Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions

Parole Administrative Appeal Documents

May 2022

Administrative Appeal Decision - McKenzie, Winston (2019-03-29)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad

Recommended Citation

"Administrative Appeal Decision - McKenzie, Winston (2019-03-29)" (2022). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad/759

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Administrative Appeal Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

STATE OF NEW YORK -- BOARD OF PAROLE

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL DECISION NOTICE

Name:	McKenzie,	Winston	Facility:	Fishkill CF		
NYSID:			Appeal Control No.:	04-005-18 B		
DIN:	04-A-3396					
Appearanc	<u>ees</u> :	Lawrence King Esq. Dutchess County Publ 22 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New Y				
<u>Decision a</u>	ppealed:	March 2018 decision, months.	denying discretion	onary release and imposing a hole	1 of 24	
Board Mer who partic		Smith, Cruse			· ·	
Papers con	sidered:	Appellant's Letter-brie	ef received Janua	ry 9, 2019	•	
Appeals Unit Review: Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and Recommendation						
Records relied upon: Pre-Sentence Investigation Report, Parole Board Report, Interview Transcript, Parole Board Release Decision Notice (Form 9026), COMPAS instrument, Offender Case Plan.						
Final Deter	mination:	The undersigned deter	mine that the dec	ision appealed is hereby:	· . · ·	
Compil	lg <i>n/lif</i> ssioner	Affirmed / Vacat	ted, remanded for	de novo interview Modified to		
<u>Aller</u>	the -	AffirmedVacat	ted, remanded for	de novo interview Modified to		
Commi Cut Commi	AD	AffirmedVacat	ed, remanded for a	le novo interview Modified to	, 	

If the Final Determination is at variance with Findings and Recommendation of Appeals Unit, written reasons for the Parole Board's determination <u>must</u> be annexed hereto.

This Final Determination, the related Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and the separate findings of the Parole Board, if any, were mailed to the Inmate and the Inmate's Counsel, if any, on 3/29/19.

Distribution: Appeals Unit – Appellant - Appellant's Counsel - Inst. Parole File - Central File P-2002(B) (11/2018)

STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION

Name:	McKenzie, Winston	DIN:	04-A-3396
Facility:	Fishkill CF	AC No.:	04-005-18 B

Findings: (Page 1 of 1)

Appellant challenges the March 2018 determination of the Board, denying release and imposing a 24-month hold. Appellant raises the following claims. 1) the decision is arbitrary and capricious, and irrational bordering on impropriety, in that the Board failed to consider and/or properly weigh the required statutory factors. Nor did the Board explain how they weighed the factors. 2) the decision lacks details. 3) the decision illegally resentenced him to life without parole. 4) no aggravating factors exist. 5) the Board failed to comply with the 2011 amendments to the Executive Law in that the COMPAS was never reviewed, and the statutes are now present/future based.

A review of the interview transcript and of the Board decision show the COMPAS is never mentioned. The 2011 Executive Law amendments do require the COMPAS to be considered. Since the required COMPAS document is not mentioned anywhere in the official record, a de novo interview is required.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Vacate and remand for de novo interview.