#### Fordham Law School

# FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions

Parole Administrative Appeal Documents

June 2023

# Administrative Appeal Decision - Williams, Dakota (2019-07-10)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad

#### **Recommended Citation**

"Administrative Appeal Decision - Williams, Dakota (2019-07-10)" (2023). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad/1478

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Administrative Appeal Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

### STATE OF NEW YORK - BOARD OF PAROLE

## ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL DECISION NOTICE

Name:	Williams, I	Dakota	Facility:	Five Points CF
NYSID:			Appeal Control No.:	11-171-18 B
DIN:	14-B-0292			
Appearance	ces:	Norman Effman Esq. Wyoming County Le 18 Linwood Avenue Warsaw, New York 1	gal Aid	
Decision a	appealed:	November 2018 decise months.	sion, denying dis	scretionary release and imposing a hold of 12
Board Me who partic		Crangle, Shapiro		
Papers cor	nsidered:	Appellant's Brief rec	eived April 30, 2	2019
Appeals U	Jnit Review:	Statement of the App	eals Unit's Findi	ings and Recommendation
Records re	elied upon:		-	arole Board Report, Interview Transcript, Parole n 9026), COMPAS instrument, Offender Case
Final Dete	ermination:			ecision appealed is hereby:  or de novo interview Modified to
Comn Mul	nissioner	Affirmed Vac	cated, remanded fo	or de novo interview Modified to
Comn	nissioner			•
		Affirmed Vac	cated, remanded fo	or de novo interview Modified to
Comn	nissioner			
		ation is at variance v le Board's determina	_	nd Recommendation of Appeals Unit, written nexed hereto.
				als Unit's Findings and the separate findings of nmate's Counsel, if any, on "

Distribution: Appeals Unit – Appellant - Appellant's Counsel - Inst. Parole File - Central File P-2002(B) (11/2018)

### STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

# APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION

Name:	Williams, Dakota	DIN:	14-B-0292
Facility:	Five Points CF	AC No.:	11-171-18 B
<u>Findings</u> :	(Page 1 of 2)		
imposing businesses	a 12-month hold. Appellant's ins	stant offer	tion of the Board, denying release and uses involve him breaking into several personal property. Appellant raises only
	that against him.		Thus, the Parole Board
-			
basis for 6 992 N.Y.S 120 A.D.	lenying parole release. <u>See, e.g., Matt</u> S.2d 813, 814 (4th Dept. 2014); <u>Matt</u>	ter of Bya er of Tho 64, 465 (	hile on community supervision is a proper s v. Fischer, 120 A.D.3d 1586-87, 1586, mpson v. New York State Bd. of Parole, (3d Dept. 2014); Matter of Guzman v. 1st Dept. 2006).
See Matte Dept. 201 960 N.Y.S	er of Almonte v. New York State Bd. 6), <u>lv. denied</u> , 29 N.Y.3d 905 (2017); 6.2d 827, 828 (4th Dept. 2013); <u>Matte</u> 48, 948-49, 939 N.Y.S.2d 132, 134 (2	of Parole, Matter of er of Stan	y with DOCCS rules in denying parole. 145 A.D.3d 1307, 42 N.Y.S.3d 691 (3d Karlin v. Cully, 104 A.D.3d 1285, 1286, ley v. New York State Div. of Parole, 92 lv. denied, 19 N.Y.3d 806, 949 N.Y.S.2d

The Board may consider denial of an EEC. <u>Matter of Grigger v. Goord</u>, 41 A.D.3d 1128, 840 N.Y.S.2d 174 (3d Dept. 2007); <u>see also Matter of Frett v. Coughlin</u>, 156 A.D.2d 779, 550 N.Y.S.2d 61 (3d Dept. 1989) (issuance of EEC is purely discretionary and denial of same amounts to no more than an interlocutory determination which may be considered by the Parole Board).

### STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

## APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION

Name: Williams, Dakota DIN: 14-B-0292
Facility: Five Points CF AC No.: 11-171-18 B

**Findings:** (Page 2 of 2)

The Board may consider negative aspects of the COMPAS instrument. Matter of Espinal v. New York Bd. of Parole, 2019 NY Slip Op 04080, 2019 N.Y. App. Div. LEXIS 4057 (3d Dept. May 23, 2019) (COMPAS instrument yielded mixed results); Matter of Bush v. Annucci, 148 A.D.3d 1392, 50 N.Y.S.3d 180 (3d Dept. 2017) (COMPAS instrument with mixed results including substance abuse relevant given use before crime); Matter of Wade v. Stanford, 148 A.D.3d 1487, 52 N.Y.S.3d 508 (3d Dept. 2017) (low risk felony violence but probable risk for substance abuse alcohol related crimes); Matter of Crawford v. New York State Bd. of Parole, 144 A.D.3d 1308, 46 N.Y.S.3d 228 (3d Dept. 2016) (scores not uniformly low including family support), lv. denied, 29 N.Y.3d 901, 57 N.Y.S.3d 704 (2017).

**Recommendation:** Affirm.