

Fordham Law School

## FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

---

All Decisions

Housing Court Decisions Project

---

2023-04-19

### 132-25 Maple Ave. Ventures, LLC v. Albisurez

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing\\_court\\_all](https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing_court_all)

---

#### Recommended Citation

"132-25 Maple Ave. Ventures, LLC v. Albisurez" (2023). *All Decisions*. 929.  
[https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing\\_court\\_all/929](https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing_court_all/929)

This Housing Court Decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Housing Court Decisions Project at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact [tmelnick@law.fordham.edu](mailto:tmelnick@law.fordham.edu).

<b>132-25 Maple Ave. Ventures, LLC v Albisurez</b>
2023 NY Slip Op 31433(U)
April 19, 2023
Civil Court of the City of New York, Queens County
Docket Number: Index No. L&T 64162/19
Judge: Clifton A. Nembhard
Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op <u>30001</u> (U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.
This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

CIVIL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF QUEENS: HOUSING PART B

132-25 MAPLE AVENUE VENTURES, LLC  
Petitioner-Landlord

-against-

EDGAR ALBISUREZ a/k/a EDGAR ELBISUREZ  
132-45 Maple Avenue, Apartment #0L6  
Flushing, New York 11355  
Respondent-Licensee

MEVELIN LIZETH SIERRA a/k/a MEVELIN SIERRA  
"JOHN DOE" and/or "JANE DOE"  
Respondents-Underlicensees

L&T Index No.: 64162/19

**DECISION/ORDER**

Hon. Clifton A. Nembhard

Recitation, as required by CPLR § 2219(a), of the papers considered in the review of petitioner's motion.

<b>Papers</b>	<b>Numbered</b>
Notice of Motion and Affidavits Annexed.....	1
Order to Show Cause and Affidavits Annexed .....	
Answering Affidavits .....	2
Replying Affidavits .....	3
Exhibits .....	

Upon the foregoing cited papers, the decision/order on this motion is as follows:

*Background*

Petitioner and respondent Mevelin Sierra settled this licensee holdover proceeding pursuant to an August 8, 2019 stipulation. In the stipulation, petitioner agreed to tender Sierra a two-year renewal lease in her name within ten days. Sierra agreed to sign and return the lease to petitioner within twenty days. She also acknowledged that \$14,799.77 in rental arrears was due through August 31, 2019 and agreed to pay same in monthly installments of \$400.00 on top of the rent, which was set at \$972.98, until the arrears are satisfied. The stipulation further provided that "[w]hereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee, and no person has an interest in the subject matter of this proceeding, the above-captioned proceeding is hereby discontinued without prejudice & w/o costs or

attorney's fees for either party." Respondent defaulted on the payments and petitioner now seeks to for a final judgment of possession, warrant of eviction and money judgment for the unpaid arrears against Sierra.

*Discussion*

A court retains "supervisory power over enforcement of so-ordered stipulations." *See, Teitelbaum Holdings, Ltd. v. Gold*, 48 NY2d 51[Ct App1979]. However, "[t]he law requires strict construction of language in written instruments that could work a forfeiture." *133 Plus 24 Sanford Ave. Realty Corp. v Xiu Lan Ni*, 47 Misc3d 55 [App Term 2<sup>nd</sup> Dept 2015]. Although the August 8, 2019 stipulation requires respondent to pay the arrears, it does not contain a provision which provides for the entry of either a possessory or monetary judgment upon respondent's failure to do so. In the absence of such a provision, the petitioner is not entitled to the relief it seeks. *See, Spring Close LLC v. Players Rest. Group Inc.*, 7 Misc3d 130[A] [App Term 2<sup>nd</sup> Dept 2005].

*Conclusion*

Based upon the foregoing, the motion is denied.

This constitutes the decision and order of the Court.

Date: April 19, 2023  
Queens, New York



\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Clifton A. Nembhard, JHC