#### Fordham Law School

# FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions

Parole Administrative Appeal Documents

December 2020

# Administrative Appeal Decision - Applegate, Bradford (2019-07-26)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad

#### **Recommended Citation**

"Administrative Appeal Decision - Applegate, Bradford (2019-07-26)" (2020). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad/50

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Administrative Appeal Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

## STATE OF NEW YORK - BOARD OF PAROLE

# ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL DECISION NOTICE

Name: Applegate,	Bradford	Facility:	Fishkill CF	5 6
NYSID:		Appeal Control No.:	10-042-18 B	
<b>DIN:</b> 89-T-2501				
Appearances:	Ashly Nikkole Davis 1 4 Times Square 24th Floor New York, New York	•		
Decision appealed:	September 2018 decis months.	ion, denying disc	cretionary release	and imposing a hold of 24
Board Member(s) who participated:	Drake, Berliner, Copp	ola		
Papers considered: Appellant's Brief received April 11, 2019				
Appeals Unit Review: Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and Recommendation				
Records relied upon:				Interview Transcript, Parole instrument, Offender Case
Final Determination. The undersigned determine that the decision appealed is hereby:				
Commissioner	Affirmed Vaca	ted, remanded for	de novo interview _	Modified to
Affirmed Vacated, remanded for de novo interview Modified to				
Commissioner Commissioner	Affirmed Vacat	ted, remanded for	de novo interview _	Modified to
If the Final Determination is at variance with Findings and Recommendation of Appeals Unit, written reasons for the Parole Board's determination <u>must</u> be annexed hereto.				
This Final Determination, the related Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and the separate findings of the Parole Board, if any, were mailed to the Inmate and the Inmate's Counsel, if any, on				

Distribution: Appeals Unit – Appellant - Appellant's Counsel - Inst. Parole File - Central File P-2002(B) (11/2018)

### STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

## APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION

Name: Applegate, Bradford DIN: 89-T-2501

Facility: Fishkill CF AC No.: 10-042-18 B

**Findings:** (Page 1 of 1)

Appellant challenges the September 2018 determination of the Board, denying release and imposing a 24-month hold. The instant offense involved the appellant repeatedly hitting the female victim in the head, and causing her death. He then dumped the body in the Hudson River. Appellant also damaged a hotel room during the incident. Appellant raises the following issues: 1) the decision is arbitrary and capricious in that the Board failed to consider the required statutory factors. 2) the Board's claim that the appellant lacked remorse is belied by the record. 3) the Board failed to make proper findings of fact in support of the statutory standards cited. 4) the decision lacks details. 5) the DA letter is old and should not be relied upon. 6) the Board decision illegally resentenced him. 7) the decision was predetermined. 8) the Board was biased. 9) many requested documents were illegally withheld from appellant or his counsel. 10) the Board failed to comply with the 2011 amendments to the Executive Law and the amended 2017 regulations in that the COMPAS has errors, and no reason for departure from the COMPAS was given.

A review by the Appeals Unit indicates the Board decision lacks details about legally required criteria. As such, a de novo interview is required.

**Recommendation:** Vacate and remand for de novo interview.