

1939

Correspondence

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/flr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Correspondence, 8 Fordham L. Rev. 150 (1939).

Available at: <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/flr/vol8/iss1/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fordham Law Review by an authorized editor of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

CORRESPONDENCE

November 4, 1938

EDITOR,
FORDHAM LAW REVIEW
233 Broadway
New York City

Dear Sir:

Since its first appearance in its new dress, I have been a keen admirer of the FORDHAM LAW REVIEW. In scholarship and readability combined, it is superior to most of the so-called important law reviews (all of which are at my disposal) and equal to the rest, barring none.

It is in a spirit of friendship, therefore, that I protest the statement in Mr. Elbridge Colby's review of the book "Italy at the Peace Conference" (November, 1938) to the effect that Italy was "unable to bring much of worth to the (Allied) cause save the virtue of not being embarrassingly on the other side." Such statement is absolutely contrary to fact and misleading—for the official records of the principal combatants establish that, with the exception of a handful of Allied divisions, it was Italian man-power alone that for three long years withstood the concentrated might of the entire, better-equipped Austro-Hungarian Army combined with a few German divisions; and that Italy, hardpressed as she was, unhesitatingly sent 50,000 Italian troops to the French front where they earned the undying gratitude of General Foch for their services.

Mr. Colby's obviously uninformed remark must distress all lovers of truth.

Sincerely yours,

(signed) LOUIS A. CRISANO
63 Park Row
New York City