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Crowley Named Winner of 1977 Keefe Award

by Michael Brofman
Professor Joseph Crowley

has been named as the winner of the 1976-77 Keefe Award. The award was made by the SBA at a special meeting last month.

The Keefe Award is given annually to the student, faculty member or staffer who the SBA feels has done the most for Fordham Law School in the past year. Crowley's name

Crowley Profiled see page 3 will be added to the plaque in the library which honors the winners.

Crowley received 14 votes in the selection process, followed by Paul Donohue and Ron Lukowicz of Biblio-Juris, Inc., who jointly received eight votes. Other nominees — who received no votes — were Professor David A.J. Richards, departing Placement Director Leslie Goldman, former SBA President Brian Sullivan, and Advocate Editor-in-Chief Michael C.H. Barnas.

Speaking at the meeting, Sullivan asked that his name be withdrawn from consideration, and that the award be presented to Crowley.

Sullivan argued that Crowley was most deserving of the award because of his consistent contributions to Fordham over the years, and his work as moderator of the Student-Faculty Committee.

Francis Blake, currently Executive Secretary of the Law School Alumni Association, has been named to succeed outgoing Placement Director Leslie Goldman.

Dean Joseph McLaughlin announced last week that Blake would head the combined operations of the Alumni and Placement Offices, pending final arrangements.

Blake, herself an attorney, has been Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association for 13 years. She is a member of the Fordham Law class of 1945, and has also maintained a solo practice while working with the Association.

Blake's father was a member of the Fordham faculty until he left in the 1940's to become a New York State Bar Examiner. He also entered private practice, which his daughter shared until 1964.

the title of Director of Placement and Alumni Affairs.

In the new Placement/Alumni organization, McLaughlin said, Blake's job will be "to go out and get the people" who have jobs to offer. He notes that Blake is a well-known figure among Fordham alumni.

Many of the "nuts and bolts" tasks now performed by Goldman will be assigned to a new administrative assistant in the Placement Office. Efforts are now being made to fill this new position.

Blake, herself an attorney, has been Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association for 13 years. She is a member of the Fordham Law class of 1945, and has also maintained a solo position.

She notes that Blake is a well-known figure among Fordham alumni.

Many of the "nuts and bolts" tasks now performed by Goldman will be assigned to a new administrative assistant in the Placement Office. Efforts are now being made to fill this new position.

Blake, herself an attorney, has been Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association for 13 years. She is a member of the Fordham Law class of 1945, and has also maintained a solo practice while working with the Association.

Blake's father was a member of the Fordham faculty until he left in the 1940's to become a New York State Bar Examiner. He also entered private practice, which his daughter shared until 1964.

Rather than being a double burden, Blake feels that her duties to the two offices will overlap substantially. She also notes that the busiest time in each of the two departments is different.

Her priorities include the immediate organization of the new office.

McLaughlin Announces Faculty Appointments

by Michael C.H. Barnas

Two new faculty appointments were announced by Dean Joseph McLaughlin this week, and a third appointment for next year is in the offing.

Gail Hollister, a 1970 graduate of Fordham Law School, will become the second woman among Fordham's full-time faculty. She will teach Legal Writing and Torts.

Named to a one-year visiting professorship was Max Welker, of the University of Puget Sound. He will teach Property, and will use his year in New York City to study Jurisprudence at New York University.

McLaughlin declined to announce the name of the third faculty candidate for next year pending final arrangements.

After Welker's one-year tenure, a permanent faculty appointment will be made for that slot. In addition, McLaughlin has asked the University administration to add another full-time faculty position, which the dean would like to fill for the 1978-79 academic year.

Gail Hollister comes to Fordham from the legal department of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., where she has worked for about a year.

Before going to Merrill Lynch, she was an associate at Webster, Sheffield, Heschmann, Hitchcock & Brookfield, (now Webster & Sheffield) and she clerked for Judge Inzer Wyatt of the Southern District of New York after her graduation from Fordham.

Frances Blake has been Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association for 13 years. She is a member of the Fordham Law class of 1945, and has also maintained a solo practice while working with the Association.

Blake's father was a member of the Fordham faculty until he left in the 1940's to become a New York State Bar Examiner. He also entered private practice, which his daughter shared until 1964.

Rather than being a double burden, Blake feels that her duties to the two offices will overlap substantially. She also notes that the busiest time in each of the two departments is different.

Her priorities include the immediate organization of the new office.

Graduation Planned For Damrosch Park

by Michael Brofman

Graduation exercises for the 317 graduating students will cost approximately $2550, according to the budget estimate submitted by Graduation Co-chairpersons Stanley Schutzman and Kevin Walsh of 3A.

At the March 23 meeting of the SBA Board of Governors, Schutzman and Walsh were able to obtain $500 from a severely divided Board. Many board members argued that when the third and fourth years voted to hold a separate cere-

mony, they did so with the understanding that they would have to bear the extra cost alone.

Had they voted to hold the graduation at the Rose Hill campus, there would have been no extra cost above the twenty-five dollar diploma fee already paid to the University.

According to former SBA President Brian Sullivan, numerous attempts have been made, without success, to have part of the cost borne by the University.

Frances Blake has been Executive Secretary of the Alumni Association for 13 years. She is a member of the Fordham Law class of 1945, and has also maintained a solo practice while working with the Association.

Blake's father was a member of the Fordham faculty until he left in the 1940's to become a New York State Bar Examiner. He also entered private practice, which his daughter shared until 1964.

Rather than being a double burden, Blake feels that her duties to the two offices will overlap substantially. She also notes that the busiest time in each of the two departments is different.

Her priorities include the immediate organization of the new office.

WIN ONE FOR THE GIPPER! Hard-core 2B intramural fans take advantage of the weather to hold an impromptu pep rally—that's right, pep rally—on the steps of the Law School. Minutes later, the rally moved upstairs to Professor Joseph Calamari's Contracts class, where 1A was learning the fine points of going pro, 2B needn't bother—they lost the match by the most lopsided score in recorded history. See Speaking of Sports, page 7.
The New Director

Frances Blake, the new Director of Placement and Alumni Affairs, is headed for a challenging job. In the face of a tight job market, droves of freshly-minted lawyers are wearing the soles off their new Florsheims trudging up and down Wall Street in search of a job. Helping more Fordham students into those jobs will be no easy task, but we wish Blake will in her efforts.

Blake has for thirteen years been the Executive Secretary of the Law School Alumni. As a result, she knows Fordham well, and she knows its alumni—a proven source of employment contracts, and often the most enthusiastic supporters of the Placement Office. We are encouraged by the appointment of a Director with this experience, and with Blake's enthusiasm.

Assisting her will be a larger than ever staff. According to Dean Joseph McLaughlin, funds have been allocated to hire a second secretary for the Placement Office. In addition, a search is now underway for an administrative assistant to handle the many organizational tasks that have occupied so much of outgoing Director Leslie Goldman's time.

Also encouraging is the way in which the administrative assistant is being selected. The search is being led by Student Bar Association President Laura Ward and the SBA's Placement Committee.

We are pleased that the Law School administration has acknowledged the student interest in who runs the Placement Office, and the correlative right to help determine who that person will be.

The search for an administrative assistant should be an important first step in insuring a balanced but effective student voice in determining the policies and programs of this school. As consumers—and as intelligent adults—we deserve no less.

Keefe Award

The Advocate congratulates Professor Joseph Crowley, the recipient of this year's Keefe Award.

The Student Bar Association has spent the last few weeks channelling energy into the areas of placement, employment contracts, and financial aid. Student complaints have been numerous regarding these three issues. Complaints aid us in isolating the problem, but we need your ideas as well. Recent changes are as follows:

Frances Blake has been selected as the new Director of Placement. Ms. Blake currently heads the Alumni Office. The search is now on for an assistant director. The Student Bar Association will have direct input into this selection. If you know of anyone who would bring new life and ideas to the Placement Office, you should send their resume to Ms. Blake c/o the Law School. Any suggestions for improving the Placement Office should be given to the SBA Placement Committee. We have a chance to improve Placement Office that will be responsive to our needs. Let's not lose this opportunity.

Security was improved. The report on security published in the Advocate was discussed at a meeting with Dean Moore, Mr. Leo, and Dr. Toulafi. The guards stationed at the library have been instructed on what to look for when they check bags and briefcases. This should lessen the loss of books to the library. A system is being established to avoid the ten to fifteen minute gap in front of the doors when the guard makes his rounds. Please leave any suggestions regarding security in the SBA Office.

A number of students have complained about the selectively applied requirement of parents to submit financial statements when students seek financial aid. The new system will require such statements from parents of students under twenty-six. Those twenty-six and over must submit an affidavit stating that they are independent and that their parents do not declare them on their income tax as dependents.

The orientation committee is in the process of organizing orientation. Any students interested in participating in this program please give your name and summer address to your class officer.

1E Writes to Father Finlay: listen to the Students

The Advocate April 22, 1977

Dear Father Finlay:

Enclosed is a petition signed by students at Fordham University's School of Law in support of Professor John Humbach.

This statement was drawn up without Professor Humbach's knowledge and prior to our learning of his appointment to a Full Professorship at Pace University.

Although we are aware that our support will龙泉 Professor Humbach, in the case of Professor Humbach, we are sending this letter and petition to you because we would like to have our support for Professor Humbach on record. We feel that as students in professional school we should play some part in the tenure decision process.

We hope that the statement will be received and read in the spirit in which it was intended—as a reflection of our deep concern for the future of our professional education and for the continued high reputation of Fordham University's School of Law.

Respectfully,
First Year Evening Division

We, students of Fordham Law School, respectfully submit this petition concerning Professor John Humbach.

We urge that the University not accept Professor Humbach's withdrawal of his application for tenure or in the alternative that the University reopen tenure negotiations.

We have been presented with very limited information concerning the University Administration's decision to deny tenure to Professor Humbach. The facts which have been presented to us suggest, however, that the Board of Trustees of the School of Law recommended Professor Humbach for tenure but that the University did not support this decision because the new School's percentage of tenured faculty exceeds that of the University as a whole.

The practice of denying tenure to junior faculty on the basis of a quota or "slot" system is dubious at best. At the very least, it is unpredictable and wasteful, since a junior faculty member first begins to provide his best service to a particular school or department at the very time when AAUP tenure rules and university budget policies mitigate against the chances of remaining in a department. In the case of Professor Humbach, another law school will therefore receive the benefit of all of his years of teaching at Fordham and we will suffer a loss.

Professor Humbach has the well deserved respect of his students. His teaching is excellent and he has imparted an understanding of legal concepts that transcends the specific rules of property law. His specialty, landlord and tenant law, is one which is extremely important to a great many of us at Fordham, particularly to those of us who plan some form of urban practice.

We have chosen to pursue a legal education at Fordham because of the high quality of its Law School faculty. Many of us have made this choice at great personal and financial sacrifice. We deplore the loss of any outstanding faculty member, for it is our loss.

The Faculty of the School of Law in support of Professor Humbach's application for tenure has expressed a vote of confidence; the supporting voice of the study body also wants to be heard. We do not think that the University should assume the risk of replacing a proven and experienced professor at this time. It is we, the students, who are the direct beneficiaries of Professor Humbach's expertise; it is unreasonable that we are to be deprived of this expertise without having had any say in the matter. In urging the University administration to reconsider its posture on Professor Humbach's future at Fordham, we also urge the University to reconsider what it means to offer a professional education and to reconsider where the priorities must lie. We hope that the University will realize the error of its ways and reconsider this decision.

March 22, 1977

The Reverend James C. Finlay, S.J.
Office of the President
Fordham University
Bronx, N.Y. 10458

Dear Father Finlay:

We have been presented with very limited information concerning the University Administration's decision to deny tenure to Professor Humbach. The facts which have been presented to us suggest, however, that the Board of Trustees of the School of Law recommended Professor Humbach for tenure but that the University did not support this decision because the new School's percentage of tenured faculty exceeds that of the University as a whole.

The practice of denying tenure to junior faculty on the basis of a quota or "slot" system is dubious at best. At the very least, it is unpredictable and wasteful, since a junior faculty member first begins to provide his best service to a particular school or department at the very time when AAUP tenure rules and university budget policies mitigate against the chances of remaining in a department. In the case of Professor Humbach, another law school will therefore receive the benefit of all of his years of teaching at Fordham and we will suffer a loss.

Professor Humbach has the well deserved respect of his students. His teaching is excellent and he has imparted an understanding of legal concepts that transcends the specific rules of property law. His specialty, landlord and tenant law, is one which is extremely important to a great many of us at Fordham, particularly to those of us who plan some form of urban practice.

We have chosen to pursue a legal education at Fordham because of the high quality of its Law School faculty. Many of us have made this choice at great personal and financial sacrifice. We deplore the loss of any outstanding faculty member, for it is our loss.

The Faculty of the School of Law in support of Professor Humbach's application for tenure has expressed a vote of confidence; the supporting voice of the study body also wants to be heard. We do not think that the University should assume the risk of replacing a proven and experienced professor at this time. It is we, the students, who are the direct beneficiaries of Professor Humbach's expertise; it is unreasonable that we are to be deprived of this expertise without having had any say in the matter. In urging the University administration to reconsider its posture on Professor Humbach's future at Fordham, we also urge the University to reconsider what it means to offer a professional education and to reconsider where the priorities must lie. We hope that the University will realize the error of its ways and reconsider this decision.
Caution: The Attorney General has determined that the study of law may be hazardous to your mental mental health.

Special Survival Section

The Student Newspaper of Fordham Law School

Exam Text Revealed
Through Student’s Dreams

Traditionally Property was the one to worry about. Prof. Phillips has been the true owner (T.O., in your notes probably) of the answers. They were his against the whole world, even his students to whom one would think he would consent to give an easement. I say “were” as it has been revealed to me that things are going to change this year.

For those of you who have not looked at the book or still do not know whether it is Warren’s Weed(s) Or Reed, don’t worry about it. Contrary to what you have heard, you will not need the notes, the mainstay, either. It is truly going to be one of those exams where the best thing you can do is to get a good night’s sleep beforehand.

I am not sure of the ethics of my next statements but at this time I must publicly expose the material on the Fall 1977 Property Exam. While I cannot give the exact order of the problems this is the content:

1. There will be a word association test. You might expect such items as “to adversely possess” and then a space in which you can fill-in whatever comes into your mind about adverse possession, as well as “perculating waters”, “soil and substance” etc. Nothing to worry about, right?

As you can see lawyers and psychiatrists need not be forever enemies. Phillips has certainly taken a great step in this regard by employing this traditional psychiatric test to determine what is in peoples’ minds. Certainly as effective, but not as rigid, as a multiple choice question.

2. The second page will be cardboard, with the one-inch block letters P-A-R-T-Y punched-out. The space will be filled-in with vari-colored crepe-paper. You will be instructed to reach into each letter and pull out a small prize.

The purpose of the question is obviously two-fold. One, Phillips knows we have done precious little partying this year in comparison to what we were used to in the past. He wants to remind us of those days which are again just around the corner. Two, Phillips is sympathetic to the fact that this is our last exam. He knows many of us will be so shell-shocked by May 9th we may forget to go out and P-A-R-T-Y after his exam.

Third, you must write the alphabet in Spanish. What skill in property this question is attempting to

Just fill in whatever comes to your mind about adverse possession...

Continued on Page 6
Myth, Magic and Law School
Or, Why We Are The Way We Are

by Michael C.H. Barnas

Law school is a strange experience. It must be—after all, just consider its effects.

Each year, several hundred new students enter Fordham Law School. They come from many places, with varied aspirations. Some want to be Perry Mason, the dynamic criminal lawyer. Others want to be Ralph Nader, infused with a selfless passion to serve the public interest. Some even dream of a quaint storefront office on the main street of a quiet Vermont village, where they can wile away their years contentedly drafting caveatse and codicils on recycled paper.

Yet within three years, all that goes by the boards. All their varied aspirations blend into the legal profession's collective neurosis—a longing for Wall Street, the lawyer's Valhalla.

At first, I thought it was the money. After all, where else can a beginning attorney make enough in one year to cover his bar tab? But these days there's probably more money to be made in bankruptcy than corporate. And, as you shall see, there are other symptoms.

The roots go deeper. Here, I think is the answer. It came to me one night as I poured over my Corporations notes, trying to figure out why you'd ever want to stirelize a board of directors under section 620 of the Business Corporation Law (BCL).

Suddenly, the whole thing became quite engrossing. In a flash, I knew why you'd want to stirelize those old geezers. Frenzied, I began flipping through the BCL, reading one provision after the next, as a slight grin spread across my face.

Fortunately, my rigorous undergraduate training saved me. After spending ten minutes with the BCL—or any other schoolbook—I instinctively reach for my trusty bottle of Jack Daniel's. That time, though, it took longer. For 12, even 15 minutes I sat there reading, at once transfixed by some mysterious power, while struggling to tear myself free and return to Uncle Jack. Finally, I did it—but it was nearly too late. Only years of conditioning saved me.

But there is some greater power in that volume! Some hypnotic force first lulls the reader into unconsciousness, then releases him or her—but the posthypnotic suggestion remains. Perhaps it's the endless series of meaningless terms, repeated in some mystic order like a mantra or some demonic incantation. But whatever this force may be, it is a powerful one.

If the BCL had no life of its own, why would anyone ever bother to incorporate a business in New York State when Delaware will let you get away with just about anything? Why, indeed, would the center of corporate law remain in New York when everyone else seems to have split for Atlanta? Simple—Georgia doesn't have the BCL!

Now, this may all sound a bit far-fetched. But look what it's done to all of us.

Take my friend, for example. We'll call her Ms. X. She's a second-year student who once had an interest in environmental law. She's also a quite attractive blonde. But how is she spending her spring? When normal human beings are out frolicking in Central Park, she's—you guessed it—studying the BCL.

Every time I've seen X for the past three months, she's been working on her Corporations outline—one, right in the middle of Evidence! I ran into her at a party last week, standing amid the usual crowd of admirers, but with a slightly absent look in her eyes. When I asked how the action was, she stopped herself from answering immediately. But I saw that her lips had already begun to form a single word in reply—"derivative." Besides, she was still sober, a condition which would have been unthinkable a few months before. I'm afraid its too late for her—BCL has done its work.

I think the symptom is most obvious at parties, or at Tangs. Like most other schools, Fordham Law has both men and women. They work together and sometimes party together for three years (or four years if during prime time). Yet they never seem to—well—get together. Of course there are many possible explanations.

Now, as a Fordham Law Man, I can say that Fordham Law Women aren't a bad lot; I hope with fingers crossed they say the same for us. But may I add everybody's afraid of everybody else—a fear born of too many undergraduate years in musty libraries. Or maybe it's the continuing influence of Fordham College, from which so many of us come, and which seems to this outsider to be the most singularly Catholic—non-sectarian institution since the Spanish Inquisition. But we can't all be that repressed. It must be the hypnotic influence of the BCL again.

Notice the typical Tang. The first year people are having a good ol' time—logical enough, since they haven't studied Corporations yet. Rumor even has it that certain members of this year's first year class have dated—each other!

By second year, however, it's started. People leave earlier, and spend more time contemplating the insides of their cups.

And by third year, the BCL has everyone firmly in its sway. The men sit in small groups, punching each other in the shoulder and laughing at people with jobs. The women—if they bother to come—sit in even smaller clusters dreaming of corner offices with a view of Water Street.

Evening, of course, has it somewhat better. They don't get to Corporations until third year. So it's true—they do have more fun.

What power could turn a gathering of otherwise intelligent men and women into a high school dance? Simple. The BCL.

Now, I had assembled this theory several months ago. It provided the best explanation of the—well—strange social ambience of the Law School. Nevertheless, there were other plausible explanations, such as the Fordham College theory. But last week, the final and conclusive bit of evidence came to light.

My friend Brewer—a strange but affable fellow I know from my early years in architecture school—has always had a taste for the mystic. A few years ago, for example, he became fascinated by Nostradamus and his predictions. But finding one morning that Ireland was still afloat, Brewer gave up on Nostradamus and began devoting his leisure time to building flying, radio-controlled models of Zeppelins.

Last month Brewer ran across an ad—probably in the Munchener Zeitung—promising untold wisdom. It promised, in fact, the very secret of life! It was signed by the Rosicrucians.

Intrigued, Brewer wrote to the address given. Last week, he received a reply.

He received a leather-bound edition of McKinney's BCL.
When we at The Advocate decided to put together this special survival section for the exam issue, we thought it might be appropriate to include at least one article on coping with exams. But a moments' re- flection led us to conclude that hardly the most qualified group to discuss successful exam-taking.

Since we take our journalism seriously—somewhat more so than our exams—we chose a topic on which our credentials are impeccable. We decided to tell you all we know about how not to pass an exam.

Now, since we've all still here, we haven't really made a career of failing exams. But ever since last May, the senior members of the staff have known at least that we're on the right track.

So here's our offering as a complement of our favorite non-tips:

1. Take every exam drunk. Getting drunk after exams is, of course, a Fordham tradition; witness the Lincoln Lounge on any evening in early December. But getting drunk before the exam is guaranteed to make the first hour far more enjoyable, the second hour far too relaxed, and the third hour sheer hell—particularly if there are lines in the bathrooms. This one is guaranteed to lose you 15 points.

On the plus side, however, we know two people who undertook a round of moot court competition while slightly inebriated. Their scores improved an average of eleven points over their previous performances. Can't you just imagine sitting on the deck of the QE2, basking in the Travel.

2. Panic. This is the classic approach to failing law school exams. We have all heard tales of those brave souls who spent most of last year's Crimes exam listing potential indictments against a dead man. Why? Panic! What we had in mind, actually, was running through the Kama Sutra while slightly inebriated. Their scores improved an average of eleven points over their previous performance. This is clearly the exception that proves the rule.

3. Meditate, don't study. Now, some forms of meditation may actually improve your concentration. We clearly cannot recommend them. What we had in mind, actually, was running through the Kama Sutra while slightly inebriated. Their scores improved an average of eleven points over their previous performance. This is clearly the exception that proves the rule.

4. Travel. Particularly if you're taking Aviation or Admiralty Law, a trip by plane or ship might be just the thing to inspire good study habits. Can't you just imagine sitting on the deck of the QE2, basking in the sunlight, chatting with your fellow passengers—and reading a casebook? You can? Well, just make sure you get back in time for the September Civil Service exams.

This approach can be extended to fields other than law studies. A recent trip to the airport in Florida where that hapless fellow was lured only to be served with subpoena? Or maybe your insight into the doctrine of foreseeability would be improved by a visit to the sites of Hadley v. Baxendale. Smashing idea, eh what?

5. Go to a party on the night before the contracts exam. We know of one fellow who actually tried this one. He said he just stopped by to see what condition his predecessor was in, but in fact, his hostess had made him an offer he couldn't refuse. Unfortunately, by the next afternoon, he thought a UCC was something you see flying at night.

6. Use the days between exams to pick up some extra hours at work. Night studies particularly can benefit from this suggestion. Being a night student, in and of itself, makes exams easier to fail—some employers have little sympathy for non-revenue-producing work at night.

For those of you who have a neurotic desire to eat at least once a day, the possibility of a few extra bucks is appealing. In addition, this approach can drive your neighbors up the wall—and further mystify the ever-amazed proctors—by sitting through four hours of torts humming snatches from Siegfried.

A note of caution, however; with certain professors, a familiarity with the opera may actually help you get through the fact patterns. In such cases use of this method is not well advised, since you'll want to pick your profs carefully.

8. Show up late. Another classic approach. Unfortunately, it may not work; if you present a plausible excuse, Dean Hanlon might actually set you up in a private office and give you the full allotted time. Don't misunderstand—he's really a good sort, and thinks he's giving you a fair chance. He simply doesn't realize that some of us have chosen to make a lifestyle of failure.

* * *

As you can see, we've done our non-homework. All these suggestions have worked for us, and they may work for you, too. Of course, we have a non-traditional method; we put out The Advocate. If you'll like to try this one, it's sure to wreck your average, so stop it before it's too late.

And remember one thing. If you turn your exam paper over, and read, "Do not laugh! You already have a 96," for God's sake, shut up and don't blow the curve!

In Defense of the Tang: Tribute to a Noble Brew

by Kevin Crotzer

At times I'm not sure which is easier to endure—the "morning after" on the day following a Tang, or the comments from people who dislike such affairs. Their contempt is hard to understand. They are not Prohibitionists, as evidenced by their desire for cocktail parties. The only alternative theory is that they believe beer drinking is not a proper social function for a law school.

Whatever their objection may be, my only fear is that their complaints may reduce the number of Tangs held. This nightmare is in danger of becoming reality, for only one Tang has been held this semester.

While it is imprudent to imply that this decline is due to snobbery, I have heard remarks equating a taste for beer with an Archie Bunker mentality. But a Tang is more than a beer blast. It is a noble ritual which creates an atmosphere of trust and fellowship (rave feelings in a law school). The critics of Tang do not comprehend the deeper meanings in the act of imbibing the ancient and much-maligned brew.

Beer is a libation steeped in tradition and reknowned for its beneficial qualities. One of the oldest alcoholic beverages, it was a familiar item in the homes of Egypt and Mesopotamia five thousand years ago. Ale (a close relative) originated among the early peoples of the British Isles. Even the Japanese had prepared a form of beer from rice—better known as sake.

In the New World, the natives were not unacquainted with this Old World delight. The American Indians had perfected the art of brewing before the voyages of Columbus. And sensing great business opportunities, the Virginia colonists began to produce beer as early as 1587.

The profession of brewmaster quickly became respected and boasted the names of some famous American figures among its ranks. William Penn was a pioneer brewer in Pennsylvania. And Samuel Adams, "The Father of the American Revolution", was as well known for brewing beer as other things.

This beverage has enchanted the palates of Presidents. George Washington's taste was so particular that he owned his own brewhouse. The recipe he used has been preserved—in his own handwriting—in the Archives of the New York Public Library. A noted historian has said, "The formula but had dismal results. Perhaps this failure reflects more on his talents as a brewmaster than on George's recipe."

Rumors abound that President John Kennedy kept up with this tradition. According to the reports, Air Force One delivered a supply of his favorite brand from Colorado to the White House every month. As for the current administration, the exploits of Billy Carter are already legendary.

While this fabled brew may have certain blue-collar associations, this distinguished heritage should prove that beer is also a favorite of the well-heeled. Possibly it will influence the attitude of some persons toward Tangs. After all, the cocktail party was an innovation of the idle rich during the 1930's. This is a lineage not worth mention.

In any case, social events at this law school should not be discouraged due to the biased observations of a vocal minority. If these people fail to appreciate the merits of a Tang, that is their problem.

Mine is solved by the bottle of aspirin in my locker.

Letter: A Tang Story

To the Editor:

I sincerely apologize to everyone who was offended by my words and deeds at the last Tang.

An Anonymous Reformed Drunk

Ed. Note: The author of this letter was seen later in the evening in question strolling through a rustint in White Plains.

"Dammit, Fallon, learn how to tap a keg!"
Legal Jumble

Hint: This is what happens when the plane goes down in the Bermuda Triangle!

CIRROSES  ( )  ( )  ( )  ( )  ( )
QUITIVE  ( )  ( )  ( )
TERAOTST  ( )  ( )  ( )
UTSR  ( )  ( )
SHTADINN  ( )  ( )  ( )
FTHANFILP  ( )  ( )  ( )
GETEAL  ( )  ( )  ( )  ( )
TONLPATHS  ( )  ( )  ( )  ( )

Answer will be printed in the first issue next year!
Intramural Cagers Dribble into Post-Season; Obscure League Rules Saves 2B

After an extremely successful start, the FIBA (Fordham Intramural Basketball Association) has encountered a few problems which necessitate immediate abandonment of its regular season, and an entrance into its post-season play designed to produce the league championship.

The two problems are in sufficient space to seat the three or three scouts present each week and Power's use of the gym for Bingo (a branch of an express oral contract made by Power Memorial, offer, to use the gym on Tuesday nights, rendering specific performance impossible). Are you reading Professor Perillo? Would you consider changing my 65 in contracts all eight teams to the FIBT Tuesday nights, rendering species last spring?)

My exam no is 421, the same the authority vested in him by the personal-world of sports, where each student is more than just a number.

Further, 2B's 0-4 record is obviously not indicative of how had this team really can be, evidenced by its recent loss to the faculty (led by Plerillo and Calamari in the back court McNair and Jerry McGaughlin up front and the human eraser Henry Putzel "in the middle as always."

Joe McGaughlin has seen limited playing time but obviously prefers sitting on the bench.

Another problem the Commissioner has faced is the seeding for the tournament. Normally this is done by having the top team play the worst and the second-best play the second worst.

The problem is that 2B stinks and still wants to be in the tournament!

So a determination had to be made to see which lucky team would get to slaughter 2B. Once again the by-laws were consulted. No. 2 states that the worst team gets to pick who gets the top seed and hence who it plays. The choice is...

1E!!!

I assure the public that this seeding was not arbitrary (although it is the first time Fordham Intramural Basketball Tournament to be staged at the magnificent and glorious Power Memorial Gym.

For those who question that no disparity between teams has yet been discerned, it should be noted that although 4-0 1A has been playing way over their heads, each team member had an average LSAT score of 497.
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Editors Named by Law Rev, ULJ, Moot Court Board

The following members of the Law Review have been named as editors of volume 46:

Editor-in-Chief
Managing Editor
Consortium Editor
Articles Editor
Articles Editor
Editors
Commentary Editor
Commentary Editor
Commentary Editor
Commentary Editor
Commentary Editor
Articles Editor
Articles Editor

James Rizzo
Denny Chin
William J. Comisky
Theodore N. Farris
Gary Groot
Helen Hadiyannakis
Margaret Holihan
Randall H. Jensen
Nicholas J. Jollymore
Michael Utevsky
Mary Anne Wirth
Sharon L. Worthy

The Moot Court Board has announced its new editors for 1977-78:

Editor-in-Chief
Managing Editor
Research & Writing
Research & Writing

Dennis M. Wade
Bill J. Troy
Christina Matthews Campbell
Terry Barnich

The Editors of the Urban Law Journal have announced the Editorial Board for Volume VI:

Editor-in-Chief
Managing Editor
Writing & Research Editor
Writing & Research Editor
Articles Editor
Articles Editor
Notes Editor
Notes Editor
Notes Editor
Notes Editor

Andres J. Valdespino
Edward W. Larkin
Arthur P. DeLuca
Michael T. Kelly
Kenneth R. McClair
Amy S. Vance
Stuart J. Feld
Gayle Gutkenst-Roth
Andrea G. Iason
Joan W. Keenan

Two Fordham Students Win ABA/LSD Posts

Two Fordham students have been elected to the Executive Board of the American Bar Association/Law Student Division, Second Circuit.

Steven Swisky 2A was elected Governor of the Circuit at the organization's annual Spring Conference on March 27. Michael C. H. Barnas 2B was elected Director of Communications.

The new Board also includes members from St. John's and New York Law Schools. Swisky had served last year as Secretary/Treasurer of the Second Circuit, and has been a 2A class officer. Barnas edits the Second Circuit magazine, Editor-in-Chief of The Advocate.

The Law Student Division—which includes more than 50,000 Fordham students—offers programs and services to assist law students, and opportunities for students to vote with standing committees and sections of the ABA.

Among other activities, Swisky plans a membership drive to increase awareness of the ABA/LSD and its programs.

New Security Plans Include Extra Guard

An additional guard will come to the Law School building to make the hours rounds under a security plan arrived at last week. The additional guard will enable the full-time Law School guard to remain at his post in the lobby at all times during the working day.

In addition, funds have been requested to help students to check books out of the library. This would enable the guard's position to be moved to the front door where he could monitor access to the building.

The developments came from a meeting between Assistant Dean William J. Moore, Head Librarian Dr. Ludwig Teclaff, SBA President Laura Ward and Frank Leo, Director of Security for the Lincoln Center campus. Teclaff has included the request for student employee funds in the Library's budget request.

Leo has arranged the guards' schedules to allow for the extra man to make rounds until 8:00 p.m. His staff has also received a refresher course on identifying library material. Leo and Ward are requesting additional security equipment from Rose Hill, including an additional walkie talkie for the Law School guards.

An Advocate probe of the security problem last semester revealed that the need for a single guard to check persons leaving the library and make hourly rounds detracted from his ability to maintain building security.

CONCERNED ABOUT LAW SCHOOL?

Legal Preparation, Inc. will offer a 2-day workshop-seminar—November 26 & 28, 1976. The program has been prepared by Brian N. Siegel (author of How to Succed in Law School), and will provide valuable insights into EFFECTIVE law school study, with a special emphasis on how to organize study and write the hypothetical multiple choice examination successfully. If you would like to see the evaluation of those who have previously taken our course or desire additional information, call (212) 743-5325, or write:

LEGAL PREPARATION, INC.
471 South Ogden Drive
Los Angeles, California 90006