Those of you who study on the second floor of the library may have heard the banging of hammers, the yelling of workers, and other construction noises during the last weeks before Christmas break. Although we all hate to be interrupted when studying for exams (Yeah, right - anything that takes us away from studying what seems like a 400-page outline is definitely welcome), the extra distraction was worth it because a new student activity space has been completely on the ground level of the law school. Faculty Joseph A. O'Hare, the President of Fordham University, Dean John D. Feerick, associate dean georgene vairo, and numerous faculty, students and administrators participated in a ribbon cutting ceremony on Friday, January 28.

The New space, which contains offices for the SBA, Environmental Law Journal, the Intellectual Property Journal, and work stations for all other student groups, is Phase I of a $4.5 million project to renovate the law school. This project is a team effort, bringing together Associate Dean George vairo, the law school's director of finance and administration Judith O'Sullivan, professor Janet Tabbed for DOI Post

Fordham Adjunct Professor Richard Mark was named First Deputy Commissioner of the new york city department of investigations by mayor Rudolph Giuliani on Friday, January 7, 1994. Professor mark began acting in that capacity almost immediately, stepping in on January 10. The department of investigations' principal mission is to investigate for corruption, fraud, and mismanagement in city government. The department works with all seven city prosecutors. There are 350 employees in the department.

In a telephone interview, Professor Mark stated that he didn"t know he was in the running for the position until the mayor announced his nomination on television on the seventh. Professor Makr was head of the Civil Litigation Department for the United States Attorney's Office prior to this move. He stated "the department of investigations is an exciting agency and has an important mission." Professor Mark will complete his obligations as a legal writing professor this semester but is uncertain if he will be back because of the time demands of his new job. He stated that being an adjunct at Fordham "has been particularly useful because it has helped me to keep in touch with developments in the law."
Welcome Back!

By Jeremy Klaasen

To those of you who haven’t missed its absence, welcome back to Fordham Law School. A world unto itself. I see that in Fordhamland those grades are up early, just like they should be. I know lawyers can’t do math, so I’ll let you in on a little secret ... THREE WEEKS ARE UP. On the other hand, those grades that have been posted (the lonely few) are displayed in a new, and I must say, very efficient manner. Alphabetical order, gotta love it.

I’ve also noticed the continuing popularity of Fordhamland’s favorite early summer hangout - Room 103 (higher known as the Registrar’s Offices). I must confess that I have frequented that establishment several times since having my Fordham passport stamped VALID S 94. This semester is the first time that I can truly say that I got screwed (figuratively) by a high school English teacher; I could have used the excuse “I presumed today would be a snow day” only once per winter. Sad to say, I shot my maw early this year (even though school closed wasn’t until 3:00 p.m., which did me no good at all - the post that does exist that you too should be as rash. Save this one for when you really need it. I should note that the snow day excuse has a statute of limitations - I’d try to use it before the middle of March. It loses it flavor after that.

With that golden nugget of excusedom in hand, I wish you all good fortune for the coming semester. Me, I’m going to Las Vegas. Carpe Diem.

LETTERS

From: Maureen Chen, 2D

After reading the emotionally charged debate between Prof. Phillips and his foes, I found this article on cross-cultural sexual practices especially refreshing and enlightening. I hope you can reprint it.

IMMORALITY ... OR ... IMMORALITY

by Warren Farrell, Ph.D


We were sexual freedom and premarital sex condemned because they sacrificed married sexual immorality? The Bible contains some astonishing answers...

WAS RELIGION CONCERNED WITH IMMORTALITY ... OR ... IMMORTALITY?

DAUGHTERS RAPE DRUNK DAD

SAY GOD APPROVES

Why would the Above “Headline” make William Randolph Hearst and Rupert Murdoch blush and yet lead to Lo and his daughters receiving God’s blessing? The Bible explains, “One day the older daughter said to the younger, “Our father and mother are dead, and there is no man around who will lie with us... Let’s get our father to drink wine and lie with him so that we may have offspring through our father.” So, on two consecutive nights, both daughters got their father drunk until he fell asleep, and had sex with him without his being aware of it. (He was not aware of it either when she got up). They each became pregnant. Lo and his daughters punished - he was a drunkard and raped. (If he had sex with his daughter while she was asleep, we’d call it rape.) No. They were blessed. By God. So blessed that both their sons became leaders of a people. Rather than feeling ashamed about the incest, not only was it the first of the sons, Moab, named after incest (Moab comes from the Hebrew meaning “from father”), but so were the peoples of which he was the father: The Moabites. Why? The drunkenness, rape, and father-daughter incest led to the preservation of a family line.

WHY PREMARITAL SEX, SEXUAL FREEDOM, AND HOMOSEXUALITY WERE CONDEMED

Editor’s Note: In this section, Dr. Farrell discusses the relationship and society where the entire focus and priority is on survival, as has been the case throughout most of history. In today’s “Sick II” society, where the focus can transcend survival and seek self-satisfaction.

Premarital sex and sexual freedom were condemned because the children they led to had no way of being protected. Masturbation, homosexuality, and sodomy (as in anal sex, oral sex, or sex with animals) were also condemned because none of them ever led to children.

In contrast, affairs, polygamy, sex with masturbators, and even incest could be sanctioned - if they led to protected offspring; if they did not, they were also condemned. Principles of immortality were clothed in garb of immorality. This might be called the “Imputed Rule.”

In the past, giving permission to homosexuality in Stage I involved the same problem as giving permission to masturbation: it was permission for sexual pleasure without a price. Think of it, when a rich man and a poor woman were married, the rich man’s male offspring might mean two hours of sexual pleasure. The consequences? - two hours of sexual pleasure. A heterosexual experience might also mean two hours of sexual pleasure. But the consequences? - eighteen years of responsibility.

In brief, homosexuality was a bad deal.

Homophobia was a society’s way of not allowing men to even think about having sex with anyone other than a woman. Homophobia reflected an unconscious societal fear that homosexuality was a better deal than heterosexuality for the individual. Homophobia was like OPEC calling nations with oil that they bought off from a more reasonably priced source. It was society’s way of giving men no option but to pay full price for sex.

Homosexual relationships promised more than sexless futures. But, they were condemned. Rather than feeling ashamed about the objectification of a human, a pre-

Continued on Page 5
Catholic Law Students: Alive and Well at Fordham

Has the pressure of Law School snubbed out your spiritual life? Catholic Law Students Association has been working to revive your spirit and encourage a sense of community among Catholic Law students. Last semester, a group of about 15-20 law students joined with Fr. Rick Nelson of Campus Ministries to renew what was once a proud tradition at Fordham Law School.

The group, coordinated by Annemarie Mazzone 2D, Pierro Tozzi 1D, and Richard Marrin 1E, first discussed what they expected of a Catholic Law Students Association and what they thought were the needs of other law students here at Fordham. The group this year has several objectives: 1) to organize and sponsor liturgies and celebrations for the Catholic holy days; 2) to encourage a sense of community among Catholic law students; 3) to provide a forum for the open exchange of ideas and for speakers to discuss issues, such as law from the Catholic perspective, the Jesuit's influence on International Law, whether morals exist in a pluralistic society, and perhaps a Roe v. Wade debate presenting both sides of the issue; 4) to provide legal volunteer opportunities through Catholic Charities, including Immigration and Refugee Services, Office of Housing and Neighborhood Preservation, Office of Criminal Justice, Office of Housing and Urban Renewal, and the Institute for Human Development; 5) to organize a weekend retreat for students in conjunction with students from NYU and Columbia Law Schools; and 6) to foster alumni relations.

The group kicked off the year's activities with a Thanksgiving Mass in McNally Amphitheater on the Tuesday before Thanksgiving. Dean Reilly gave the welcoming address, and eight Jesuits from the Fordham community, including Fr. Joseph O'Hare, president of Fordham University, took part in celebrating the Mass. The Amphitheatre was nearly full of students from all years and several faculty members attended, including Dean Feerick.

CLSA's second event was a Christmas Tree Trimming Party in the Atrium, complete with eggnog, Christmas cookies, candy canes, and of course carolling, led by Dean Rivera. Several faculty members turned out, including Professor Byrn, and there were many students eager to assemble and decorate the tree. It was a well-deserved and festive break for all in the middle of exam-period gloom. "It is something we would love to see become a tradition at the law school," says CLSA.

The Catholic Law Students Association list of members now includes about 60 students. CLSA urges all interested students who wish to be included on the mailing list or take part in any upcoming events to contact them through the SBA office.

"We are very open to suggestions, thoughts, or ideas any member of the law school may have concerning this group," said Annemarie Mazzone. "All students and members of the Fordham Law Community are invited to attend our events, including individuals who are not necessarily of the Catholic faith."

Additionally, if any students are interested in receiving sacraments that they haven't already, they may contact Fr. Rick Nelson directly at 636-6267, or leave a note or call Annemarie Mazzone or Pierro Tozzi to find out information on how to go about it. Catholic Law Students looks forward to seeing you at the Ash Wednesday Mass. Just a reminder, grades aren't everything!

Keeping the Faith

CLSA and Fordham's Jesuit community celebrate Thanksgiving Mass led by Fr. O'Hare (above). And for the holidays, students gather round and join in some Christmas carols (right), and decorate the first unofficial Atrium Christmas Tree. More photos on page 7.

Upcoming Events

The Catholic Law Students' calendar for this semester is as follows:

**February 16** - Ash Wednesday Mass in McNally Amphitheater - 12:30 PM

Ash Wednesday-Easter - Lenten Season meditations and informal masses - times to be announced

Penance Service - TBA

**February 10, 17, 24** - Thursday study group on the Pope's latest encyclical, *Veritatis Splendor*, Campus Ministries, Rm. 217 Lowenstein at 5pm.

March 7 - Discussion led by Fr. Richard John Neuhaus, "Can Absolute Morals Exist in a Pluralistic Society?"

**March 25-26** - Weekend Retreat in Armonk, NY

**April (TBA)** - Discussion: "The Idea of A Catholic University: Is Fordham a School in the Jesuit Tradition?"
Handguns Kill People

By Dennis Ousell

For Sale: Feather A T-9, 9mm Semi-automatic rifle, blowback w/ telescoping wire, composition pistol grip, 17” barrel, high capacity magazine and black matte finish. Retail price: $849.99.

Despite ever more horrific headlines of violence perpetrated by firearms, hospital emergency rooms, patching up wounds from weapons designed to put a hail of bullets in front of opposing enemy troops, overflowing with victims, the equation of 200 million guns + staggering murder rates = , for some, a coincidence. It's the guns, stupid.

As our elected scaredy-cats, cowering in fear over losing NRA election year bribes, duck down and try to re-vamp health care, should not someone be asking how we can eliminate the enormous cost guns impose on the system?

Gun supporters must muster four pro-gun arguments and defend them with predictable dogmatic truculence: 1) The Second Amendment allows private gun ownership. 2) There is a natural right of self-defense. 3) Restricting guns is the first step down the totalitarian road. 4) Pistols and assault rifles are favored.

Pistols and assault rifles are favored. As logically sound as this stance was of a large standing army controlled by a central government. This was what the Constitution . Their fear was the revolutionary imperative.
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ANGER AND SO MIXED UP IN AMERICA?

Sex in Free Supply, Tahiti Style

By Joseph L. Pappas

Sex for the United States, when feminists in the late 1960s believed women's economic freedom would lead to women's economic abundance, they advocated sexual freedom. When it was discovered that divorces led to economic obligation, feminists, fundamentalists, and women's liberationists all moved toward closing off sexual freedom. Headlines in Cosmopolitan read "Sex: Make Him Earn It" even before the herpes scare. A careful analysis of the sexual revolution's decline helps us see why, if it hadn't been for AIDS, it would have been something else.

This need for economic security preceding female sexual openness is probably unconsciously reinforced by our tradition of a man taking a woman over the dinner and drinks first. The more traditional the woman, the more dinners, the more drinks, and the less she sexually open until she receives a commitment - in essence, a commitment from him providing for life.

Dr. Warren Farrell is also the author of The Liberated Man, (1974) and Why Men Are The Way They Are, (1986). His books are available through Dreams East and other aware stores. For more information write to him at 103 N. Hwy. 101, Box 220, Encinitas, (San Diego CA) 92024. Reprinted by permission from Christianity Magazine and the author, Warren Farrell, Ph.D.

In Defense of Professor Phillips

To the Editor:

When I read the responses to Prof. Phillips' article, "Is A Homosexual A Fit Parent?" I was amazed - not for the knee-jerk politically-correct reaction, because I expected as much from the sexual. The authors of the previous letters used statements which in Lost Paradise, "Those who have nothing to live for, die. Within three years the population of Tahiti plummeted from more than 140,000 to less than 5,000."

Sex in Short Supply, OPEC style

We often think of countries that require women to wear a veil as keeping women powerless. In Muslim countries, the purdah keeps female beauty hidden, only to be shown to the sex that provides. The Westerner, by not understanding why they considered sex immoral, sapped the spirit of women. In Tahiti, people exposed their bodies, while men who expose theirs are seen as ridiculous or ugly. Women may have been hidden, but the women were protected by someone. The purdah kept female beauty hidden, considered a sign of fertility. Tahitian religion had this in mind. The Westerner, seeing the veil. saw sickness, anger toward the homosexual. His Nuns taught him that a man's is exhibitionism; his wife's love. her nurturance, her beauty are to Middle Eastern men what oil and gas are to Middle Eastern women.

In the Middle East, the sex and beauty are to Middle Eastern men what oil and gas are to Americans: the shorter the supply, the higher the price. The Westerner who gave "sex away for free" or for a small payment, the more the value of the every woman's psyche would be undermined... which is why anger toward prostitution, purdah violations (removing the veil), and pornography run so deep, especially among women. It is also why parents told daughters, "Don't be cheap." "Cheap" sex floods the market.

Think of this in reverse form: if a woman had to promise to protect the man for a lifetime before he removed the veil and showed her his smile, how do we think of this as a system of female privilege?

To this day, when we talk about someone giving sexual favors, we speak of the man's sexual favor, therefore only women expect something in return. Billions of dollars are spent by women every year to unclothe male bodies, while men who expose theirs are put into prison. We call her exposure a centerfold, his exhibitionism. When we give her money, he is a prison sentence. Her sexual power meant sexual payments. He learned to earn his money; she learned to pay more; so he was surprised when he was told his need to earn more was a reflection of his greater power.

Doctor Phillips' article itself is simply an exercise in common sense - a homosexual household is a bad environment. What first flabbergasted me were the Advocates of Christian Socialism who disassociate and pornography run so deep, especially among women. It is also why parents told daughters, "Don't be cheap." "Cheap" sex floods the market.

Think of this in reverse form: if a woman had to promise to protect the man for a lifetime before he removed the veil and showed her his smile, how do we think of this as a system of female privilege?

To this day, when we talk about someone giving sexual favors, we speak of the man's sexual favor, therefore only women expect something in return. Billions of dollars are spent by women every year to unclothe male bodies, while men who expose theirs are put into prison. We call her exposure a centerfold, his exhibitionism. When we give her money, he is a prison sentence. Her sexual power meant sexual payments. He learned to earn his money; she learned to pay more; so he was surprised when he was told his need to earn more was a reflection of his greater power.

Sex in Alternating Supply, American Style

The Christian sanctioning of Polygyny in the Bible is an outgrowth of the need to protect the woman. This protection of millions of women, the Nuns take vows of celibacy. Christ's words were not for the sake of Polygyny's primary purpose was the satisfaction of male sexual desires. Polygyny's primary purpose was female protection by the best male saviors.

Christ, then, is the superhuman male role model: protection, but no sexual demands; the ability to be a breeder, but not a sexual partner should that be needed in times of famine: a willingness to die to save us from ourselves... a willingness to die. Western man has human manifestations - protection without sexual demands, listening without our protecting. Yes, the Nuns actually taught their children sex at an early age, as did other elders. When they became teens, it was the parents' task to get them to know sex. What did patriarchies did best - protect women and help men protect women. When the Nuns taught sex, men attend church. And why the more traditional the church, the more it expected men to play its sex roles. In these senses, "patriarchy" served women more than men.

WHY WAS DIVORCE CONSIDERED IMMORAL AND ILLEGAL?

We often think of countries that require women to wear a veil as keeping women powerless. In Muslim countries, the purdah keeps female beauty hidden, only to be shown to the sex that provides. The Westerner, by not understanding why they considered sex immoral, sapped the spirit of women. In Tahiti, people exposed their bodies, while men who expose theirs are seen as ridiculous or ugly. Women may have been hidden, but the women were protected by someone. The purdah kept female beauty hidden, considered a sign of fertility. Tahitian religion had this in mind. The Westerner, seeing the veil. saw sickness, anger toward the homosexual. His Nuns taught him that a man's is exhibitionism; his wife's love. her nurturance, her beauty are to Middle Eastern men what oil and gas are to Middle Eastern women.

In the Middle East, the sex and beauty are to Middle Eastern men what oil and gas are to Americans: the shorter the supply, the higher the price. The Westerner who gave "sex away for free" or for a small payment, the more the value of the every woman's psyche would be undermined... which is why anger toward prostitution, purdah violations (removing the veil), and pornography run so deep, especially among women. It is also why parents told daughters, "Don't be cheap." "Cheap" sex floods the market.

Think of this in reverse form: if a woman had to promise to protect the man for a lifetime before he removed the veil and showed her his smile, how do we think of this as a system of female privilege?

To this day, when we talk about someone giving sexual favors, we speak of the man's sexual favor, therefore only women expect something in return. Billions of dollars are spent by women every year to unclothe male bodies, while men who expose theirs are put into prison. We call her exposure a centerfold, his exhibitionism. When we give her money, he is a prison sentence. Her sexual power meant sexual payments. He learned to earn his money; she learned to pay more; so he was surprised when he was told his need to earn more was a reflection of his greater power.

WHY WAS SEX SO FREE IN TAHITI?

SO PRESERVED IN THE MIDDLE EAST.

Sex in Alternating Supply, American Style

The Christian sanctioning of Polygyny in the Bible is an outgrowth of the need to protect the woman. This protection of millions of women, the Nuns take vows of celibacy. Christ's words were not for the sake of Polygyny's primary purpose was the satisfaction of male sexual desires. Polygyny's primary purpose was female protection by the best male saviors.

Christ, then, is the superhuman male role model: protection, but no sexual demands; the ability to be a breeder, but not a sexual partner should that be needed in times of famine: a willingness to die to save us from ourselves... a willingness to die. Western man has human manifestations - protection without sexual demands, listening without our protecting. Yes, the Nuns actually taught their children sex at an early age, as did other elders. When they became teens, it was the parents' task to get them to know sex. What did patriarchies did best - protect women and help men protect women. When the Nuns taught sex, men attend church. And why the more traditional the church, the more it expected men to play its sex roles. In these senses, "patriarchy" served women more than men.
Turning the Tables

You get graded every semester – wouldn’t it be nice to return the favor? Mike Bertrand indulges in every student's fantasy

by Mike Bertrand

It’s that most wonderful time of the year! There is nothing quite like seeing some poor soul standing in front of a grade list, with that hollow look in their eyes that just seems to cry out, “Why me?” or to be more accurate, “Why doesn’t that swine doesn’t understand me?” But, hey, that’s the way it goes. If there is one philosophy that permeates all institutions of higher learning, it is the belief that the best way to learn something well is by having someone else rip your performance apart. Cruel, yes, but very effective.

With that in mind, I would like to submit my grades for the School on their performance this past fall. It was obviously a very busy semester, but as a reference make even more accurate, “Why doesn’t that swine doesn’t understand me?”

The School:

- Some Bud’s MacKenzie blush. Overall, it went pretty well, with only a few major problems. Hopefully all relevant parties will look upon these grades not as cold criticism of their past performance, but as a reference point for gauging their future improvements (Yeah, right). One quick note: These grades are the opinion of one lone guppy. They do not necessarily represent the opinions of anyone else. I would be thrilled, sure, if someone was to agree with me, but whiners can’t be choosers.

- I would like to submit my grades for the School on their performance this past fall. It was obviously a very busy semester, but as a reference make even more accurate, “Why doesn’t that swine doesn’t understand me?”

- The Bookstore: Need I say more? These people just don’t improve at all. Shopping for pens and pencils? F-Law clothing for your family? Ha! You’ll be lucky to find the right books for class. Well, you will find them, but not until Valentine’s Day. GRADE: C

The Residence Hall:

- Slowly improving after a horrendous start. Residents moved in with visions of living in “an apartment complex that just happened to be owned by the University.” Within a few days, they came to the realization that this was, in fact, a dorm. Aaahah! The shame! Fortunately, things are steadily getting better. The mail situation has gotten a tad quicker (still over a week delay, but better than a month!), and the heaters are finally being fixed. Other than the mail, the biggest complaint has probably been the Fort Knox-ian security measures. Most feel that the signing-in procedure should not be required for non-dorm students with a valid Fordham I.D. (the school let ‘em in, they must be safe!). Hopefully, if things continue to get better, we can go back to calling this place an apartment complex and not an apartment complex that just happened to be owned by the University.

- The Library: Being a first-year, this is a tough call. We really don’t have to do a lot of research, so it’s mainly just a study place that serves it’s purpose well. Some students still don’t understand that library = quiet, but that’s a problem that has to be dealt with on a case-by-case basis. There’s been few grumblings about missing and misplaced volumes, but the staff usually takes care of that pretty quickly. My biggest gripe is the hole punchers: We pay how much to go here? And the school can’t afford to buy even one reliable hole puncher? Get real! And while you’re out buying that, pick up another half-dozen copiers . . . New ones. GRADE: A-

- Cafeteria: Slowly getting better, but still overpriced. Water in the caf costs more than a draft beer up the street. A round of applause for jazzing up the place so it could serve as more of a “hang out,” but is there any good reason why SBA isn’t allowed to throw Thursday or Friday happy hours? Seems that allowing them to do so would give the students more of a “connection” with the school (i.e Future alumni with generous pockets). Also, work on extending the hours during exam time . . . Some of us just can’t get going without a steaming cup of that triple-strength Hypernut java.

GRADE: B

The Advocate needs your help! If you want us to cover a story, we need to know about it. Article suggestions should be put in one of The Advocate's mailboxes conveniently located near the SBA's office and in the mailroom - or in one of the Editors' mailboxes. Better yet, if you want to write a story, well, just write it - we'll (probably) print it.

DRUNK DRIVING DOESN'T JUST KILL DRUNK DRIVERS.

Aloiso Drake, killed 3/17/91 at 10:20pm on Robbins Rd., Harwell, AL.

Next time your friend insists on driving drunk, do whatever it takes to stop him. Because if he kills innocent people, how will you live with yourself?

FRIENDS DON'T LET FRIENDS DRIVE DRUNK.

CROSSWORD

Answer to previous puzzle...
Dear Editor:

I would like to thank everyone who was able to get involved in the "Secret Santa" program this season; it was a smashing success! There were a total of 119 "Secret Santas," and the Christmas Season for more than 165 children was made the merrier because of them.

---

HEAD START PROGRAM

(For LLM’s, MCU’s and anyone else who would like to begin the BAR/BRI New York Bar Review course early in Manhattan only.)

INCLUDED IN THE PROGRAM:

- Lectures begin in late February consisting of videotapes of the following multistate subjects:
  - CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
  - CRIMINAL LAW
  - EVIDENCE
  - Torts
  - Contract(s) (including SALIS)
  - REAL PROPERTY (including FUTURE INTERESTS)

- Essay Writing Workshop

- 3 Graded Essays

- 50 Question Multistate Diagnostic Exam

- Attorney-advisor (not a tutor) to counsel on study techniques. (If a tutor is desired, BAR/BRI will provide the names of qualified attorneys who will work with BAR/BRI students at a discounted rate.)

- PLEASE NOTE: This program is in addition to the services & programs provided in the regular BAR/BRI New York Bar Review course and is available in Manhattan only.

- Students who participate in this program must be enrolled in the BAR/BRI New York Bar Review course and have paid at least $50 towards their review tuition by the first day of the Head Start Program. This payment is fully credited to the student’s bar review tuition and is non-refundable.

- Additionally, any student may sit through the full BAR/BRI New York Bar Review course twice. Their bar review course tuition must be paid in full at the beginning of the first course they participate in and this tuition is non-refundable. The student must also obtain a letter from the New York Board of Law Examiners, prior to the beginning of the second course, stating that they have not taken the New York Bar Exam.

1994 MANHATTAN SCHEDULE

Sat., Feb. 26
Intro/Criminal Law
9:30am-1:30pm
Criminal Procedure
2pm-6pm

Sun., Feb. 27
Torts I
9:30am-1:30pm
Torts II
2pm-6pm

Sat., March 5
Evidence I
2pm-6pm

Sun., March 6
Evidence II
2pm-6pm

Sat., March 12
Constitutional Law I
9:30am-1:30pm
Constitutional Law II
2pm-6pm

Sun., March 13
Real Property I
9:30am-1:30pm
Real Property II
2pm-6pm

Sat., March 19
Contracts/Sales I
9:30am-1:30pm
Contracts/Sales II
2pm-6pm

Sun., March 20
Contracts/Sales III
9:30am-1:30pm
Essay Writing Workshop
2pm-6pm

LOCATION: This course is offered only at the BAR/BRI Lecture Hall, 1500 Broadway at 43rd Street, New York City.

THE BAR REVIEW COURSE THAT CARES ABOUT YOU™
(800) 472-8899

The "Secret Santas" were
Carlos Acosta
Marilyn Alexander
Austin Bender
Kenneth Benjamin
Jennifer Berg
Carolyn Berry
Ron Boronkay
Gian (John) Brown
John Chalmers
Peter Ching
Loutie Cucurullo
Deby Denio
Edward Fagan
Martin Flaherty
Marilyn Force
John Franchini
Craig Friedman
Paul Garfinkel
Michelle Gelernt
Christine Gougeas
Helen Hadjymanakis Bender
Hugh Hanser
Brian Hauck
Helen Herman
Kim Holder
Gail Hollister
Dawn Hunko
Michael Juliano
Carla Jackson
Paula Jeffrey
Kevin Jeffrey
Diane Jesin
Veronica Kelly
Michael Lasanurone
Danielle LeBlanc
Grace Leon
Ethan Leonard
Mark Lugo
T. Scott Lilly
Evelyn Lokos
Amy Logest
Ann Maloney
Maria Marcus
Marjorie Martin
Jason Martinez
Maura McDonnell
Ronald Miller
R. Scott Montell
Patricia Moore
Mark Moran
Dan Murphy
Gay Murphy
Roslyn Myers
Jackie Nolan-Haley
Meryl Napach
Stephen Nordahl
Ann O’Connell
Kathy O’Malley
Claudette Parker
Rusia Pearce
Joseph Perillo
Jamal Petrus
Joan Phillips
Lourdes Ramirez
Kathleen Ruggiero
Belinda Samuda
Karla Sanchez
Susan Santangelo
Leslie Shuman
Laura Shubilla
Alice Silverman
Carolyn Singleton
Joan Sarle
Jennifer Verdizano
Maria Viskos
Lloyd Weinreb
Deirdre Lyn Wilson
Paul Woosner

I would like to extend an extra special thanks to the following "Secret Santas:" Assistant Dean Robert Reilly and RAMBLINGS, the Fordham Law Community Service Project, and the Latin American Law School Association, plus Kim Holder (6 letters), Jennifer Verizadio (6 letters), Carla Jackson (6 letters), Roslyn Myers (6 letters), Ann O'Connell (11 letters), and our SUPER "Secret Santa" — John Chalmers (31 letters).

There are more than 165 children out there who were made very happy by their thoughtfulness. Thank you.

Alice Silverman
January 4, 1994

N.B. New York Cares tells me that they were able to distribute over 1,500 letters this year. Wow!!!
New Tunes For A New Semester

By Lisa H. Greene

The uncapping of fresh Hi-Liters, the jangling buzz of the library’s fluorescent lights, the lunch time debris over who should be the first to try the chunky frozen yogurt, the endless translation to you, sorry. Bottom line: if you like Santana, you’ll love Sacred Fire. If you’ve never tried him, this can be an exciting introduction to the fiery, passionate music of Carlos Santana.

In Short: If your nerves are still shot from exams and mellow music is more your speed. Branford Marsalis’ latest album, Bloomington, fits the bill. The recording is strictly improv, a medium where Branford excels, but because it doesn’t suffer from the randomness sometimes equated with improvisational jazz, it appeals to both jazz purists and novices alike. The CD comes with a thoughtful, poetic guide to the music; complete with digital settings that correspond to musical analysis so you can listen to the precise passages while contemplating the explanation. Bloomington is an enchanting album with a strong Ellington influence that jazz fans, both casual and sophisticated, will enjoy.

BAR/BRI
BAR REVIEW

New York Course
Spring Semester Discount

Here’s what you get:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASS OF</th>
<th>$150 OFF</th>
<th>$100 OFF</th>
<th>FREE</th>
<th>YOU SAVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The BAR/BRI Course includes:

- Expert Coverage on all Multistate and New York Topics
- Comprehensive, Concise Outlines of New York and Multistate Law
- Mini-Review Volume Condensing New York & Multistate Law
- More than 100 Essays—All With Model Answers
- Attorney-Graded Essays
- More than 3500 Multistate Questions, All with Model Answers
- BAR/BRI Multistate Workshop
- BAR/BRI Essay Workshop
- Q & A Clinic™
- MPRE Review
- Individualized Attention
- Gilbert Multistate Exam Workshop (Non-BAR/BRI student tuition: $325)

800-472-8899

New York’s Largest and Most Personalized Bar Review Course

You must enroll by March 15 to receive discounts.
PIEPER FREE MPRE REVIEW

ANNOUNCING OUR LOCATIONS FOR THE MARCH MPRE EXAM

***NOTE: All classes will run from 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Sunday, February 13, 1994:

- LIVE Lecture
  Amphitheater, Main Floor
  Fashion Institute of Technology

Saturday, February 26, 1994:

- VIDEO-TAPE Lectures
  ROOM E 7/8
  ROOM 108, O'Brian hall
  ROOM 734
  ROOM 217, Breslin Hall

TO BE ANNOUNCED

Sunday, February 27, 1994:

- VIDEO-TAPE Lecture
  Room 110
  Room 403, Preston Hall

To reserve a seat, call 1-800-635-6569. This course is 100% free of charge whether a Pieper student or not. All students are welcome NO MATTER WHAT BAR REVIEW COURSE YOU ARE REGISTERED FOR!!!
Hearsay

It was pasta and vino to the max January 21 when the Italo-American Law Students Association held a "spaghettata," a traditional Italian dinner, at co-president Alex Militano's home. Some twenty Italophiles were in attendance, and Tom Giordano did bring his Louis Monte records ... Curt Schmidt and his group, Kosher Mexican Cookies, brought their acoustic stylings to The Advocate's "Unplugged Night at Lowenstein's Cafe. Curt also sang the praises of managing editor/sometime musical genius Bob "Robertino" Cinque for a couple of songs to close out his solo acoustic set. The Cookies will be at Street Level #5, Ave. A and Houston St. in the East Village, this Wednesday, February 9, at 9 pm. Curt has a lot of free passes, so just ask him for one or two ... 

Congratulations to James Costello and James Saunders, who were Regional Quarterfinalists in the Frederick Douglass Moot Court Team Competition.

On Sunday evening, January 8th, cheers could be heard in the library as Fordham wrapped up hosting the 1994 Phillip C. Jessup International Moot Court Competition for the Northeastern Region dinner in the Atrium. The competition ran all weekend long and saw 14 law schools compete. Columbia University School of Law won the region, while Boston College Law School took second place overall and received first place for best brief. As host of the event, Fordham did not compete in this round and instead will compete in Delaware in the coming weeks.

Coming up:

February 9, 16 and March 9 - Dean Feerick invites you to attend a small group workshop in an effort to learn more about you and your job search needs. Each workshop is limited to 12 1994 grads. Sign-up in the Career Planning Center.

February 10 - The Intellectual Property Law Journal hosts their second annual conference on "First Amendment and the Media."

February 15 - The Career Planning Center will present Alternative Legal Career Options Career Dinner to give students an opportunity to hear from, meet and speak with Fordham Law graduates and other attorneys who have utilized their law degrees in nontraditional ways to launch careers in fields including journalism, lobbying, education, teaching and business. Sign up in Career Planning, 84 payable in advance.

February 22 - The International Criminal Law Center is hosting a symposium on International Organized Crime. Panelists include noted defense attorney Bruce Cutler, Court TV's Fred Graham and John Miller, later of WNBC-TV and now a public-affairs director in the Giuliani administration. The conference runs from 4:30-9:30 pm in McNally.

March 1 - The night you've been waiting for - The FSSF Auction! Did for lunch with Rudy Giuliani and Don Imus! Or a lunch with Ed Koch! Or a Hockey Weekend in Montreal, including a Canadiens game! If Montreal is too far, how about a Ranger's game and drinks with Ranger's goalie Mike Richter. (Remember, this will be just before the Rangers win their 50th Stanley Cup!) These are just a few of the hundreds of goods and services up for grabs. All proceeds benefit the Fordham Student Sponsored Fellowships, which fund students in public service. By the way, the folks at FSSF are still accepting donations of goods and services to auction. Visit their offices in Rm. 11.
****BAR REVIEW SCHOLARSHIPS****

Dear 1994 Law School Graduate:

Recognizing the financial hardships graduating law students are faced with, BAR/BRI Bar Review is offering need based scholarships to help selected students defray the cost of bar exam preparation.

BAR/BRI Bar Review will award up to $100,000 in scholarships of varying amounts up to $250 each, to be applied toward current BAR/BRI tuition, including any early enrollment discounts.

Interested applicants must submit a letter indicating their law school and describing their financial condition as well as any reasons why a scholarship is deserved (amount of loans, commitment to law, etc). The applicant must not have a commitment for full-time employment following graduation from school. The applicant further agrees to renounce the scholarship should they receive a commitment for full-time employment by May 15th, 1994. This letter should be no more than one single-spaced typed page and should be returned to the BAR/BRI New York office - Attention: Scholarship Committee, by February 18, 1994. Students will be notified of their scholarship award by the end of February.

These scholarships are not assignable and will only be honored in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine and New Hampshire. Please specify in your letter which state’s bar review course you are planning to take.