

Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

NYS Supreme Court Decisions in Article 78
Proceedings

Court Litigation Documents

October 2020

Decision in CPLR Article 78 proceedings - Mitchiner, Nathaniel (2019-09-16)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/pdd>

Recommended Citation

"Decision in CPLR Article 78 proceedings - Mitchiner, Nathaniel (2019-09-16)" (2020). Parole Information Project
<https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/pdd/145>

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Court Litigation Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in NYS Supreme Court Decisions in Article 78 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

SUPREME COURT-STATE OF NEW YORK
IAS PART-ORANGE COUNTY

Present: CATHERINE M. BARTLETT, A.J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF ORANGE

-----x
NATHANIEL MITCHINER,

Petitioner,

-against-

TINA M. STANFORD,

Respondent.

To commence the statutory time period for appeals as of right (CPLR 5513 [a]), you are advised to serve a copy of this order, with notice of entry, upon all parties.

Index No. 4213 / 2019

-----x **ORDER**

Petitioner challenges the Parole Board's November 27, 2018 determination denying him discretionary release on parole. In its decision, the Panel wrote: "The decision is based on the following factors, the instant offense involves the brutal stabbing of your high school friend. Of concern to the panel is the versions of events you presented during the interview differs significantly from the materials, sentencing minutes and the tone of information offered in your letter of apology to Mrs. Antrobus....your attitude and limited insight into your behavior raised significant concerns about your rehabilitation progress."

Petitioner objects that Respondent's Answer and Return does not include his "Parole Packet." The Court notes, in addition, that the Answer and Return does not include the referenced "letter of apology to Mrs. Antrobus," and further, that it is unclear what other "materials" (besides the sentencing minutes and letter of apology) the Panel relied on in reaching its determination.

Without the foregoing materials, the Court cannot properly perform its duties under Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules.

It is therefore

ORDERED, that the return date of the Petition is adjourned to October 10, 2019, and it is further

ORDERED, that on or before October 10, 2019, Respondent shall supplement its Answer and Return by furnishing the Court with copies of (1) Petitioner's "Parole Packet", (2) the referenced letter of apology, and (3) the other "materials" referenced in the Panel's November 27, 2018 determination.

Dated: September 16, 2019
Goshen, New York

ENTER



HON. CATHERINE M. BARTLETT, A.J.S.C.

HON. C. M. BARTLETT
JUDGE NY STATE COURT OF CLAIMS
ACTING SUPREME COURT JUSTICE