing with the large mainstream firms but now the firm serves the community. Mr. Carro stated that "you have to be strong in your own backyard."

Benjamin Gim, a top immigration lawyer, believes that the white shoe law firms "may go down with the dinosaurs" and that "minority law firms are the only firms that can survive." Moreover, Mr. Gim states that today "the old general practitioner cannot survive" therefore, lawyer must specialize in two or three fields. He began his career at a time when there were "three Asian lawyers in New York, and probably on the east coast." He suggested that general practice is a "dinosaur," and that those seeking to set up a firm should specialize in two or three fields. Also, those setting up firms should keep them small, adding that "maybe smallness is the key to survival."

This theme of survival resonated throughout the rest of the discussion while the panelists wrestled with various questions. Although attendance of the event was a bit smaller than expected, the discussion was a tremendous success.
THE FIRST YEAR DRAMA

by M. Paulose, Jr.

Studying for a law school exam is like trying to swim through molasses. There are rules upon rules with exceptions within exceptions above one or more legislative policies. Resorting to the case book will get you a 'B'; memorizing the Restatement will get you a 'B+'; acknowledging the professor's law review article pushes you tiringly to an 'A-'. How to get an 'A'? I asked around, but no one knows for sure and Mathew Bender refuses to take my phone calls.

So the law school outline becomes everyone's savior. In tiny size nine Arial font, every rule of law, precedent, policy, and brown nosing note crawls across a stack of white paper like an army of black ants on a disorganized stampede. There is so much information on these outlines, I am sure that if we all get-together one of these days we will come up with one of the best law school casebooks the world will ever see. After which, of course, we will quit our respective firms and live off West's money for the rest of our lives....

There are a few students, however, who prefer to use a second hand outline. There is no difference, per se, save for the fact that those who scrawl their own outlines get one extra shot at memorizing—the correlation between reading and writing to long term memory is a timeworn gospel truth. Nevertheless, these people will most likely do just as well, considering there are some outlines out there comparable to a work of art. Take for example: floating around is a Tort's outline for Professor Hollister's class that dates back some twelve semesters. Over the years, so many students have marked up the margins (—9 with their own notes, that a magnifying glass is needed to decipher 'res per surdomino' from 'let's order Domino's.' There is another Tort's outline, dated a year back, with a cover! What was that second year thinking?

In the end, what you have matters very little. We will all know the law. The men from the boys, the ladies from the girls, will stand out, rather, from their ability to manage time, write coherently, be imaginative, and be keen to those hidden issues. These attributes, much of the time, are innate, mingled with a bit of luck (they tell me more luck than anything else). So there is never a point in burning out, shedding tears, losing friends. Be relieved in knowing that no law student ever fails; in fact, failure is not even in the same vocabulary as that of a law student's. Since you've made it this far, it follows naturally that you've been doing everything right so far.

By the time this article is printed, every first year will have completed their very first law school exam. Hopefully, afterwards, we will have had the energy to walk out to the front steps, light a smoke, and pat each other on the back. A sufficient celebration, I would think, considering there is the furor of next semester's five finals approaching us like a herd of buffaloes on the run. Relation-back: good luck.
Aaron Rodriguez
Ballplayer freshman year.
Little League Coach sophomore year.
Killed junior year.
December 28, 1993
San Antonio, TX

If you don’t stop someone from driving drunk, who will? Do whatever it takes.

**FRIENDS DON’T LET FRIENDS DRIVE DRUNK.**
WHY BAR/BRI

BECAUSE EXPERIENCE COUNTS

Let the POWER OF EXPERIENCE™ work for you

PASS WITH PIEPER ! ! !

Now is the best time to sign up with PIEPER BAR REVIEW.

With PIEPER, you get: (*with $1.50 deposit)
- The Pieper 2 Volume set on New York and Multistate law
- The New York Appellate Alert, digesting appellate decisions from 1984 through today.
- A complete bar review with no hidden costs.
- A FREE MPRE review lecture.
- John Pieper's professional expertise in Bar Preparation.

For more information, call PIEPER at 1-800-635-6569
GOOD LUCK ON FINAL EXAMS

BAR/BRI
BAR REVIEW

(800) 472-8899

New York and the Nation's Largest and Most Personalized Bar Review Course