### Fordham Law School

## FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions

Parole Administrative Appeal Documents

May 2021

Administrative Appeal Decision - Marshall, Robert (2020-02-10)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad

### **Recommended Citation**

"Administrative Appeal Decision - Marshall, Robert (2020-02-10)" (2021). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad/593

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Administrative Appeal Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

## STATE OF NEW YORK - BOARD OF PAROLE

# ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL DECISION NOTICE

	Name:	Marshall, R	obert	Facility:	Elmira CF		51
	NÝSID:			Appeal Control No.:	05-143-19 B		<b>4</b> 7
	DIN:	11-A-3351					
Appearances:		ces:	Roland R. Acev 27 Whitehall St New York, NY	reet, 5th Floor		я	E E
	Decision appealed:		April 2019 decision, denying discretionary release and imposing a hold of 24 months.				
	Board Me who parti	1000	Davis, Alexano	ler		es o	N
	Papers co	nsidered:	Appellant's Bri	ef received August 29	, 2019		
	Appeals I	<u>Jnit Review</u> :	Statement of th	e Appeals Unit's Find	ings and Recommendation		
	Records 1	relied upon:			arole Board Report, Intervio n 9026), COMPAS instrum		
-	Final Det	ermination.	The undersigne	d determine that the de	ecision appealed is hereby:		60
	Comi	missioner	Affirmed _	Vacated, remanded for	or de novo interview Modi	fied to	
	4		Affirmed	Vacated, remanded for	or de novo interview Modi	fied to	73 
/	[Ine]	missioner	Affirmed	Vacated, remanded for	or de novo interview Modi	fied to	0
	If the Final Determination is at variance with Findings and Recommendation of Appeals Unit, written reasons for the Parole Board's determination <u>must</u> be annexed hereto.						
	This Fina	This Final Determination, the related Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and the separate findings of the Parole Board, if any, were mailed to the Inmate and the Inmate's Counsel, if any, on $\frac{2}{10/2020}$ .					

Distribution: Appeals Unit – Appellant - Appellant's Counsel - Inst. Parole File - Central File P-2002(B) (11/2018)

LB

#### STATE OF NEW YORK - BOARD OF PAROLE

## APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION

Name: Marshall, Robert DIN: 11-A-3351
Facility: Elmira CF AC No.: 05-143-19 B

**Findings:** (Page 1 of 1)

Appellant challenges the April 2019 determination of the Board, denying release and imposing a 24-month hold. The instant offense involved the appellant entering the home of the 88-year-old female victim, demanding money from her, "manhandling" her, tying her hands and feet with cord, placing a mattress on top of her, and leaving the scene. The victim suffered from blunt force trauma to the head, upper extremities, and torso, and had a compression of the neck, and died the next day. Appellant raises the following issues: 1) the decision was arbitrary and capricious because the Board failed to apply the new future-focused regulations and focused primarily on the instant offense and Appellant's criminal history; 2) the Board relied on erroneous information regarding Appellant's crime and disciplinary record; and 3) a Commissioner was biased and improperly based his decision on his personal opinion regarding Appellant's crime.

A review by the Appeals Unit reveals that the Board relied on erroneous information insofar as they included details of the instant offense – that Appellant took a shower and made a sandwich while the victim lay dying – that were not included in the presentence investigation report. As such, a *de novo* interview is appropriate.

**Recommendation:** Vacate and remand for de novo interview.