Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

All Decisions

Housing Court Decisions Project

2023-04-03

DIEGO BEEKMAN MUTUAL HOUSING ASSOCIATION, HDFC v. LEE

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing_court_all

Recommended Citation

"DIEGO BEEKMAN MUTUAL HOUSING ASSOCIATION, HDFC v. LEE" (2023). *All Decisions*. 942. https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing_court_all/942

This Housing Court Decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Housing Court Decisions Project at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

CIVIL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK		
COUNTY OF BRONX: HOUSING PART I		
	X	
DIEGO BEEKMAN MUTUAL HOUSING		
ASSOCIATION, HDFC,		
Petitioner,		L&T Index No. 302262/2021
-against-		Present: Hon. Shorab Ibrahim
DESIREE LEE,		Mot. Seq. 1
Respondent-Tenant.		DECISION/ORDER
	X	

RECITATION, AS REQUIRED BY CPLR 2219(A), OF THE PAPERS CONSIDERED IN THE REVIEW OF THIS MOTION BY THE PETITIONER TO VACATE THE ERAP STAY: NYSCEF Documents 9 Through 18).

UPON THE FOREGOING CITED PAPERS, THE DECISION/ORDER IN THIS MOTION IS AS FOLLOWS:

This non-payment proceeding has been stayed due to an Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERAP) application filed on September 10, 2021. (*see* Application Status webpage printout at NYSCEF Doc. 19). Petitioner now moves to vacate the stay. Respondent opposes the motion arguing, essentially, that the plain language of the ERAP statute requires the stay remain until OTDA makes a determination. (*see* Affirmation in Opposition at NYSCEF Doc. 12, par. 26).

The gravamen of petitioner's argument is that the application has been pending since June 2021, (see ERAP Status at NYSCEF Doc. 10, p. 16), and there is no indication that it will be approved any time soon. Petitioner relies on two recent cases where the court vacated the stay when the applicant was a rent-subsidy beneficiary, and the application has been long-pending. (see Affirmation in Support at NYSCEF Doc. 9, par. 11, citing Bay Park Two-LLC v Pearson, 77 Misc. 3d 534 [Civ Ct, Kings County 2022] and Reply Affirmation at NYSCEF Doc. 20, par. 18, citing Leschinsky v Lutula, 77 Misc. 3d 1206(A) [Civ Ct, Kings County 2022]).

In *Clinton Arms Assoc.* v *De Gonzalez* this court specifically declined to follow the cases cited by petitioner, holding that the plain language of the ERAP statue requires that the stay remain until a determination is made by OTDA. (see 2023 NY Slip Op 23079 at *4 [Civ Ct, Bronx County 2023], citing Elliot Place Properties v Jaquez, 77 Misc. 3d 1230(A), *3 [Civ Ct, Bronx County 2023] (Here, the unambiguous language of the statute, which provides protection to a Section 8 tenant who has applied for the program, shall be given its plain meaning and this court may not resort to statutory construction beyond the words of the statute).

For the reasons stated in *Clinton Arms Assoc. v De Gonzalez*, petitioner's motion is denied and the ERAP stay remains in effect. This constitutes the decision of the court. It will be posted on NYSCEF.

Dated: April 3, 2023 Bronx, New York

SO ORDERED,

/**S**/

HON. SHORAB IBRAHIM Judge, Housing Part