Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

All Decisions

Housing Court Decisions Project

2022-12-23

901 Bklyn Realty, LLC v. Manigat

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing_court_all

Recommended Citation

"901 Bklyn Realty, LLC v. Manigat" (2022). *All Decisions*. 741. https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/housing_court_all/741

This Housing Court Decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Housing Court Decisions Project at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division: Second Indicial Department

M286552 AFA/

BETSY BARROS, J.P.
JOSEPH J. MALTESE
DEBORAH A. DOWLING
HELEN VOUTSINAS, JJ.

2022-07758

DECISION & ORDER ON MOTION

901 Bklyn Realty, LLC, petitioner-respondent, et al., petitioners, v Mireille Manigat, etc., et al., respondents, Ritania Lamour, appellant.

(Appellate Term Docket No. 2019-702 KC, Civil Court Index No. 70766/2018)

Motion by Ritania Lamour for leave to appeal to this Court from a decision and order of the Appellate Term, Second, Eleventh, and Thirteenth Judicial Districts, dated October 15, 2021, which determined an appeal from an order of the Civil Court of the City of New York, Kings County, entered March 19, 2019, and to stay enforcement of the decision and order, pending hearing and determination of the appeal.

Upon the papers filed in support of the motion and the papers filed in opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED that the branch of the motion which is for leave to appeal to this Court from the decision and order is granted; and it is further,

ORDERED that the branch of the motion which is to stay enforcement of the decision and order is granted, and enforcement of the decision and order is stayed, pending hearing and determination of the appeal on condition that the appeal is perfected on or before January 23, 2023; and it is further,

ORDERED that in the event the appeal is not perfected on or before January 23, 2023, the Court, on its own motion, may vacate the stay, without further notice, or the respondents may move to vacate the stay on three days notice.

BARROS, J.P., MALTESE, DOWLING and VOUTSINAS, JJ., concur.

ENTER: Maria 7. Fasulo

Maria T. Fasulo Clerk of the Court

December 23, 2022