### Fordham Law School

# FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions

Parole Administrative Appeal Documents

May 2021

# Administrative Appeal Decision - Anderson, William D (2020-02-28)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad

#### **Recommended Citation**

"Administrative Appeal Decision - Anderson, William D (2020-02-28)" (2021). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad/682

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Administrative Appeal Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

## STATE OF NEW YORK - BOARD OF PAROLE

# ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL DECISION NOTICE

Name:	Anderson,	William	Facility:	Hudson CF
NYSID:			Appeal Control No.:	02-156-19 B
DIN:	18-B-0867			
Appearances:		Charles Greenberg, Esq. 3840 East Robinson Road - #318 Amherst, New York 14228-2001		
Decision appealed:		February 2019 decision, denying discretionary release and imposing a hold of 24 months.		
Board Member(s) who participated:		Coppola, Smith		
Papers considered:		Appellant's Brief received September 30, 2019		
Appeals Unit Review: Sta		Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and Recommendation		
Records relied upon:		Pre-Sentence Investigation Report, Parole Board Report, Interview Transcript, Parole Board Release Decision Notice (Form 9026), COMPAS instrument, Offender Case Plan.		
Final Determination:		The undersigned determine that the decision appealed is hereby:		
Jomin Jomin	missioner	Affirmed Vac	eated, remanded fo	r de novo interview Modified to  r de novo interview Modified to
Comr	nissioner			

If the Final Determination is at variance with Findings and Recommendation of Appeals Unit, written reasons for the Parole Board's determination <u>must</u> be annexed hereto.

This Final Determination, the related Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and the separate findings of the Parole Board, if any, were mailed to the Inmate and the Inmate's Counsel, if any, on  $\frac{2/28/2020}{2000}$ .

Distribution: Appeals Unit - Appellant - Appellant's Counsel - Inst. Parole File - Central File P-2002(B) (11/2018)

## STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

## **APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION**

Name: Anderson, William DIN: 18-B-0867
Facility: Hudson CF AC No.: 02-156-19 B

**Findings:** (Page 1 of 1)

Appellant was sentenced to one year, four months to four years upon his conviction of DWI – previous conviction of designated offense within ten years. In the instant appeal, Appellant challenges the February 2019 determination of the Board denying release and imposing a 24-month hold. Among other things, he argues the Board failed to adequately explain why he is a threat to the community and points to low risk scores in his COMPAS instrument.

In its written decision, the Board explained its conclusion that if released at this time, there is a reasonable probability Appellant would not live and remain at liberty without violating the law and that release would be incompatible with the welfare and safety of society. While an inmate's COMPAS instrument cannot mandate a particular result, Matter of King v. Stanford, 137 A.D.3d 1396, 26 N.Y.S.3d 815 (3d Dept. 2016), the Board's decision does not address Appellant's COMPAS instrument in factually individualized terms. Under the circumstances presented here, a *de novo* interview is appropriate.

**Recommendation:** Vacate and remand for de novo interview.