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Laurels For Feerick: An Alumnus To Remember

Last March, John David Feerick ’61 received the Medal of Achievement from the Fordham University Law Alumni Association. In presenting the award, John Vaughn, President of the Alumni Association, said that Mr. Feerick was “a model lawyer...a highly skilled, diligent practitioner who has generously made important contributions to the public interest.”

Addressing the several hundred alumni who attended the luncheon at which the Medal was presented, Mr. Vaughn explained that “John David Feerick may or may not be a ‘Junior’—it is not clear whether his father’s middle name was David.” Mr. Vaughn remarked on the incongruity of bestowing this award—which has been such a thing, a fact. Malcolm Wilson, former Attorney General Louis Lefkowitz and Judge Irving R. Kauf- man of the Second Circuit—upon a man “who does not even know his name.”

Vaughn went on to say that, because of his work with young lawyers and as a public servant, Feerick is known as “John the Good” at his law firm (Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom). Here at Ford- ham, he is known as the Patron Saint of Fordham Law because of his dedica- tion to and hard work on behalf of the Law School.

Feerick went to Fordham College before coming to FLS, where he was Editor-in-Chief of Law Review and graduated fifth in his class. After graduating, he spent a year with the United States Army’s 77th Division, in Korea. He then returned to Skadden, Arps, where he had worked summer- ners during law school, and where he has remained since. He became a partner in 1968.

Mr. Feerick has been a member of the Special Committee on Electoral College Reform and the Special Constitutional Convention Study Committee. Feerick was one of the forces behind the passage of the 25th Amendment. His book The Not-for-Profit Corporation (one of the four books he has written) was nominated for the Pulitzer Prize.

A specialist in the field of labor law, Feerick writes a monthly column on the subject for the New York Law Journal. He teaches a seminar at FLS.

A trustee of the University and a di- rector of the Law Alumni Association, Feerick continues to work for the Law School, particularly in the area of placement.

Upon receiving the Medal of Achieve- ment, a very moved John Feerick said, “I am speechless. To say that I am over- whelmed is an understatement. This is the greatest honor I have ever received.”

Rather than talk about himself, he then expressed his “deepest gratitude—to my parents, for values; my wife, Emily, for help and support; my six children, for the pleasure of their company; my partners and my secretary, Gloria Frank.”

Speaking with The Advocate several weeks after the luncheon, Mr. Feerick said that he felt “almost a conflict in receiving the Medal. It is 1 who have the Fordham community to thank instead of the other way around.”

Feerick spoke about Fordham, its stu- dents and the alumni they become. “I think that the students at Fordham are very spe- cial people. There is a community at Ford- ham. Non-Fordham people who have at- tended Fordham functions with me always say that there is something different about Fordham. We share a special bond. I have always felt this affinity. I owe most of what I have achieved to the opportunities Ford- ham gave me. I received my license to go forward and be the best I could be.

“Each of us has had some experience in education that fell a little short and which we found objectionable. I think the rule we should follow is to take a look at all the good, and then follow the principle that ‘I want to do and treat people as I should be treated, not as I was treated.’ It is too easy to blame school, and overlook that you get your license here—that’s where the focus should be.

“I try to use this standard when dealing with youth—I want to deal with younger people the way I want my children dealt with.”

In conclusion, Mr. Feerick said that the Law School needs its alumni—all the help it can get from them.”

—G.M.M.
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Film At II

By Mitchell Shron

On Thursday, September 18 in Dean McLaughlin’s office, Biblio Juris, Inc. demonstrated its “small way of saying thanks” to the Law School community. Robert Keeley, on behalf of Biblio Juris, its founding members and the present staff of the not-for-profit corporation, presented a Panasonic Video recorder with an available light, color and sound camera to the Law School.

Crime At Fordham: Who’s Next?

By KJ Nolan

Addison Metcalf, Fordham’s faculty law clerk, was the victim of a senseless and infantile act of vandalism during the Labor Day weekend. As the law school was gear- ing up for another semester, Metcalf walked into his office at his usual 7.a.m. to discover that his office had been desec- rated. Someone had gone wild with—appropriately—a child’s crayon. Photos of Metcalf with luminaries of law and educa- tion were covered with scribbling. His name had been crudely scratched out of his diplomas and certificates. The walls of the Faculty Reading Room, where he keeps his desk, were covered with obscene and scatological graffiti that “nudges” him by name.

The first question was obvious: Who would do such a thing? Adler and colleagues, using the room habit- ually neglect to lock the door when leaving. continued on page 7

The entire unit, soon to be perched atop a rolling metal stand, had its debut in the student lounge. On hand for the presenta- tion were Deans McLaughlin, Hanlon and Moore, Doctor Teclaff, and Professors Fogelman, Hollister and Hadjianakos. Also at the presentation were the founding members of Biblio Juris. Stuart McGregor, who started the bookstore in 1975 and is now practicing law in Florida, came up for the presentation. Mr. McGregor told the distinguished crowd that when Biblio Juris first opened its doors, it was given a small room on the second floor that is now part of the Law Review. It was not long before the bookstore had to expand to its present loca- tion in the basement of the Law School.

Mr. McGregor is now Chairman of the Board of the corporation. Also on the board are Louis A. Vichio and Thomas K. Penett. The present officers of the corporation are all third year students. Bob Keeley, president, Daniel Hayes, vice president and Michele Porto, the vice-president secretary were all on hand.

Also present were past members of Biblio Juris John Stein and Andrew Sethia and the second year students on the staff, Dan Ollen and Ed Hernandez.

Along with the presentation of the videocassette, Bob Keeley also presented a letter to Dr. Teclaff promising the library up to $1,000.00 to cover the purchase of books and services.

Biblio Juris is something that many students now take for granted. Few stu- dents are aware of what goes on behind the double yellow doors of its basement office.

Biblio Juris is a not-for-profit corpo- ration, complete with a board of direc- tors, officers, charter and corporate seal. As a NFP corporation, they do not pay any income tax.

Any profit beyond their operating expenses, as directed by the charter, must go directly to the Law School. Last year was the first year that Biblio Juris ran at a profit. It is a combination of last year’s and this year’s sales that have allowed the bookstore to be so generous to the Law School.

Almost all of the expenses that the bookstore incurs are paid for by Biblio Juris. The only exception is that the Law School rents the corporation the space now occupied by the bookstore free of charge. The place is run as a business. It is “not a clubhouse back here,” says Mr. Keeley.

Books are ordered well in advance, based on previous year’s sales. It is difficult to project requirements with total accu- racy. One factor that will vary the sales from year to year on any given book is the professor who will be teaching that course in any given semester.

Last year, Biblio Juris had to return 25% of the books to the publishers. This year, for the first time, the bookstore offered Black’s Law Dictionary. They sold out the first day and have gone through two reorders. They are also offering West’s Multistate Review for the first time. The book, which lists for $23.35 and is sold by Biblio Juris for $21.45, offers outlines in constitutional law, contracts, criminal law and procedure, evidence, real property and torts.

According to Mr. Keeley, Biblio Juris offers almost every book that lists for over $10 for 10% off the list price. The low prices are perhaps best reflected by the fact that students from other law schools in the New York City area come here to purchase their books.

The bookstore is a real business, offering its student-employees experience in running a corporation. Biblio Juris carries insurance, has Workers Compensation, is authorized and collects sales tax for New York State. “We do our level best,” says Mr. Keeley.
Necessary Funds

In an apparent attempt to discomfit as many students and create as much friction as possible, the Bursar's office has, in all their wisdom, seen fit to further SNAFU the already-tangled red tape involved in paying tuition via student loans. Last year you could cash a student loan check in your savings or checking bank, then pay the (even then exorbitant) tuition fees. However, The Advocate has learned, students must instead relinquish the entire loan check to the Bursar's office, then await a refund check, which will supposed arrive from three to five weeks later. According to sources at the Bursar's office, this new frustrating procedure is the University's response to a New York State law which went into effect on July 1, 1980. Maybe which is indeed the case — we have no cause to doubt the bursar's veracity, and no choice but to abide by their rules in any case.

What is most infuriating about the new procedure is not necessarily its needless complexity, but rather the fact that the hapless, helpless borrowing student cannot draw on an account — secured by his/her loan — in the interim to pay for his/her books. As we are all by now painfully aware, law books are not cheap. Indeed, they can cost anywhere from approximately $350 for freshmen, about $320 to $325 for second and third year students. These estimates don't even include all those very necessary stationery supplies.

And, since most loan plan bureaucracies run according to a time-tested form — slow as molasses — the general rule is that the student doesn't get the loan check itself in his/her hot little hands until one to seven days before classes start. The safety margin is even slimmer for first year students, since many apply for loans rather late. Actually, the timeliness of any student's loan application appears not to alter this timetable.

What all this means is that those students who need funds the most urgently — those who must take out a loan rather than drawing on savings or relying on their parents for tuition fees — are the very ones who have, in the beginning, no money with which to buy books for the first, second, third, fourth, and probably fifth weeks of class. Notwithstanding your claim that you don't read assignments for most of the semester anyway, this book-system is to put it mildly, no way to get a law school education.

Worse yet, relevant offices in the University and Law School say they know nothing of the problem, and could not aid those students even if they did. The Bursar's office, of course, says they can do nothing. The Financial Aid office states that they cannot loan students even emergency/hardship funds for the purpose of buying crucial books or, indeed, for any other purpose (ignore the fact that the office is supposed to do precisely this for law students even emergency/hardship funds for the purpose of buying crucial books, or, indeed, for any other purpose (ignore the fact that the office is supposed to do precisely this for law students). Whatever said is, the administrators of the campus, Moore and McLaughlin seem puzzled not only as to what can be done for such students, but apparently have no idea where to refer the student in his/her search for funds. The law student who says to call Dean Moore's office; Dean Moore's office suggests calling Dean McLaughlin's; Dean McLaughlin's assistants advise the student to call either the Bursar or Financial Aid.

Meanwhile, many students are quite probably without books or the funds with which to buy them, and the University holds onto checks for up to $600, for five weeks, without interest.

Something must be done. The Financial Aid office could easily budget for and lend out necessary funds to students with just this kind of need. The offices of Dean Moore and McLaughlin might also budget for such a contingency, and loan book money as the needy case arise. At the very least, student organizations, or Biblio Juris itself, could arrange for needed loans or book credit (I.D. card to tuition receipt given as collateral, perhaps) until the student receives the refund check. Ignoring the problem will not make it go away. It may cause financially desperate students (or even those not quite desperate) to fall irreparably behind in their work, or — worse yet — simply drop out.

The situation can only continue, since the aforementioned law will most probably continue in effect, and will most certainly become worse as loan plan bureaucracies inevitably slow down even further. Some remedy must be found. This is, after all, no way to run a major law school, or a major university.

Ranking Rankles Students

By Daniel Heyman

This year, for the first time, Fordham Law students are venturing out into the market place without a rank. In the past, prospective employers had the luxury of knowing exactly where a Fordham Law student placed among his peers (e.g., 37th out of 245). While this system made the employer's job somewhat less onerous, students became increasingly annoyed with the peculiar distinctions made by the system and utilized by employers.

For instance, since most students' averages fell between 77.0 and 82.0, as many as thirty students can have the same GPA when it is not computed to two or three decimal places. The result was that students in any one class were separated by a mere two points (e.g., 79.9 vs. 81.0) could have been ninety places apart in class rank. Many firms have a policy of interviewing only the top thirty-five students of a given class. When strictly adhered to, this policy could exclude students whose grades were one-tenth of a point lower than those of students who were granted interviews.

Two years ago, with these inequities approached for its laziness. However, since the aforementioned law was approved by referendum, which asked students to vote on the abolition of class rank, and those who voted "yes" or "no" on the abolition of class rank, and voted "yes" to vote for one of two alternative systems.

Of the 80% who voted for abolition, 50% favored a system which retained numerical grades, and disclosed ranges of GPAs for each class (e.g., 84.0%-80%); 20% favored "percentiles" as in the NYU system of grading with "Honors, Very Good, Good, Pass, Fail" and disclosing established grading guidelines on student transcripts (Honors — top 75%, Very Good = 80%-90%, etc.).

After the SBA and Alumni Placement Committees reported their findings, the Curriculum Committee, chaired by Professor Fogelman, voted to eliminate class rank and replace it with our new system. When strictly adhered to, this policy could exclude students whose grades were one-tenth of a point lower than those of students who were granted interviews.

The new system, while presenting difficulties of its own, does eliminate the prejudices inherent in class rank. Numerical grade averages are computed and rounded off to the nearest whole number.

This means that averages of 80.07, 80.30, and 80.49 all are rounded up to 80.5. An 80.51 would be rounded up to 81.0.

While this seems to benefit certain grades more than others, it does eliminate the infinitesimal distinctions of the old system.

Recruiters are given the same information which students received this summer concerning the percentile categories of various grades.

In reading this information, some students were surprised by the phrase "percentiles." As the experience of the Law School that students in the upper class attaining the following grade point averages fall into the following percentiles" (emphasis added).

This implies that, in fact, the actual percentiles for this year's classes have not been computed by the Administration. If this is true, the Administration should be reproached for its laziness. However, since Fordham has never suffered from grade inflation, the distribution of grades over the years has remained more or less the same.

One criticism of the new system is that the percentiles (10%, 25%, 50% and 75%) are too broad. While the class rank system divided a class into too many categories (as many places as there were students), this new system may create too few. A student who falls just below a given percentile takes quite a spill. One proposal that was apparently rejected by the Curriculum Committee was to reduce the percentiles at 5%, 15%, 25%, 30%, 50% and 75%. This would have remedied the aforementioned problem to some extent and would not have substantially hurt those who now fall in the top 10% or 25%.

Finally, the dilemma of what to put on one's resume troubles some students who fall in the middle of a percentile category. Elizabeth Walters suggests that a student put his/her grade average on the resume and then reprint the range of grades per percentile. If one estimates his exact percentile (e.g., top 30% or top third), he should clearly state that it is an approximation.
IT'S TIME TO JOIN

15 years of experience have taught us there's no substitute for preparation
"The SMH WAY"

SMH BAR REVIEW

Fordham Student Rep: Bill McGinty

1123 BROADWAY at 25th   ROOM 716   NEW YORK, NY 10010
(212) 675-7800
Radio Radio II: What's Going On

By KJ Nolan

As the audience builds, the numbers climb and the station owners suddenly have a marketable commodity. Suddenly the air is filled with increasingly uptight advertisers, administration take-overs, everything is sterilized... Suddenly there's no "community" out there, but a "share" of the "quarter-hour audience" instead.

Ben Fong-Torres, Rolling Stone

"We haven't told you this, KJ... The speaker paused for a sip of beer in Martin's. "We haven't told you this, but there's a lot of us here who really believe in WNEW. Who look forward to listening to it."

Indeed. The responses to The Advocate's Spring '80 closer, a eulogy to the recently defunct WPIX power-pop format, was encouragingly strong, and unapologetically negative. But half of the readers were considered "Rockin' Into the Eighties" flawlessly, a blessing from the Lord, immune to criticism. The other half were incensed over disparaging remarks about the folks at "Rock Lives," expressing not the slightest concern over its lack of commitment to new music. A few—a very few—persons of taste and distinction agreed with my remarks that New York rock and roll radio was stagnant.

I've been thinking a lot this summer, and I've been listening to a lot of radio. Now that the New York scene is barely a memory, I've been listening to a lot of radio. Even musicians: like the Eagles and Led Zeppelin don't move the way they used to. Blame is usually placed on the passing of the baby boom, but I've been observing people's reactions: Believe that, and you're probably convinced that the auto industry's troubles are related to meddling government and greedy Arabs. The music industry is troubling because it has gotten too much for its own good. Rock and roll isn't stagnant because there are no artists capable of creating new sounds and new directions. It's stagnant because record companies are terrified of taking a chance signing such artists. Rather than risk the uncertainty of something new, they'll sign four hundred clones of whatever was selling last month. "We've got to get money out of the shelves because they're older and paying mortgages. They're leaving it on the shelves because friends, and neighbors, they're bored."

If the record companies won't take a chance on new music, you can hardly expect the radio stations to do so. The companies and stations have an oddly symbiotic relationship. In the past, the airwaves were open to the days when FM radio was the only way the companies had to sell the new music. Now the A&R man from Warner Brothers will in many cases fly out from your local "Rock Lives" sit and regale each other with assurances that some guy who is going to be an even Newer Bob Dylan than whoever was the New Bob Dylan yesterday, is really starting to "happen."

Similar conversations produce the New Led Zeppelin (AC-DC, Def Leppard, Judas Priest), the New Fleetwood Mac (Cream, Carlos Santana), et cetera. Occasionally, almost by mistake, something genuinely new slips by. In spite of the industry's efforts to ignore it, it becomes a hit, so then the industry tries to outdo itself by repeating the formula. (Almost) Black man on White radio shows. The Beatles were white kids playing black music. The Jefferson Airplane included extended solos and jams in their records and pioneered trick endings. King Crimson worked jazz and chamber idioms into a rock and roll context. None of these people would ever have made it onto vinyl if the record companies had been smart. "Are you kidding, this will never sell?" You'd never have heard them if the radio programmers had said, "Are you kidding, our listeners like to hear jingles?"

That's why punk music was such a central issue in the controversy over WPIX. You may like it or you may not. (If you don't, listen to yourself; you probably sound just like your parents talking about the Beatles. "That's not music, that's trash! They look like bums, and they can't play"

But punk has been the only major change in the music business in the past ten years. It's not punk rock that's the problem, it's punk rock records, it was little more than an announcement that Rock intended to live in the past. Of course, when the stuff started to sell, Muni renegotiated some and granted some of the more innocuous "New Wave" bands airplay. The station progressed, but reluctantly, petulantly, like a grumpy sitting astronaut sitting down to dinner with his long-haired son.

In the late '60s WNEW FM made its name by breaking the rules. By the late '70s WNEW had replaced the new rock and roll radioitself with a strange new breed of radio programming and striving to keep their perspectives as wide as possible. Classical stations are even more broad-minded; you could hear Schumann and Beethoven and Sibelius and Satie. "Hey, what is this Bartok stuff, man, play more Mozart!" Even the musak stations don't feel compelled to play the same songs over and over for hours on end. Our traditional rock radio stations take care of this, too. They keep things drum-tight, under the impression that their listeners don't have the intelligence to absorb anything except the hit. And if it's up to you if want. I call it insulting.

The problem with arguing radio is that the people you're arguing with will just deny the truth of what you're saying. Sure they play new music, someone will say, but they play the Talking Heads all the time. Then truthfully observe that you've heard them do so twice in the last year, and it turns into a "Yes they do! No they don't!" conversation that gets nowhere. Scott Muni constantly boasts that WNEW is not singles-oriented, but anyone who's heard them play, say, anything from the Vipers to the Heart to the Cars will have to disagree.

In the late '60s WNEW FM made its name by breaking the rules. By the late '70s WNEW had replaced the new rock and roll radio itself with a strange new breed of radio programming and striving to keep their perspectives as wide as possible. Classical stations are even more broad-minded; you could hear Schumann and Beethoven and Sibelius and Satie. "Hey, what is this Bartok stuff, man, play more Mozart!" Even the musak stations don't feel compelled to play the same songs over and over for hours on end. Our traditional rock radio stations take care of this, too. They keep things drum-tight, under the impression that their listeners don't have the intelligence to absorb anything except the hit. And if it's up to you if want. I call it insulting.

The problem with arguing radio is that the people you're arguing with will just deny the truth of what you're saying. Sure they play new music, someone will say, but they play the Talking Heads all the time. Then truthfully observe that you've heard them do so twice in the last year, and it turns into a "Yes they do! No they don't!" conversation that gets nowhere. Scott Muni constantly boasts that WNEW is not singles-oriented, but anyone who's heard them play, say, anything from the Vipers to the Heart to the Cars will have to disagree.

In the late '60s WNEW FM made its name by breaking the rules. By the late '70s WNEW had replaced the new rock and roll radio itself with a strange new breed of radio programming and striving to keep their perspectives as wide as possible. Classical stations are even more broad-minded; you could hear Schumann and Beethoven and Sibelius and Satie. "Hey, what is this Bartok stuff, man, play more Mozart!" Even the musak stations don't feel compelled to play the same songs over and over for hours on end. Our traditional rock radio stations take care of this, too. They keep things drum-tight, under the impression that their listeners don't have the intelligence to absorb anything except the hit. And if it's up to you if want. I call it insulting.
Alternative Careers: There Are Two Views

By William T. McGinty

The lawyer’s dream is to be in front of the court room, board of directors or high governmental officials, at the height of a crisis, and to be called on to resolve the problem at hand. Through his training and long preparation, he understands the scope of the problem and resolves the conflict successfully.

This training to develop an analytical understanding of a situation (and the discipline of preparation) are the purposes of these years of law school. But the place, position and surroundings in which you practice these developed skills is your own choice. Luckily the choices are endless.

Today lawyers are successful teachers, high school principals, college professors, real estate brokers, sport and rock agents, novelists, screenwriters, investment bankers, political advisors, elected officials, government administrators, corporate general counsels, directors and often chairpersons of the board.

Success can be defined as having determination over one’s life. If this is true, then the lawyer’s success or failure is determined by how true he or she is to personal goals. Both the associate at White & Case and the lawyer-turned-sports-agent succeed or fail depending on whether they have compromised their career objectives. A legal education does open doors. The choice of which doors is yours.

In a society in which 83% of all workers are dissatisfied with their jobs, we are blessed to be in a field that provides mobility, opportunity and a sense of freedom. With the requisite vision and the willingness to work, the lawyer can easily tune his skills to fit most any task presented in this modern society.

It is easy for students to feel insecure about their futures in the highly competitive market for positions in top firms. Most students worked long hours and still came up shy of law review membership standards. Many students are dejected and disillusioned now that their plans seem so tenuous and uncertain.

But students must be selfish and act in their own best interest. Employers know that law review members will be taught to write and research correctly and precisely. This is why they are so highly employable. For the rest of us to be successfully employed we must also learn these skills, but it will be more difficult. We will have to demonstrate our skills to prospective employers through participation in the most Court competitions, writing for one of the other journals, experience gained in part-time employment and improved grades.

The responsibility is yours.

EXAM NUMBER 382
Placement Office Correspondent

As October approaches, it is time again for second and third year students to begin considering the entire world of alternative careers. In order to aid the student with this process, the Placement Office offers its Alternative Career Program, consisting of panels, seminars and on-campus interviews.

Flaubert once wrote that “A good alternative career is like a run-on sentence.” An uncanny insight, and one that is especially relevant in these harried modern times. Yet, it is often the case that students shy away from alternative careers. With this thought in mind, the Placement Office provides the following services:

PANELS

Panels will be conducted by Fordham Law alumni. Panel members will discuss their alternative jobs in relationship to their legal education. The connection is often very strong one. For example, Brian McNulty, head security guard at Hofstra Law School and a member of one panel, has stated that “Thanks to the skills I acquired at Fordham Law, it is easier for me to tell library books from non-library books when I check inside people’s briefcases.” Other panel topics are listed in the Placement Calendar.

SEMINARS

There will be no seminars. If you want seminars, set them up yourself.

THE INTERVIEWING PROCESS

In addition to setting up on-campus interviews, the Placement Office also provides counseling on the interview process. The Placement Office feels it is important for the student to determine the special characteristics that each potential employer is looking for. For example, one interviewer for a particular warehousing concern states that “Grades, of course, are the starting point, but we consider many other factors as well. Is the student eager and ready to assume responsibility? Does he or she have poise, character, and integrity?” And, most important, can the student operate a Gordan hydraulic forklift with Pinston drive?

The Placement Office also advises students on how to prepare answers in advance for frequently-asked questions. The five questions that interviewers tend to ask the most are:

1. Is this number your grade point average or the year you were born?

Tax Professor Gets The Highest Returns

By Victoria Erin Towns

Second and third year students taking Corporate Tax may have noted a recent addition to the Fordham Law School faculty.

He is Prof. Douglas A. Kahn, recipient of the George Bacon-Victor Kilkenny Chair for distinguished visiting professors. Prof. Kahn will be teaching at Fordham for one year before returning to the University of Michigan Law School, where he is Tax Professor.

Though the route from Michigan to Fordham has been fairly direct, the road Kahn took from private practice to teaching was somewhat more circuitous.

Before graduating from George Washington Law School in 1968, where he attended at night for the first year, Kahn clerked for the tax firm of Covington and Burling.

Six months later, upon graduation, he moved on to the Justice Department, as a trial attorney, where he spent two years in the Appellate Civil and two years in the Appellate Tax Departments.

In 1962, he joined a small five person firm, Sachs & Jacobs, specializing in tax law for two years.

I had always wanted to teach," Kahn notes, "and I had approached several people at George Washington upon graduation to see if this was feasible. But I was gently dissuaded with the news that I you didn't have enough time to think. I would have to do several years of graduate work. Somehow, though, I never stopped looking.

One break. of sorts. came when his first wife (also a lawyer) was invited to interview at Harvard Law for a position in their Legal Writing Department, and answered in the conditional affirmative. "She sent a copy of my resume," Kahn chuckles, "and asked that I also be considered." Harvard invited both Kahns to Boston for a "visit." (Such visits, or preliminary interviews, are traditional in the professorial profession.)

"Oddly enough, I was dubious about the whole thing," says Kahn. "I hate Boston—though I know many people love it. Then, too, I wasn't sure I wanted to leave practice for one or two years, perhaps to end up teaching at a school I wasn't really happy with. I wanted something a bit more permanent. And I didn't like the whole Harvard Mystique. There are any number of good law schools—Fordham among them—where quality prevails. What makes them good is teacher and student interest, not mystique."

After about a week at Harvard, Kahn was approached with a somewhat unusual proposal. "They told me, 'If we were to make you an offer, how soon could you accept?' They kept emphasizing the fact that this was not an offer. 'Understand, however, that we're not making an offer.' I said about a week." One week later, Kahn wrote declining the non-offer. "The head of the department came back to me. 'Perhaps you didn't have enough time to think. If you took a bit more time—understanding, of course, that this is not an offer—what might your decision be. If we were to make you an offer, which we aren't.' Kahn

savors the memory, chuckling. "I told them that if they were to make me an offer—which I understood they weren't—I would decline."

In the meantime, Kahn surmises, Harvard had contacted the Justice Department for information about him. He believes that Lewis Oberdorfer, then Assistant Attorney in the General Tax Department and now Federal District Judge for the District of Columbia, alerted the head of the Personnel Committee at the Justice Department that Kahn might be interested in teaching. At that time, Hart Wright, head of the Tax department at Michigan, was looking for names of attorneys interested in teaching. "He probably contacted the Justice Department, and they mentioned me."

Returning from Boston to Sachs & Jacobs, Kahn received an ambiguous call from Allen Smith, Dean of Michigan Law, inviting him to lunch. "I thought it was a mistake," recalls Kahn. "But I was jubilant. Though I had at first felt a moral obligation to Sachs & Jacobs, that was passing, and I was hoping that the lunch would lead to a teaching spot. I guess the firm knew what was going on the day I had my appointment with Smith—it was the first time that I was wearing a neat, pressed blue serge suit and had a completely neat desk."

The lunch did indeed involve a possible teaching position, though Smith advised Kahn that he wouldn't hear definitely until sometime in 1963. "I got the offer by letter in November of '63, and was ecstatic. But I decided to let a properly decorous time pass before answering: I answered the next day."

Since then, Prof. Kahn has spent sixteen years at Michigan, teaching a variety of tax courses. Kahn is enthusiastic about the two courses he teaches at Fordham, Corporate Tax and Advanced Corporate Tax. "It's an easy course to teach. One reason is that many students are afraid of Tax. They think it involves high level mathematics, so they come in expecting castor oil. But it really involves very little math. It's quite a fascinating subject. It does require knowledge of the regulations, and statutory construction and interpretation. I try to leave it with a certain amount of my own enthusiasm for the subject."

On teaching methods, Kahn is flexible. "Part of teaching is entertainment, to be sure, but not all. Many other things are useful, even essential, to the good teacher. My most brilliant law teacher did not entertain. He also did not appear to prepare for class. Instead he sat for hours, thinking a complex problem through. As he thought, he would get into higher and higher realms. Unfortunately, when he came into class, he forgot to go back to the beginning—which can be pretty frustrating for the student—so each class began at an advanced level. But for those students who could follow him—and I could, occasionally—his brilliance more than made up for it."

"Does he still love teaching? "Absolutely. There is nothing I would rather do than this. Nothing."

The Marino Bar Review Course, with over thirty years of unequalled success preparing students for the New York Bar Exam, and the Josephson Bar Review Center (BRC), the nation's most innovative legal educator and most successful national bar reviewer, have joined forces to develop an extraordinary bar review program integrating the best features of both institutions. The result—a course perfectly designed to assure that you pass the new New York Multistate Bar Exam.

FORDHAM BRC REPS

Joseph Gaeta
Ed O'Keefe
Lynn Waldvogel
Michael L. Sharpe
Joan Winter
Robert Mongoluzzi
Lawrence Orenstein
Alan Rabinowtiz
Marcia Eisenberg
Nora H. Baud
SECURITY continued from page 1

When this happens, entrance to the Reading Room is just a matter of entrance to the hallway. For a student, this is hardly a problem. But Leo stresses that on week-end nights, outsiders would also have an easy time of it. On regular rounds a guard has six places to check in the building. Checkpoints are spread throughout the building, and a full round takes 10 to 15 minutes. The result is that every hour, on the hour, the front desk is abandoned for 10 minutes or more.

The attack on Metcalf's office probably was not the work of outsiders. But clearly, not all crime at Fordham is committed by students. Thefts are infrequent but recurring and are a consistent problem. Outbreaks of purse-snatching in the library and basement reading room occur periodically. Shortly after the current semester began, a purse-snatcher was working the school, and only the efforts of two students prevented the crime. Book thefts total approximately $4,000 annually, according to Dr. Ludwig Teclaff, director of the library.

Is security at Fordham inadequate?

Most would agree that it is. Assistant Dean Robert Hanlon is not among them. Dean Hanlon maintains what he calls a "very traditionally American viewpoint," that a laissez-faire approach to security regulation is vital to a civilized organization. Hanlon is adamantly opposed to a system where constant production of identification and submission to searches of bags and briefcases is not offset by the loss in personal liberty. Hanlon insists that under a stricter system, such calling for more thorough processing and in Lowenstein Hall.

As assistant dean to the Dean of Students, Hanlon is in a position to assess the effectiveness of the security arrangements. Hanlon stated that the recent incidents would make the Law School Administration amenable to increased security. The University, however, is not convinced. Dean Moore sums up the Rose Hill attitude toward incidents such as the vandalism in Metcalf's office: "These things happen." Despite repeated requests for more thorough protection, Moore says that Rose Hill will give "not one whit more of coverage."

Security Chief Leo bears out this claim. He tells of coverage problems both in the Law School and in Lowenstein Hall. "It's up to them [Rose Hill]," he said, "to look at it or to appropriate more money or whatever." Vigorous efforts resulted in the installation of a guard's booth on the Robert Moses Plaza. The University seems to feel that further increases in coverage are too much to ask for.

It's business as usual at Fordham. A financial tug-of-war goes on between the Bronx and Manhattan while the entire Lincoln Center campus struggles for as big a piece as possible from a very small pie. At the same time, the debate goes on over what security is needed. Most seem to want increased coverage, but Dean Hanlon is not alone in his condemnation of "Druidian measures of security." Frank Leo tells of the most perfunctory security measures being met with vehement resentment by students and faculty alike. Even Dean Moore admits that much of the opposition to increased security has come directly from the students.

Meanwhile, students keep a close watch on their belongings and eye each strange face with suspicion. Fueled with the memory of recent incidents, people are more careful about locked doors, and guards are less willing to bend the rules. Addison Metcalf had his wall painted and his plaques cleaned, and continues on his rounds trying to laugh the whole thing off. The rest of us wait, and wonder who's next.

SECAUCUS, continued from page 4

The same woman wind up hacking away at each other; a newcomer to the group, afraid that the others don't accept him, throws himself into the game with exaggerated ferocity, and the guy who was the jock in high school but now pumps gas, is once again in his glory faking his friends out with his old moves. However, all too soon, the game is over and everybody is back in the house recounting the sad tales of their lives and loves.

To make matters worse, the acting is extremely poor. Gayles used mostly unknown amateur actors and you may rest assured that nary a one of them is in the slightest danger of losing that status. Their work together resembles a Saturday Night Live sketch without the punch lines. The whole thing becomes really embarrassing when the group goes to an amateur theatrical in town and pokes fun at the untalented players. We're supposed to laugh at the amateurism of the local thespians along with the Secaucus 7, but actually the poor stiffs in the play are not much worse than the ones acting in the movie we're watching.

They are a pretty dull crew, these Secaucus 7, crawling dispiritedly towards middle age at a snail's pace. As the camera sluggishly followed their seemingly endless exploration of their own enuf, I found myself resolving to skip their next reunion. Most of you are luckier. You can miss this one.

CAREERS, continued from page 5

2. Do you know John Leo? (Inevitably, an ice-breaking question.)
3. What are your views on Oxford's theories of field modulation with regard to isothermal remnant magnetization?
4. What's your sign?
5. Do you interview here often?

AUDIO TAPE SERVICES
ALTERNATIVE CAREERS CALENDAR
October 2 Panel: Choosing an Alternative Career
October 3 Panel: Your Grade Point Average and Where It Came From
October 8 Panel: Medicine and the Law: Your Exciting Career as a Paramedic
October 11 Panel: What Are We Doing Here on a Saturday?
October 14 Panel: Raising Nightcrawlers for Fun and Profit
October 22 Panel: Antiques: A Profitable Career
October 26 According to Joanne Dixon, the Remaining American Hostages Are Released
October 20 On-Campus Interviewing Begins and Ends
October 22 Alternative Career Program Closes With a Colorful Ceremony Featuring Flags of All Sections

*Door Prizes will be presented.
**Journal experience preferred.
Last summer, more than 3,300 persons studying for the New York Bar Exam took BAR/BRI. (Twice as many as all other courses combined.)

They did so because:

- BAR/BRI has had a consistently high pass percentage. At most major law schools last year, students taking BAR/BRI passed the New York Bar Exam on the first try with a percentage in the 90s and high 80s.

- BAR/BRI offers written summaries of all the law tested on the New York Bar Exam—both local law and Multistate law. Students learn the substantive law before going to class. Class time is spent focusing on New York Bar Examination problems, on hypotheticals and on the substantive areas most likely to be tested on the exam.

- BAR/BRI has an unparalleled testing program—for both the Multistate and New York local portions. The testing will include hundreds of Multistate and New York local multiple-choice questions, and local New York essays. Included are questions to be done at home and questions done in class under simulated bar exam conditions. Selected Multistate questions will be computer-graded, and selected essays will be individually graded and critiqued by New York attorneys.

- BAR/BRI professors are more than just experts on substantive law. They have accurately forecast many of the questions appearing on past New York and Multistate bar examinations. The faculty is composed of prominent lecturers on New York law, Multistate law and the New York Bar Examination. The 1981 faculty will include:


- BAR/BRI offers the maximum scheduling flexibility of any New York course. In Midtown Manhattan, only BAR/BRI has consistently offered two live sessions (morning and evening) during the summer course. Afternoon videotape re-plays are available. In our larger locations outside Manhattan, we offer videotape instead of audiotape.

  Locations already guaranteed videotape for Summer 1981 include: Albany, Boston, Buffalo, Hempstead, Ithaca, NYU/Cardozo area, Queens County, Syracuse, Washington D.C., and Westchester County.

- BAR/BRI provides updates and class hypotheticals. These handouts save valuable study time and minimize the note taking necessary in a BAR/BRI lecture.

- BAR/BRI offers a special CPLR course taught by Prof. Irving Younger. This program is in addition to the regular CPLR lectures contained in the winter and summer courses.

- BAR/BRI offers a special "Take 2 Bar Exams" program. This program allows students to be admitted to the New York Bar and another Multistate Bar.

- BAR/BRI offers a free transfer policy. If a student signs up for New York, does not mark his or her books and elects to take another state bar instead, all monies paid will be transferred to the BAR/BRI course in that state.

- BAR/BRI offers the widest selection of course sites and allows students to freely switch locations. Anticipated course locations for 1981 include:

  - Albany
  - Ann Arbor
  - Boston
  - Brooklyn
  - Buffalo
  - Charlottesville
  - Chicago
  - Durham
  - Hempstead
  - Ithaca
  - Manhattan
  - (Midtown) Live location

- BAR/BRI has the only New York bar review lecturer ever to receive five minutes of sustained applause for his lecture on the Rule Against Perpetuities.

BAR/BRI offers the maximum scheduling flexibility of any New York course. In Midtown Manhattan, only BAR/BRI has consistently offered two live sessions (morning and evening) during the summer course. Afternoon videotape re-plays are available. In our larger locations outside Manhattan, we offer videotape instead of audiotape.

Locations already guaranteed videotape for Summer 1981 include: Albany, Boston, Buffalo, Hempstead, Ithaca, NYU/Cardozo area, Queens County, Syracuse, Washington D.C., and Westchester County.

BAR/BRI provides updates and class hypotheticals. These handouts save valuable study time and minimize the note taking necessary in a BAR/BRI lecture.

BAR/BRI offers a special CPLR course taught by Prof. Irving Younger. This program is in addition to the regular CPLR lectures contained in the winter and summer courses.

BAR/BRI offers a special "Take 2 Bar Exams" program. This program allows students to be admitted to the New York Bar and another Multistate Bar.

BAR/BRI offers a free transfer policy. If a student signs up for New York, does not mark his or her books and elects to take another state bar instead, all monies paid will be transferred to the BAR/BRI course in that state.

BAR/BRI offers the widest selection of course sites and allows students to freely switch locations. Anticipated course locations for 1981 include:

- Albany
- Ann Arbor
- Boston
- Brooklyn
- Buffalo
- Charlottesville
- Chicago
- Durham
- Hempstead
- Ithaca
- Manhattan
- (Midtown) Live location

$100 discount ends Tues. Oct. 7th.

For more information on BAR/BRI, please contact:

Fordham Reps:
- Bob Mitchell
- Patricia Murphy
- John Lea
- Sharon South
- Jim Tyton
- Jackie Winn
- Steve Baratta
- Jack Hughes
- Gerald Joyce
- Joe Cammarano

New York's Number One Bar Review.
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