Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Parole Interview Transcripts and Decisions

Parole Information Project

September 2021

Parole Interview Transcript/Decision - FUSL000069 (2009-03-10)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/trans

Recommended Citation

"Parole Interview Transcript/Decision - FUSL000069 (2009-03-10)" (2021). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/trans/101

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Information Project at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Interview Transcripts and Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

UNIGINAL

STATE OF NEW YORK EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT DIVISION OF PAROLE

In the Matter

of

DIN

#

NYSID #

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS at a hearing held in the above-entitled matter by the State of New York Executive Department, Division of Parole, on the 10th day of March, 2009 at Woodbourne Correctional Facility, New York.

BEFORE:

COMMISSIONER JAMES B. FERGUSON COMMISSIONER G. KEVIN LUDLOW COMMISSIONER JOSEPH P. CRANGLE

PRESENT:

LOU ALVARADO, SPO RENEE SOMERS, APA MICHAEL KELLY, FPO I PATRICIA JOHNSON, FPO II

DIVISION OF PAROLE RECEIVED

APR 2 8 2009

M & F Reporting Service: APPEALS UNIT (845) 562-5190

. 2 INTERVIEW BY COMMISSIONER Ludlow: Good afternoon, sir. 2 Q 3 A Good afternoon. 0 You are A Yes, sir. 5 I'm Commissioner Ludlow. With me is 6 Commissioner Ferguson. 7 8 COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Good afternoon 9 sir. And Commissioner Crangle. 10 Q 11 COMMISSIONER CRANGLE: Hello. 12 A How are you? 13 I believe this is your fifth appearance before the Board of Parole, is that 14 15 correct, sir? 16 Yes, it is. You're now 62 years old, is that correct? 17 18 Yes, sir. 19 0 You were found guilty by verdict to each of the following crimes, murder second, manslaughter 20 second, grand larceny second, burglary second, 21 criminal possession of a weapon third and possession 22 23 of burglar tools, is that all correct, sir?

Yes, sir.

Controlling sentence is 25 to life.

A

Q

24

- 1 do you have any appeals pending or do you 2 anticipate filing any appeals? 3 Yes. A 4 Then I especially call your attention, 5 sir, to the fact that we are taking a stenographic 6 record of the interview. Every word we discuss is 7 being reduced to a written transcript. It's 8 possible that that transcript could find its way to 9 public forums. We caution you about what you may 10 say regarding the terms and circumstances of these 11 crimes so as not to limit, impede or jeopardize any 12 pending appeal you have or any future opportunity. 13 for an appeal you may have. You may decline to discuss the terms and circumstances of these crimes, 14 we will not hold that against you. Do you 15 understand that, sir? 16 Yes, sir. 17 A Okay, sir. These are your only felonies 18 of record. I believe you have four prior 19 20 misdemeanors, unauthorized use of a motor vehicle 21 going way back to 1964 in , also unlawful use of a motor vehicle in '64 again, unlawful entry '67, 22
- 24 A Yes, sir. I believe the unlawful entry 25 was a criminal trespass.

petit larceny '71, is that correct, sir?

That's a misdemeanor, sir? 1 0 I believe so. 2 A I believe so. 3 0 4 A And the unlawful use of motor vehicle was the same, exact same case, it was docketed twice. 5 Right, okay. So what is it then, three 6 0 misdemeanors? 7 8 A Yes, sir. 9 Okay, sir. You've been in an 10 exceptionally long period of time, sir, by my 11 calculation almost 33 years. 12 Going on 34. A 13 It's a long period of time, we recognize. 14 We do not have the sentencing minutes, although the staff has made a diligent effort to obtain them. We 15 have written to the County court system. 16 have correspondence on file received June 9th, 2008 17 advising us and I quote from a letter of 18 19 , chief court reporter to the effect and I 20 quote "the court reporter who stenographically recorded the minutes is no longer working in county 21 22 court and not living in the State of search of our archives reveals that the stenographic 23 24 notes are not available and therefore the minutes are not able to be furnished". However, you were

- 1 presumably at sentencing a long time ago. Do you 2 recollect if there's anything stated there that we 3 should know about today as we contemplate parole for you, sir? 4 The only thing I remember he commented on 5 the fact that I utilized my time constructively, got 6 7 involved with programs. However, at the time I 8 guess he felt that the first sentence imposed, I had 9 a reversal in 1981, and I guess he followed the 10 recommendations of the first sentencing court and gave me the 25 to life. 11 12 Given the nature of the underlying offense 13 we are required to have a mental status report on 14 file and we are in compliance with that. You were 15 seen by the office of mental health October 16, 16 2008. Do you recall that, sir? 17 Α Yes. 18 You were examined by a Miss 0 19 The conclusion of Miss is to the effect that there are no overt signs of mental illness. 20 you were to be released there are no precautions 21 from a mental health standpoint. Do you agree with 22 23 that report, sir?
- 24 A Certainly do, sir.
- 25 Q Is that current and accurate as of today

- in your opinion?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q We have some information on file I'd like
- 4 to read to you to see if it's factually correct in
- 5 your opinion. This is a very important decision
- 6 this Panel is about to render, we want to be certain
- 7 that it's based on accurate and thorough
- 8 information. The file states 1975 at
- 9 approximately 2:30 a.m. Police Officer
- 10 responded to
- to investigate a reported burglary in
- 12 progress. He observed the defendant exiting the
- 13 store and attempted to apprehend him ordering him to
- 14 place his hands up against a wall. The defendant
- 15 refused to comply and pulled out a gun. He quickly
- 16 turned and fired one shot into the chest of Officer
- at pointblank range. He then fled the scene
- and was apprehended a short time later hiding
- 19 nearby. Officer battled for his life for a
- 20 little more than one month. He remained in a
- 21 comatose condition and at one point had to have his
- 22 right leg amputated. He finally succumbed to his
- 23 injuries and died on , 1975. Are those
- facts accurate, Mr. as far as you know?
- 25 A As far as what's written. I have no

- 1 independent knowledge of the actual activity of the 2 night of the crime. I was on prescribed medication. 3 In fact, more recently I just found out that of the 4 28 pills that were in the prescription bottle that I 5 filled on Saturday I had taken 21 of them in less than a 24 hour period of time. So my recollection 6 7 of the crime itself is nonexistent. I have no independent recollection of the crime. 8 read 20,000 pages of transcript and believe me I 9 10 didn't believe I did this in the beginning, it wasn't until after I kept on reading the minutes, 11 12 the transcripts and the evidence that I finally accepted the fact that I did this. For about seven, 13 14 eight years I didn't believe I did it. I went to trial believing I was innocent. 15 16 Who is 17 At the time she was my common law wife who eventually became my codefendant. 18 What was her role in this crime? 19 0 20 I have no idea. She was there, I was there, that's all I remember. 21
- Q We discussed your criminal record, sir.

 As we indicated at the beginning of the interview

 you've been in over 33 years. More recently you've

 been involved with work in the gymnasium on special

We did a panel

1 assignment. Would it be fair to say, Mr. that you've completed all recommended therapeutic 2 programming and vocational programming? 3 That and then some. 4 . 5 Is there anything in particular with regard to that programming that you would like to 6 highlight for the panel? 7 As far as the program I've taken or the 9 ones I've --10 Any programs you wish to expound upon for 11 the benefit of the panel? 12 Well, the only notable exception to the therapeutic programs that I took and vocational 13 14 programs and substance abuse programs, alternatives 15 to violence programs, aggression replacement training programs and things like that I did all of 1.6 17 those. 18 0 AVP? AVP, ARC -- excuse me, ART, aggression 19 20 replacement training which I was a facilitator, one 21 of the first five in the state. More notably I started, after my second trial and I got convicted 22 23 and I came back into the system, I had a chance meeting with then 24 (phonetic spelling)

who was the crime victims chairman.

1	discussion in Attica Correctional Facility and I met
2	him there, he was in my group and he kept on
3	expounding the rights of victims and I kept on
4	complaining that I was the victim, I still believe I
5	was the victim. And it was at that meeting that I
6	had an epiphany that I realized that I wasn't the
7	victim. And from 1983 up until '96 it took me 13
8	years to get the first victims awareness program
9	started. I spent 13 years getting that, to bring
10	victims into the facility to share their experience
11	so the guys can see what their impact was on other
12	people's lives, so hopefully they can change their
13	attitude, stop blaming everybody else, stop blaming
1.4	society, stop blaming society, stop blaming no
15	father at home. And I had that program running for
16	about a year or so until I came here.
17	Q In the beginning you referenced back in
18	time that you were taking, I believe, 28 prescribed
19	medications?
20	A No, I had filled, I came up from
21	on a weekend and I went to a pharmacy in
22	and I filled a couple of prescriptions, one
23	was for Nargesic Forte which is one week
24	prescription, it was 28 pills. Another one was for
25	Darvon, one for Valium I think and I forgot the

- 1 other one.
- 2 Q Pain killers?
- 3 A I was under a doctor's care for a year
- 4 prior to the crime. In 1974 I was in
- 5 getting migraines headaches, I was getting
- 6 blackouts, personality change, depression,
- 7 hyperactive. I was getting a lot of things, so I
- 8 went to a doctor, and he prescribed medication that
- 9 wasn't working. He gave me another one, but he
- 10 never stopped me on the other one. You know, he
- 11 didn't state don't take this and don't take this
- 12 one. So I had these three different prescribed
- medications that I was taking one was Mellaril, by
- 14 the way. And when I came up to I only had
- a little bit left from my prior medication, I went
- 16 to the pharmacy and I filled those. And the one
- 17 that I vividly remember taking was Nargesic Forte.
- 18 It was a week only, I can only give you a week and
- 19 that was 28 pills. And it wasn't less than a day
- later the crime happened that there were only seven
- 21 pills left in the jar. So that means I had to take
- 22 21.
- 23 Q So you're inferring that you overdosed on
- 24 the prescriptive medication?
- 25 A Well, it certainly affected my ability to

form any, any conscious effort to do something. 1 2 was totally --Go ahead, I didn't mean to interrupt. 3 I was totally irrational, as a matter of 4 A 5 If you read any of the letters from 6 especially the detective that knows me for 50 years I saw him the night before the crime, he wrote 7 affidavits to the court indicating that I was 8 totally out of, you know, for lack of a better term, 9 10 I was out of it. A couple other affidavits to the court that stated that I had visited those people 11 the night before the crime and that I was on 12 medication. 13 14 Did you present that in your case when you 15 went to trial? 16 Well, the trial -- exactly that's why I A 17 got manslaughter. The only reason I got 25 to life on this crime is because a felony murder carries 25 18 19 to life. I got convicted of manslaughter under the first count of killing and I got convicted 20 of manslaughter on the intentional murder. 21 22 was no intent or in this case mitigating factors, 23 which the jury believed was the fact that I was

under medication. And my second trial they came

back with manslaughter in the second degree.

24

controlling case here was the felony murder which 1 2 was 25 to life. And the DA told the jury that you INTENT in 3 don't have to find attempted felony murder or you don't even have to believe he pulled the trigger. And subsequent to that I quess the jury found that 5 they didn't know the difference between manslaughter 6 and felony murder, and they came back with the 7 felony murder. As far as the evidence at trial, 8 9 yes, there was evidence that --10 So you're saying, if I'm getting this 11 clearly, that the murder second verdict was a 12 by-product of the manslaughter? er included 13 The manslaughter was a less accrued 14 offense, the murder under the first count. then it was 12527 was the murder of a police 15 officer. I'm sure as a former prosecutor you know 16 17 exactly what I'm talking about. Sorry, Mr. 18 Ferguson. They came back with a less included offense under 12527 and the intentional 12525. 19 when it came down to the third count which was 20 2.1 felony murder they found me quilty of the felony 22 murder. But they also, they found me guilty of 23 manslaughter first degree and less included offense. COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: What you are 24

saying, they didn't outright find you guilty of

1	murder, they found you guilty of manslaughter
2	and then the felony murder in relation to the
3	death during the commission of a felony.
4	Q That's what I meant to ask. That's where
5	my question was going just what he stated.
6	A And my second trial they came back under
7	the manslaughter first degree they found me guilty
8	of manslaughter second. But what they did was, and
9	the prosecutor, I'm sure you know this, they put the
LO	felony murder at the second count, they put the
L 1	manslaughter as the top count which shouldn't have
.2	been.
13	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: I mean it
L 4	certainly would have the appearance of an
.5	inconsistent verdict, but I'm sure that you had
. 6	all of that, those issues addressed on appeal.
1.7	THE INTERPRETER: Right up to the Second
8	Circuit Court of Appeals. They ruled on another
L 9	issue, they didn't rule on that.
20	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Just in terms of
21	the medication, you know, you're saying that you
22	surmised that you took this medication because
23	it was gone. I mean prior to taking it all one
2 4	would assume that you were in a sober condition,

if it's the medication that resulted in your

1	intoxication and blackouts. So why don't you
2	remember beforehand taking all of this
3	medication?
4	A Well, because I came up from with
5	three different medications. The only thing I
6	remember was the two nights before. My mother
7	worked at in between and .
8	The only thing I actually remember was sitting at
9	the counter and taking the medication and she said
0	to my codefendant at the time, my common law wife,
1	she said to , how long has he been doing that
.2	because I never used drugs in my life. She said how
L3	long has been doing that? And she told my mother
4	that he had been getting headaches and popping them
.5	like whatever and that's the only thing I remember
. 6	that night until a couple of days later when I went
.7	to the county jail and they told me what I was there
. 8	for.
. 9	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Why did you have a
20	gun?
21	A I was in , I had dual residency. I
22	lived in and to me my life, I was driving
23	back and forth from . I had a legitimate
2 4	business. I also was a fence. I grew up with a lot
5	of people that were into the airport. I worked at

1	the	airpon	st.	Hij	ackin	g.			20			
2			COMM	IISS	IONER	FER	GUSON	:	You	are	say	ing
3		this v	vas a	le	gal w	eapo	n?					
4		A	It w	as	legal	ly b	ought					
5			COMM	IISS	IONER	FER	GUSON	:	I'm	sorı	y?	
6		A	It w	as	legal	ly b	ought	. •5	I me	ean t	the I	OA
7			COMM	IISS	IONER	FER	GUSON	: 1	Were	e you	ılio	censed
8	名業部	in		t	o hav	e it	?					
9		\$.	THE	INT	ERPRE	TER:	No.	В	ut t	crave	eling	g back
10		and fo	orth	to		е	ight,	te	n ti	Lmes	a mo	onth I
11		always	had	it	with	me.						
12			COMM	IISS	IONER	FER	GUSON	: 1	But	I me	ean e	each
13		state	that	уо	u dri	ve-t	hroug	h y	ou'r	re si	abjed	ct to a
14		felony	cou	nt	of cr	imin	al po	sse	ssic	on of	a v	weapon?
15		*	THE	INT	ERPRE	TER:	. I wa	asn	't t	chinl	cing	about
16		that a	t th	e t	ime.							
17		Q	You	wer	e 29	then	?					
18		A	Yes.									
19		A	I ca	n't	chan	ge a	ny of	th	at.			
20		Q	What	ki	nd of	wor	k do	you	do	in		?
21		A	Well	, I	was,	Ιh	ad a	con	stru	actio	on co	ompany.
22	I ha	d								in		
23	and	I was	in		a	nd I	was	con	trac	cting	g to	build
24	tenn	is cou	ırts	in			And	I c	ome	up t	0	
25	to a	et som	ie mo	nev	the	peop	le ow	ed i	me.			

1		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: People owe you for
2	what?	
3	A	Excuse me?
4		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: What did people
5	owe y	ou money for?
6	Q	Work?
7	A	They owed me money for work. For
8	construct	ion work, electrical work.
9		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Because you were
10	doing	that work up here, too?
11	A	Yeah.
12	Q	You mentioned the airport. What was that
13	about?	· ·
14	A	I used to work in the airport in 1969, '70
15	and I mad	e a lot of connections at the airport. So
16	every tim	e they got stolen stuff they would bring it
17	to me and	
18	Q	That was ?
19	А	Excuse me.
20	Q	?
21	А	It was ? No, I'm talking
22	about	
23	Q	?
24	А	Yeah.
25		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Were you saying

1	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: I'm sorry?
2	A Night of the crime. I don't know.
3	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: You were caught
4	right leaving the scene of the crime?
5	A The night.
6	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: You don't have any
7	doubt at this point that you were involved in
8	this?
9	A No, I accept, you know. I mean '83, '84,
10	I started to realize that they accepted it and I
11	stopped blaming everybody and took responsibility
12	back then.
13	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: When was your last
14	retrial?
15	A My retrial was '82, '81.
16	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: So why if you had
17	accepted responsibility and you realized you
18	murdered or killed a police officer, why would
19	you continue to go through all of this appellate
20	process and try to get a verdict that found you
21	guilty for what you truly did do?
22	A I'm glad you asked that. Because I
23	believed in my heart as everybody else does I did
24	not intend to do this. And that after reading much
25	of the law, reading about responsibility I accepted

- 1 responsibility for what I did but I said because of 2 being under prescribed medication and did not, would 3 not be able to form the requisite form to do the 4 crime that I am responsible but I'm not completely responsible. 5 6 COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Well, no one --Legally that I was, so I said let me argue 7 A 8 that. 9 COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: In terms of the 10 element of intent --And that was my basis for appeal. And I 11 A mean you can get this, it's all public record 12 13 anyway, that I went to the district court and that 14 was it. And the reason they came, and they allowed this statement in, confession, they allowed in the 15 16 first time I got a reversal on that. Ordered a new 17 trial. And they put it back in under 6050. 18 psychiatrist can testify as to state of mind and I objected to that because he can formulate an opinion 19 but he can't utilize inadmissible evidence. And 20 21 what happened was they let that in and they said it 22 was harmless error this time because my codefendant 23 testified and the guilt was overwhelming instead of,
 - COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: And what did she

25

so.

100	say in terms of your intoxication and state of
2	mind?
3	A Well, her testimony, well everybody gets
4	headaches. That's what she said. She said yeah, he
5	got headaches and stuff like that.
6	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: But in the end you
7	know you were voluntarily intoxicated on these
8	medications. No one informed you it was an
9	accidental overdose. You took such a
10	significant quantity of these drugs that, you
11	know, you voluntarily placed yourself, and I'm
12	sure you understand it doesn't matter to the
13	dead officer or his family, you know, he's dead,
14	whether you meant to be on drugs, whether you
15	weren't on drugs, whether you remember what you
16	did, whether you don't remember what you did, to
17	them it doesn't matter, so.
18	A That's right.
19	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: That's why I was
20	curious in terms of what your wife had to say
21	about, or why did you do this. Was she involved
22	in the criminal lifestyle?
23	A Well, I mean she was with me when I
24	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: No, but I mean
25	A I facilitated exchanges from one person

1 for another, material and stuff like that but you 2 raised a good point about the voluntary 3 intoxication. First of all, I never took drugs in my life, that's number one. Second of all during my 4 5 first trial my defense attorney ordered a battery of tests, including an encephalogram and you name it. 6 7 When they gave me medication, they gave me Secenol 8 to put me out to take a particular test or whatever 9 it was and it didn't work. And they gave me more, it didn't work. They gave me 1,200 milligrams of 10 liquid Chlorohydrate I didn't bat an eye. They went 11 12 all the way up to 3,000 milligrams of liquid 13 Chlorohydrate and they couldn't get me to sleep. 14 Testifying as the doctor he did say that represents 15 the extremely high tolerance for drugs. And what 16 I'm assuming is that because my tolerance level was 17 high in order for me to feel any relief from the 18 medication that I would take because every time I was getting a headache I would just take another 19 20 pill. I didn't stop and say well, I took one 12 hours ago, you know. I just took it -- every time I 21 felt a headache I took another pill, I took another 22 pill and the consequences of this is I just went off 23 24 the, I took so much that I was gone.

Did you have a physical disorder in your

25

1	brain that caused the headaches?
2	A Well, the only thing that came up
3	significant was something about ossification of some
4	sort between the lobes, the hemispheres of the
5	brain, that was about the only thing. And when I
6	was a kid I used to have seizures, and I don't know
7	what they were. They were just boom, I was out
8	cold. I remember, now we are talking 35 years, 34
9	years ago, so with medical technology advances you
10	might come up with something new.
11	COMMISSIONER CRANGLE: So the seizures
12	just stopped?
13	A Well, actually they stopped when I was
14	about 13, around that.
15	COMMISSIONER CRANGLE: You just grew out
16	of it?
17	A I had it from about eight to nine, eight,
18	nine, ten, around that area. Like a couple of years
19	I had them. I used to just get like faint and then,
20	I don't mean seizures where you're erratic in your
21	movement, just where I passed right out. And I was
22	in , get up in the morning and say
23	prayers with 30 other guys and before prayers were
24	over I was out. I just went out in a park. I was

11 years old, I got off a bench and I just boom,

```
went out, face dive. So I mean there was something
 1
 2
      wrong back then, but. They stopped when I was about
 3
      12.
                COMMISSIONER CRANGLE:
                                       Thanks.
 5
                When was your last disciplinary ticket?
          0
                Approximately 1980.
          Α
 7
                So for the last --
          0
                29 years.
          A
                -- 29 years you have not received any
10
      ticket?
11
          A
                No.
                It's much to your credit.
12
          Q
                I don't think it means much though.
13
          A
                I'm sorry?
14
          0
                I said I don't think it would mean much
15
          A
16
      here.
17
                Why do you say that?
                Actually -- again, I'm sorry, I don't want
18
      to interrupt you.
19
20
                You have corresponded with
                    , and they will assist you
21
22
      if you're on parole, is that correct?
23
                Yes.
          A
                Where is
24
```

It's over by

25

some place going

24 1 towards 2 They will assist you? 3 I got involved with them in 1985. Became A a Zen Buddhist. Actually I started practicing Zen 4 Buddhist. I am still a Catholic, but I am a Zen. 5 6 0 This will be a residential arrangement for 7 you? 8 A Right. 9 And have you discussed any work options 10 with them? Do you know what those options might be? 11 Well, I'm sure they set the litany of A 12 responsibilities that I would have starting from 5 13 o'clock in the morning to get up. Includes 14 caretaking, the garden, housework, the maintenance, whatever it is that they have. Computer stuff I 15 16 quess. 17 We have a variety of letters on file. 18 certainly want to acknowledge them. We appreciate 19 the time that third parties take to share their 20 thoughts with us. I have a letter from 21 22 A , a letter of support, he 23 From the

for over 35 years. He guarantees employment for you

He's been a friend of yours

24

25

owns

- 15
- 16 anything additional that we should cover
- 17 while the record is still open that is important to
- 18 you?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 19 I know it's important, did you ever read
- l's letter, former 20
- 21 detective?
- 22 I'm looking for that. How long ago was
- 23 that received, do you know?
- It's awhile back. 24
- 25 Would you care to summarize the letter for

```
1
      us?
                Well, actually it was -- he did mention
 2
          A
      the fact that the night before the crime, I've known
 3
      him since I'm 13 years old, he also, it's not in
      there but he did write to the Parole Board
 5
      indicating that I'm not a killer. That he actually
 6
      said I might have been a thief, but I'm not a killer
 7
      or murderer.
 8
                Okay, we'll --
 9
          O
                While you're there though actually I have
10
          A
      a couple of questions myself since you're talking
11
      about letters. I understand you have about a
12
      thousand letters of opposition from the
13
        PBA and other members of law enforcement, is
14
15
      that true?
                We have a variety of correspondence here.
16
17
      We haven't gone through it yet.
18
          A
                I'm talking about in opposition of my
19
      parole.
                There may be. There's a lot of
20
      correspondence here that we have to go over yet.
21
      But, go ahead, what's the question?
22
                Well, regarding the letters that you have
23
```

Policemen's Benevolent

Association, I wanted to know what impact, influence

24

25

from the

1	that will have on your decision today?
2	Q If they exist that is just one of several
. 3	factors that we're required to consider under
4	Executive Law 259. The panel has yet to discuss
5	this file and as to the weight that would be put on
6	any one item I can't speculate right now. We would
7	have to confer as a panel on that and all factors
8	required.
9	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Are you still on
10	any medication for the headaches or has that
11	stopped?
12	A Well, my back, I have a compressed disc,
13	herniated disc. They got me on Motrin which really
14	doesn't do anything. I take Dicyclomine (phonetic
15	spelling), I had my gallbladder taken out. I had
16	prostate surgery.
17	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Is that a no to
18	the mental health meds?
19	A Excuse me?
20	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Is that a no to my
21	question about mental health meds?
22	A I didn't hear mental health.
23	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: I'm asking if you
24	still got the headaches that you were being

treated for?

1	A Motrin. I'm not under mental health or
2	anything, I haven't been, but I get anxiety a little
3	bit here.
4	Q The letter from Mr. of
5	was received August 11th, 2006, two page
6	letter, and he quotes in closing, the Board must
7	examine the facts and be unbiased. It's not about
8	being politically correct. My position as an
9	ex-detective today is not politically correct. But
10	I feel these things must be said. I am a
11	conservative man and I believe in justice and
12	justice has been served 31 years. Submitted to the
13	Board for consideration signed . Is
14	that the letter?
15	A Well, that's one of them. He wrote two.
16	Q Okay. We'll check everything out.
17	Anything else for us today, Mr.
18	A Yeah, well, actually I have a couple of
19	questions, probably involve what you feel. In 2000
20	parole was denied because release would deprecate
21	the seriousness of the crime, that was the decision
22	I received. In 2003 I was again denied ostensibly
23	for the nature of my crime. The Board said also
24	that my release was not in the best interest of the
25	community. And that it also said all my

1	achievements and accomplishments are outweighed by
2	the nature of my crime. And in 2006 again found
3	that my release would deprecate the serious nature
4	of the crime that would undermine respect for the
5	law. My question is if in 2000, diminished respect
6	for the law and then again in 2006 diminished
7	respect for the law, excuse me, what difference is
8	two more years going to make or ten more years if it
9	says diminished the law one time
10	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: I mean we're not
11	here to address all of your questions or
12	concerns about prior Board decisions.
13	A Okay.
14	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: You get a new
15	panel. I don't believe you've met us before.
16	You met me before for a postponement.
17	A Right. Mr. Ludlow I've had you twice
18	already.
19	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Mr. Crangle I know
20	you've never met.
21	A I never met you.
22	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Me, you met for a
23	postponement. So each time you have a different
24	Board and the factors are discussed, the Board

can come to different conclusions about the

1	relevance and the weight of each factor. So,
2	you know, we can't speak for other Boards and
3	what their opinions were and what their logic
4	was behind each of their decisions.
5	A Let me ask you something, we have three
6	factors that you consider.
7	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: No, there's
8	multiple factors we consider under the Executive
9	Law.
10	A Under the three factors there is a
11	reasonable probability that I would live and remain
12	at liberty without violating the law which seems to
13	be a problem interpreting, interpreting that would
14	not so deprecate the seriousness of the crime to
15	undermine respect for the law and my release would
16	not be compatible with the welfare of society. I
17	have a question of those factors, one, do you
18	consider those three factors cumulatively or
19	alternatively? In other words, will you find one
20	positive and two does apply or one applies?
21	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: We consider all
22	the factors together. We try to weigh them and
23	then we try to come to what we think is a fair

25 A With regard to those three factors do they

and right decision.

all have to be positive?

1

24

25

2 COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Listen, you're 3 trying to get into some legal sparring thing here. I'm not interested in that. You're 5 asking to lock us in positions on how we feel about this one, how we feel. We consider 6 everything we're required to under the law. 7 8 consider each of the standards that are dictated 9 to us by statute. We try to weigh those. 10 fact, we're still trying to decide a case from 11 earlier this morning. Some cases take hours, 12 sometimes cases take days. I've been on cases 13 that take months to decide. So we consider 14 everything that we're required to and we're not 15 going to sit here and debate with you about the 16 different standards and how they're considered 17 and how they weigh up against eachother. And in 18 your case how does each one weigh up. That's what we do during our deliberations, not with 19 20 you. 21 So you won't entertain the rest of my questions then? 22 COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: I don't know what 23

the rest of your question is, so I'm not saying

I'm not entertaining anything. I'm just saying

that particular question where you're asking us how we deliberate what weight we give to each and how we reconcile them with one another is what we do during our deliberation.

A Well, actually some of the questions involve that they would be subjective opinions coming from you, I guess, but you don't want to answer them but I'll ask it anyway so you can give me an answer if you choose to. My question involves around diminished respect for the law, and I'm looking at those letters there and if you found that my release would deprecate the serious of the crime I'm wondering about all of those people that wrote letters on my behalf. So are you implying that they are tacitly undermining respect for the law since they are supporting my release?

COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: I think your question is an inappropriate question. Your question, we consider the letters that we're getting both for your release and against your release. We give them the weight that we think is appropriate and then we make our decision.

A So those letters that are against my release, those are the letters we're referring to, the thousands that you have from PBA.

1		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: The letters that
2	we re	ceived for your release, in favor of it an
3	again	st your release get considered.
4	А	So would you like to fault me for
5	asking	
6		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Mr. do
7	I fau	lt you for coming trying to do legal
8	maneu	vering of questions to try to lock us into
9	speci	fic answers
1.0	A	Yes.
1.1		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: for your own
12	purpo	ses?
13	А	For my own purpose.
L 4		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Do I understand
1.5	why y	ou're doing it?
16	А	Yes.
17		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Of course I
1.8	under	stand why you're doing that.
19	A	Do you blame me?
20		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: You need to
21	under	stand when you're given an answer whether
22	you l	ike it or not
23	A	Right.
24		COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: that's your

answer.

1	A Well, I'm not going to joust with you on
2	the answer. What I'm asking you is if I can get a
3	straight answer for something because after 34 years
4	you think I want to spend the rest of my life in
5	prison? You think that I should just throw my hands
6	up and say forget it and I'm going to do whatever
7 .	you're going to do and hit me with two more years?
8	Am I going to sit here and do nothing about it or
9	for it? I mean what would you do? I have been hit
10	four times well passed the 25 minimum. So actually
11	I've had you before already. So the judge gave me
12	25 to life. I didn't get 25 to life without parole.
13	I didn't get sentenced to death. And I didn't get
14	sentenced, so but meanwhile I'm already doing 34
15	years on 25 to life. I can't change the facts of
16	the crime and I can't bring Matthew back. I wish I
1.7	could, believe me, I could. I've told his family
18	that a million times already and I said if it was me
19	that night I would have been a lot better off. He
20	would have been a hero and I would have been dead.
21	I wouldn't be sitting here in front of you now. I
22	wish to God that would happen. But I can't change
23	that. What am I going to do, just sit here and
24	throw my hands up?

COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: You got a sentence

```
1
          of 25 to life.
 2
          A
                Right.
 3
                COMMISSIONER FERGUSON:
                                        And that means the
          minimum you spend in prison --
 4
                Is 25 years.
 5
          A
 6
                COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: -- is 25 years.
 7
          The maximum you spend in prison is that you are
          here until your last dying breath. If you're a
 8
          man of 20 that could be 50, that could be 60,
 9
10
          that could be 70 years, depending on how old you
11
          are if you die. There are other individuals
12
          that get released their first shot when they
13
          come in at 20 to life, 25 to life, 15 to life.
14
          So you're asking me is it a life sentence,
15
          potentially it is. That's the sentencing range
          and that's the function of the Parole Board to
16
17
          try to decide and try to make a just decision as
18
          to where you fit in that range.
19
                Actually I think the interpretation is a
          Α
20
      little bit different. I think I am eligible for
21
      parole and you're supposed to determine whether or
      not I'm eligible.
22
23
                COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: We don't determine
```

whether or not you're eligible. You are legally

eligible for parole. We determine if it's

24

1	appropriate for you to be paroled at a
2	particular time.
3	A Right. I'd like you to apply the same
4	standards you apply to someone who committed a
5	triple homicide you released last year. I mean can
6	you make a comparison?
7	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: No. And listen,
8	unless you have other questions besides this
9	legal debating on our release decision, our
10	release process and all of this other stuff
11	there's nothing more substantive coming out of
12	you except legal sparring which is not the
13	purpose of this hearing. Now you want us to
14	start doing a comparative analysis on cases that
15	were released and weren't released? You know,
16	this is bordering on the absurd at this point.
17	A It is?
18	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Yes.
19	A Well, then I guess we'll call it a day
20	then.
21	COMMISSIONER FERGUSON: Have a good day.
22	Sir.
23	(Whereupon, the Inmate was excused.)
24	(Whereupon, Commissioner Ferguson, Commissioner

Ludlow and Commissioner Crangle confer.)

BY COMMISSIONER LUDLOW:

2	Parole denied, hold 24 months. Next appearance
3	is June 2010. Following careful review and
4	deliberation of your record and interview this panel
5	concludes that discretionary release is not
6	presently warranted due to concern for the public
7	safety and welfare. The following factors were
8	properly weighed and considered, your instant
9	offense in County in 1975 involved
10	your commission of a burglary during which you fired
11	a weapon at pointblank range at Police Officer
12	resulting in his tragic death. Your
13	criminal history includes prior unauthorized use of
14	a motor vehicle and larcenist related offenses.
15	Your institutional programming demonstrates progress
16	and achievement which is noted to your credit. Your
17	disciplinary record appears clean and is likewise
18	noted to your credit. Based on all required factors
19	and the file considered discretionary release at
20	this time is not consistent with the public safety
21	and welfare. Your discretionary release at this
22	time would thus not be compatible with the welfare
23	of society at large and would tend to deprecate the
24	seriousness of the instant offenses and undermine
25	respect for the law. (All Commissioners concur.)