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Student Bar Agrees to Direct Elections

McLaughlin Resigns As President; New Board Of Trustees Is Named

By John Camillo

On Tuesday, December 17, Fordham University announced the resignation of Father Leo McLaughlin as University President and an enlargement of its Board of Trustees that will give laymen a majority. Father Michael P. Walsh, former President of Boston College, will assume the post of University President.

At a surprise news conference held at Fordham Law School at the Lincoln center campus Father McLaughlin, who just announced his resignation as President and appointment as Chancellor, answered questions.

McLaughlin said that he had asked to be relieved of his duties because he had come to realize that it is virtually impossible for one man to do all the things that are demanded of a university president today." Father McLaughlin expressed his desire to devote his full time and efforts to meeting Fordham's present and future financial needs. Accordingly, he has been appointed to the newly created post of chancellor, whose primary responsibility will be to develop support to meet the financial needs that face Fordham. Father McLaughlin, who served as president for three years, has recently come under fire because of the university's financial difficulties and student unrest at both the Rose Hill and Lincoln Center campuses.

Fr. Walsh Named

Father Walsh is 56 years old and has served as President of Boston College for ten years before becoming President of Fordham's Board of Trustees eight months ago. After graduating from Boston College, Father Walsh earned his master of science and doctoral degrees from Fordham and is the first holder of a Fordham doctorate to become its president.

New Board

Also announced was the addition of fifteen laymen, including several non-Catholics, and two Jesuits to the newly expanded Board of Trustees. Mr. Joseph A. Kaiser, president of The Williamsburgh Savings Bank, has been named as president of the new twenty-six member Board. Mr. Kaiser has been a member of the Lay Board of Trustees of Fordham University.

In response to questions Mr. Kaiser stated that the potential meaning of a majority of lay trustees would be to "produce a greater rapport as far as the business community and city of New York is concerned."

Decree Denied

Father Walsh said that the new restructuring was not in any way related to the recent dissent at Fordham. Father McLaughlin also added that the timing of the announcement was not motivated by the fact that the majority of the university is currently on Christmas vacation.

One question left unanswered was why the old Board of Trustees did not delay the selection of the new president until the new lay members of the board are added.

Notice to all students who are planning to withdraw from the Law School prior to examination or in the second part of the second semester. Please notify the office in writing and indicate if you intend to return at a future date.

Special Report:

Where's All The Money Gone?

In the midst of the current upheaval among the undergraduate divisions of the University, an issue of vital interest to the law student has once again come to the fore: namely, Where Has All The Money Gone? The present relevance of this question is evidenced by the frequent discussion of the present level of tuition for graduate or professional school with a sizeable student body and presumably, the only school within the University which could sustain another tuition increase, especially in light of the consequent manner in which it accepted the last hike. With this in mind, Law School administration sources have indicated that a tuition increase would not be unexpected.

The debate on this point raged for nearly an hour. Most of those people in favor of direct elections opposed this rider as a delaying tactic which was only going to ask the students a question whose answer seemed obvious—"Do you want the right to vote?" It was also pointed out that when student rights are taken away by the SBA (as had happened last year when the SBA constitution was amended) there was no desirability on anybody's part to ask for a student referendum on the election of the president. Thus, the SBA intended it.

The SBA has once again come to the defense of the student, who, it is hoped, begins at home. Certainly the education at this University which could sustain another tuition increase, is the one which ranks within the top 20% of law schools in the nation vis-a-vis amount of tuition charged, enjoys one of the poorest faculty-student ratios; the Law School is trapped in a University-wide faculty hiring freeze which it now stands, the financial picture of the Law School which ranks within the top 20% of law schools in the nation vis-a-vis amount of tuition charged, enjoys one of the poorest faculty-student ratios; the Law School is trapped in a University-wide faculty hiring freeze which has severely hampered curriculum expansion and the institution of new programs at the school for which additional and expert faculty would be required; the report of the Student Association Academic Planning Committee for the past two years show serious deficiencies within the operation of the Law School, many of which have directly met the Fordham's crises of lack of funds in the face of increased operating costs.

In response to questions Mr. Kaiser stated that it is virtually impossible for one man to do all the things that are demanded of a university president today. Father McLaughlin expressed his desire to devote his full time and efforts to meeting Fordham's present and future financial needs. Accordingly, he has been appointed to the newly created post of chancellor, whose primary responsibility will be to develop support to meet the financial needs that face Fordham. Father McLaughlin, who served as president for three years, has recently come under fire because of the university's financial difficulties and student unrest at both the Rose Hill and Lincoln Center campuses.
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Cry Wolf

There were two simultaneous events at Fordham last week. In one a group of Negro students barricaded themselves in, and others out, of Dr. Meade's office so they could "persuade" him to meet their demands. The other event was a cluster of student, faculty, and parent meetings at the Liberal Arts College to see what could be done about its newly announced extinction. The demonstration at Rose Hill focused the public's attention on a relatively minor issue, to wit: whether or not the University would comply with a law that hasn't been implemented. The financial one was interested except those being eaten. And there wasn't any real ability to provide new additional members to the Board. The new Board may have a maximum membership of thirty-one. It will be receiving nominations and applications in the next few weeks, and the present nine members of the Board (all Jesuits) will serve until the right people can be found. This is the function performed by the Appellate Moot Court Committee. The reason for the demonstration is that the writers of the highest scoring brief, Ken Bureau, and Dave Wallace, both 2A. In addition, Joseph Porrino and John Flynn, the winners of the Competition, will represent Fordham in the various inter-school moot court competitions that will be occurring in the coming months.

Financial Fiasco

The expose on the front page of how the Law School is not getting its fair share of financial aid from the University calls for further investigation. A large part of our financial one was interested except those being eaten. And there wasn't any real ability to provide new additional members to the Board. The new Board may have a maximum membership of thirty-one. It will be receiving nominations and applications in the next few weeks, and the present nine members of the Board (all Jesuits) will serve until the right people can be found. This is the function performed by the Appellate Moot Court Committee. The reason for the demonstration is that the writers of the highest scoring brief, Ken Bureau, and Dave Wallace, both 2A. In addition, Joseph Porrino and John Flynn, the winners of the Competition, will represent Fordham in the various inter-school moot court competitions that will be occurring in the coming months.
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Porrino And Flynn Win Prize.

The Wormser Competition as well as the Freshman Competition were won by the Appellate Moot Court Committee of the Student Bar Association. The winners were Hon. Robert F. Freedman-3B. The committee is composed of the four highest scoring contestants of the Competition, and they are the winners of the highest scoring briefs in the competition. The Jacobus College of Law Moot Court Competition was won by the Appellate Moot Court Committee. The Marc Pellegrin Appellate Moot Court Competition is awarded to the highest scoring briefs, and in addition, the George Y. Holmes Appellate Moot Court Competition is awarded to the writers of the highest scoring brief, Ken Bureau, and Dave Wallace, both 2A. In addition, Joseph Porrino and John Flynn, the winners of the Competition, will represent Fordham in the various inter-school moot court competitions that will be occurring in the coming months.

Bar Results

Dean Mulligan announced that 87% of the Fordham graduates who took the Bar Examination last summer were successful. The passing percentage for the law school in recent years. Last year 64% passed, just enough to keep Fordham even with the state average.

Comment

If our generation is different from that of our parents it is because we are bred from concerns about our own survival. While our parents viewed a professional education as the key to economic security, more and more of our contemporaries view it as a necessary prerequisite to remake society. Whether you view this change with enthusiasm or alarm makes little difference — it is a fact, and it is becoming increasingly more the case. One look at the legal profession confirms this. The law as a profession has changed. Today the opportunities open to young attorneys have never been greater as new areas of legal practice have opened up as a result of our increased awareness of social injustice. In the future these new areas of the law are going to demand greater numbers of qualified lawyers. Dean Mulligan, in his address to the freshmen, acknowledged that today's law students have more varied opportunities and goals. However, a law school must provide more than an awareness of a law student's needs — it must provide those courses necessary to prepare the student for the bar and beyond that for his role in the legal profession.

Fordham Law has done a spectacular job in preparing students to pass the bar, however it is no secret that our curriculum falls far short when it comes to preparing students for those areas of the law that are becoming increasingly more important. That doesn't only apply to poverty law, but also to areas of corporate practice such as trade-mark law and labor law. The fact is that the choice of elective courses is simply too limited to adequately prepare the student to meet the increased scope and complexity of today's legal practice.
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John Flynn and Joseph Porrino are the winners of the final argu­

ment. Robert Marini, Kenneth Burrows, John Flynn, and Joseph

Porrino, were the four highest scoring contestants in the Semi­

final rounds held during the two weeks prior to the Final argu­

ment. The other eight semi­
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Jim Fuller. The twelve semi­
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pants in the first round arguments.

John Flynn and Joseph Porrino (left to right) after winning the I.

Maurice Wormser Appellate Moot Court Competition.
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Instant Curriculum Reform
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Law Forum Embarks
On Ambitious Program

Following a period of suspended events caused by a lack of student interest during the campaign season, the Law Forum resumed a full schedule after Thanksgiving. Even though the New York Bar Association presented a very informative program discussing legal opportunities for law students and small firms in New York City this fall, the Law Forum proceeded with its regular informal coffee klatch program in the Student Lounge. Colonel Livingston of the town ROTC discussed a new and expanded program for law students with members of the town while in law school, thus ending their worries about the draft while training for an officer's rank in the Army.

On December 19th, Colonel Paul Axt, Director of the Selective Service System for New York City, will present an address on the status of law students and the draft. This is the last talk on this subject presented by the various Law Forum speakers, and those interested in working on the job of controlling those interested in doing so are requested to contact him or leave their names in the SBA office.

On December 9th, the Law Forum concluded its fall semester, the chairman's address by the colorful and highly controversial attorney and businessman Roy Cohn was presented.

On the Left
By James P. Heffernan and Paul E. Burke

1968 has been an astonishing year for all of us who are "entertained" by the mass media. We have seen the "new politics" come and go. Doris Day, the girl-next-door virgin, has crashed from the movies to do her own pure T.V. show. Thirty years old Elvis Presley, via a T.V. special, is trying to revive an appeal of 10 years past. But even more incredible, R. Wagoner, the political phoenix, once considered less appealing a T.V. special, is trying to revive an interest in the policies of a political group that drew a wide response last spring.

Brownell, the Chairman of the Law Forum, states that he hopes to present at least one program each week during the next semester. To do so he needs the assistance of other students who are interested in working on the program. Those interested in doing so are requested to contact him or leave their names in the SBA office.

On the Right
By Gordon E. Brownell

One of the most popular games nowadays (if that trend-setter The New York Times is an example) is to speculate on what course the Nixon Administration is going to take as it attempts to solve the mountain of problems which the Kennedy and Johnson years have bequeathed to the American people. Apparently the way in-person stories are handled is to say something to the effect of "Well, gee Pat, I don't know what Nixon's going to do after all he hasn't said anything since he opened his campaign." This is the pattern which most of Mr. Nixon's critics have chosen to follow since his election last month, with the indubitable Mr. Arthur Schlesinger running away with first place in the game due to his most frequently-quoted line "This is the final analysis of what the Nixon Administration is going to do, but I don't know who's going to do it, or what they're going to say." How interesting, there is one group of people who do know what they're going to do, the Times did a grave disservice to its readers. For while Mr. Schlesinger has obvious difficulties grasping the complexities of modern politics, there are others who do not. William Buckley, James Jackson Kilpatrick, Russell Kirk and William Rusher are but four of those who do not. William Buckley has suggested that there is no more reason to expect anything different from Nixon than there is from the Fighting Forces, despite the fact that it has been traveling with a constructive analysis of what Americans can look forward to during the Nixon administration.
True Confessions: Girls Love Law

DEAN POP IN CINNIS. Seated (1 to r.). Elaine Postley, Phyllis Brezchtein, Katharine Standing (1 to r.). Marilyn Gainer, Nini Nittwug, Eileen Crimmis, Dean Mulligan, Lynne Gertner, Barbara Jonesowicz.

On The Left

(Continued from Page 1)

of 30. However, I was planning on going to law school, but I think I'll teach for a few years.

La Monde: Mr. President, could you tell us how you will get along with General De Gaulle?

Pres.: I've worked closely with General De Gaulle through the years. He is certainly a man who at a profession just like ours understands the importance of the law. I think that the President understands the importance of the law. It is saved for last. Elaine is married, and she is a member of the Supreme Court, and became Law Secretary to the next President, who is Murray, the next President.

On The Right

(Continued From Page 3)

of Presidents Kennedy and Johnson that has dragged the nation down to such a low point. The past eight years, and for the next few years, the campaign summed up the American experience in Asia.

We remember that the last time rather than hold the standard 9 to 5 job. This was basically to follow a career in life. But Kathy and Lynne see no reason why a woman shouldn't have a career just like a man does. They would hire a professional to raise their children while they were working.

When asked what they thought of the sherry parties, the gossip of the day, the single girls could be heard in the Dean's Office as all together remembered Professor Fogel's party comment "the girls who come to law school are those who couldn't find a husband in college." Absolutely not true they all agreed. Barbara commented "the fellows seem to us to want more than a husband, but that's ridiculous: we wouldn't put ourselves in such a strict academic atmosphere to find a husband.

Some general comments: Rita - "I think the boys can take a more detached attitude - a more logical approach to law." Lynne - "I disagree. I'm just as logical, but that isn't saying much.

Phyllis - "The professors seem to favor the girls in class.

Unanimous - No regulares about law school in general or Fordham in particular.

Anonymous - "We've treated like one of the fellas." But that's the end of the similarity between the men and the women.

Few law school majors range from communications to political science to city planning. Almost all the girls interviewed expressed discontent in working for a law firm or in private practice. Rate Gail's? Are interested in social service or government work, with the emphasis on helping, not simply making money. This humanitarian, noble, and self-sacrificing attitude was ex­ pressed by a young lady (name withheld) who said, "I worry about money, I'm not looking to support myself."

Almost without exception the con­ sensus was that they would prefer to work at their own pace, in their own time rather than hold the standard 9 to 5 job. This was basically to follow a career in life. But Kathy and Lynne see no reason why a woman shouldn't have a career just like a man does. They would hire a professional to raise their children while they were working.

that integration has worked in the armed forces, especially in times of war. Well, keeping them out of a draft pool is a means of bachus to the idea of an "all-minority" army, ex­cept for the officers of course. This sim­ple solution will take care of many poly­lems. It will get all those young, militant blacks off the streets, into uniform and assist in the civil defense. It will not, however, to increase the army pay scales for some time.

Peking Press: What will be your policy toward Asia and specifically toward China?

Pres.: I do have a strong feeling for Asia. As one of our greatest military minds once declared, you must destroy an area before you can save it. That's how we think of our experience in Asia. In contrast, I say to this one, with so much land and so many people in your country in China, we will have to have phenomenal de­struction before we can save you.

I'm sorry, gentle man, that I have this time for you. I promise you that your next year's annual press conference will be just as informative. Oh, and by the way, don't quote me.

Three Professors Join Law Faculty this Year

By Hal Platt

Three new full-time professors have this year been added to the law faculty who bring with them an outstanding record of academic achievement and practical experience in the application of his educational training in the actual practice of law.

Professor Lawrence V. Kelly, a native of Redding, Pa., attended Fordham College and received his J.D. from the University of Pennsylvania in 1962. He has taught in the year for the Institute of Government at Virginia, and has taught law with the firm of Pepper, Hamilton and Schmitt in Penn­sylvania, and lectured at Temple University Law School in 1967-68.

Dr. John E. Sprizzo, a native of Buffalo, was married in 1963, and was also married to the Mrs. Philip Wright, a member of Phi Beta Kappa. Professor Lawrence V. Kelly, a native of Redding, Pa., attended Fordham College and received his J.D. from the University of Pennsylvania in 1962. He has taught in the year for the Institute of Government at Virginia, and has taught law with the firm of Pepper, Hamilton and Schmitt in Penn­sylvania, and lectured at Temple University Law School in 1967-68.

Professor Louis A. Blumenson, a native of Reading, Pa., attended Fordham College and received his J.D. from the Union of Pennsylvania in 1962. Mr. Blumenson has taught in the year for the Institute of Government at Virginia, and has taught law with the firm of Pepper, Hamilton and Schmitt in Penn­sylvania, and lectured at Temple University Law School in 1967-68.