

Fordham Law School

## FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

---

The Advocate

Student Publications

---

5-28-1978

### The Advocate

The Advocate, Fordham Law School

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/student\\_the\\_advocate](https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/student_the_advocate)



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

The Advocate, Fordham Law School, "The Advocate" (1978). *The Advocate*. 83.  
[https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/student\\_the\\_advocate/83](https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/student_the_advocate/83)

This Newspaper is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Publications at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Advocate by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact [tmelnick@law.fordham.edu](mailto:tmelnick@law.fordham.edu).

# THE ADVOCATE

The Student Newspaper of Fordham Law School

## Cyrus Vance Will Address Graduates Today

About three hundred Fordham students will receive their law degrees today in Damrosch Park at Lincoln Center.

Addressing the graduates will be Secretary of State Cyrus Vance.

The ceremonies will begin at 11:30 am, and will be followed by a reception beginning inside the Law School building.

The commencement program was arranged by the student Commencement Committee, chaired by Diedre Sweeney 3A and Andres Valdespino 3B.

The rain site for today's ceremony was the auditorium of the Ethical Culture Society on Central Park West. In the event of rain, each graduating senior would have been allowed only two guests for the ceremony.

There is no limitation on invitations to the Damrosch Park site.

For the convenience of visitors, expanded angle parking will be permitted on West 62nd street near the Law School building.

## Moot Court Competition Set to Start

Over 50 teams and 17 individual entrants have signed up for this year's Mulligan Moot Court Competition.

The problem for the annual summer event will be available on June 1, and briefs will be due on June 30 from each two-person team.

Oral arguments—judged by practicing attorneys—will begin during the week of July 10, with quarter-final, semi-final and final rounds scheduled for the following week.

After each preliminary round a reception will be held for participants and judges. A cocktail reception will follow the final round.

Traditionally, the final round is judged by a panel of active jurists including the competition's namesake, Judge William Hughes Mulligan of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Mulligan served as dean of Fordham Law School before his appointment to the bench.

## Advocate Chief Cops 1978 Keefe Award

Michael C.H. Barnas, editor-in-chief of *The Advocate*, has been named the recipient of the Keefe Award for 1978 by the Board of Governors of the Student Bar Association.

The award is given annually by the SBA to the person it recognizes as having done the most for the students of Fordham Law School during the past year.

A total of 12 persons, including students, faculty and alumni, had been nominated for the award at the SBA meeting of April 12. When no one person received a majority of the votes cast, the final vote was postponed until the SBA's last meeting of the year.

At the same time the field was narrowed to three: Barnas, Intramural Commissioner Bruce Birns, and a combined entry of Professors Sheila Birnbaum and Edward Yorio. Birnbaum is leaving Fordham this year to take a teaching position at the NYU Law

School and Yorio will be a visiting professor at the Benjamin Cardozo School of Law next year.

Barnas, a 1974 graduate of Columbia College, has been the editor of *The Advocate* since the start of his second year.

### PAD Elects New Officers

by Jane Breslin

Fordham's Wormser Chapter of Phi Alpha Delta Law Fraternity International has announced the election of new officers for the 1978-79 academic year.

Elections were held on April 4. The winners were Jerry Martin 1B, Chief Justice; Dan Genovese 2B, Vice Justice; John Stine 1B, Treasurer; Jim Scaduto 1A, Marshall; and Linda Ishkarian 1A, Clerk.

## Name Advocate Editor

*The Advocate* editorial board has announced the appointment of a new Editor-in-Chief for the 1978-79 academic year.

William Ruane 2B, an *Advocate* staff writer since early 1978, will assume the post vacated by graduating editor Michael C. H. Barnas.

Ruane will appoint the rest of his editorial board in September.

A native of Bayonne, New Jersey, Ruane is a 1977 graduate of New York Univer-

sity. While at N.Y.U., he edited the biweekly undergraduate newspaper, the *Washington Square News*. Ruane has also worked as a summer intern at *Newsday*, the Long Island daily.

"Although *The Advocate* has had some good performances, I think we can improve on them," Ruane said upon his appointment. He promises a "more political" publication in the coming year.

Barnas, who has edited *The Advocate* since September,

1976, has retired from public life pending the outcome of the July bar examination.

### Humidor Stolen

The office of Faculty Law Clerk Addison Metcalf was burglarized for the second time in two years during the weekend of February 4.

Last year a pen set was stolen from Metcalf's office. The most recent theft was of an engraved humidor given to Metcalf by the faculty two years ago.

## Through the Year in Pictures



Some of the more noteworthy events of the academic year 1977-78 at Fordham Law (clockwise from above): Attorney General Griffin Bell delivering the 1978 Sonnett Lecture; Elizabeth Walters, the newly-named Director of Placement; PAD head Vince Amato thanking Professor Robert Byrn for his contribution to the PAD Lecture Series; Florence Fass, elected president of the SBA in March; Intramural Commissioner Bruce Birns presenting the National Law School Basketball Championship trophy to Dean Joseph McLaughlin; and finally, the late, lamented Lincoln Lounge, which closed its hallowed doors at the start of this school year.

# THE ADVOCATE

**Editor-in-Chief** Michael C.H. Barnas  
**Executive Editors** Linda Aylesworth  
 Michael Brofman  
**Photo Editor** Peter Shawhan  
**Contributing Editors** Kevin Crozier  
 Walter Donat  
**Sports Editor** Bruce Birns  
**Staff** Jane Breslin,  
 Marshall Donat,  
 Steve Friedman,  
 Robin Lewkowitz,  
 Ted Neustadt,  
 William Ruane,  
 Georgine Vairo

The Advocate is the student newspaper of the Fordham University School of Law, published by and for the students biweekly during the school year. Editorial opinions expressed are those of the Editor-in-Chief, and do not necessarily reflect those of the University, the School, the students nor individual members of the staff. Communications may be addressed to The Advocate, Fordham University School of Law, Room 048A, 140 West 62nd Street, New York City 10023. Advertising inquiries should be addressed to the Business Manager. Letters to the Editor, preferably typed, are welcome.

## Faculty Selections

With the end of this academic year, several valuable Fordham faculty members will leave—some for good, some just for a while. Professor Sheila Birnbaum will depart to teach practice at N.Y.U., Professor Max Welker will return to Puget Sound after his one-year visiting professorship, and two regular Fordham faculty members will take leaves of absence to serve as visiting professors elsewhere.

This exodus leaves the school with four professorial slots which need to be filled by September. Despite the financial crunch imposed by the educators-turned-entrepreneurs at Rose Hill, the Law School will hopefully have the budget to make these faculty appointments for the coming year.

One question remains—how will these appointments be made? Last year, *The Advocate* described the operation of the Faculty Search Committee. Despite the thoroughness of the Committee's review of applicants, its procedures have a glaring omission—they allow for no formal student input into faculty selection.

We do not propose that students be given veto power over the faculty's choice of new members. Indeed, student input to faculty selection procedures need not even be translated into voting power on the Committee.

What we need is a formal mechanism by which student opinions are brought before the members of the Committee, to add that factor to those already balanced by its members in voting. Students are, after all, the consumers of the service to be provided by the new professors; we have a right to participate in selecting those people for whose services we will pay.

Dean Joseph McLaughlin and the Faculty Search Committee should implement such procedures before the next round of faculty appointments is made. Let student representatives meet with the candidates, and offer their recommendations to the Committee. After all, no important decision should be made without considering all relevant information—and this is something that up to now the Committee has failed to do.

## Alumni Keep Working So You Can Start

"Where are the alumni?" Whenever a placement or other job-counseling problem is discussed in the Law School, this question is asked by students. It is a question that virtually every student group asks and it is a question that perforce must be answered anew for every class at the Law School.

The alumni are alive and well and serving the students. Individually and as the Alumni Association, the Law School's graduates give freely of their time and energy in projects that always benefit the School and its students.

In the area of placement, the alumni have been the driving force behind job and career counseling for many years. The innovative programs, Alumni Placement Committee, new offices and funds for the extras in the office come from alumni who are very committed to the placement effort. The alumni provide many of the panelists for the legal career panel discussions. They provide regional programs for job counseling in Washington, D.C. and are planning additional regional programs in New Jersey and Connecticut. They participate in the student-alumni individualized counseling program and serve as judges of oral arguments and briefs in the moot court programs.

This year, with assistance from the Alumni Placement Committee, the Placement Office was able to undertake a small and medium-sized firm mailing and a corporation mailing and to draft and print a brochure for legal employers. The Placement Office now has a library which is the gift of the Law Alumni Association.

The Association runs the annual John F. Sonnett Memorial Lecture, the Corporate Law Institute, Dean's Day, the annual Fordham-Stein Award and participates very actively in the Fordham Law School Annual Fund Drive. While not every program or operation of the Alumni Association is directly

addressed to the students of the Law School, it is safe to say that every one of these programs benefits the students of the Law School.

### PLACEMENT

by Elizabeth Walters  
Placement Director

Of foremost importance to Fordham Law School is the joining of the practice and the study of the law. In an effort to do this the Law School and its Alumni have invited a number of prominent lawyers and jurists to the annual John F. Sonnett Memorial Lecture to speak on the issues of the times. In addition, the Law School and Alumni sponsor a Corporate Law Institute drawing lawyers from across the world to examine the special problems facing business today.

Each year, the Law School and Alumni sponsor a number of other continuing legal education programs including a Dean's Day program for lawyers and students to discuss the pressing issues of the law. Fordham Law School and its Alumni make a special effort to recognize outstanding achievement in the law by awarding its own Fordham-Stein Award to a person selected each year by a committee including former presidents of the American Bar Association and prominent members of the bench and bar.

The Annual Fund Drive grows in productivity and scope with each passing year and is largely administered by volunteer alumni help. The alumni have planned, staffed and worked to raise money for the Law School through a number of solicitation programs.

The areas which this article has touched upon represent only some of the aspects of a very vibrant and effective Alumni Association which is alive and well and serving the student. To one of the greatest assets of the Law School, its alumni, thank you. To the Class of 1978, who have now become alumni, congratulations and best wishes for a successful career in the practice of law.

ent members of the bench and bar. The Annual Fund Drive grows in productivity and scope with each passing year and is largely administered by volunteer alumni help. The alumni have planned, staffed and worked to raise money for the Law School through a number of solicitation programs.

The areas which this article has touched upon represent only some of the aspects of a very vibrant and effective Alumni Association which is alive and well and serving the student.

To one of the greatest assets of the Law School, its alumni, thank you.

To the Class of 1978, who have now become alumni, congratulations and best wishes for a successful career in the practice of law.

## The Results A

by Peter Sha

Of the roughly 1100 students at Fordham Law, fully thirteen filled out and returned the law school motivation survey run in *The Advocate* earlier this semester.

Working from this broad statistical base, the *Advocate* staff prepared an attitudinal profile of the typical Fordham law student. Unfortunately, it is libelous, and we cannot print it.

In lieu of this profile, we are presenting the following compilation of responses. The number to the left of each statement tells how many people felt the statement applied to them. We leave you to draw your own conclusions about the student body.

### I. WHY DID YOU COME TO LAW SCHOOL?

- 5 longstanding desire to be a lawyer
- 4 feeling that day-to-day legal work would be interesting
- 6 feeling that law offered an opportunity to make a contribution to society
- 1 One of your relatives is a lawyer or politician
- 4 desire for the security, income, and social status accompanying a professional career (money!!)
- 5 you crave power, and you think you'll get it as a lawyer
- 4 other career options offered few employment opportunities, and you didn't want to drive a cab
- 0 your family needed a second income
- 4 you wanted to go into politics
- 1 conformity to peers who planned to go to law school (everybody else was doing it, so why not?)
- 3 feeling that being a lawyer had to be better than doing what you were doing before you came here
- 0 you didn't find a wife or husband in college, and you figured going to law school would let you keep looking a little longer
- 1 you didn't want to get married yet, but you thought that Law Students Have More Fun
- 0 you enrolled in medical school, but on the first day of anatomy class you discovered that you faint at the sight of blood
- 4 you didn't want to grow up yet
- 0 you saw *The Paper Chase*

### II. WHY HAVE YOU STAYED IN LAW SCHOOL?

- 7 you still think law is interesting
- 3 you still think law offers better employment

## Letters, We Get Letters

The article below was unearthed recently in Blackacre by an intrepid Advocate reporter. At first, he said, it looked like any other brown paper bag with scribbling on it. But then he noticed the first sentence, which referred to "this editorial" and realized it was intended for publication.

Of course, we had no way to determine whether it was intended for publication in these columns. But it did come to our attention, and in view of our time-honored policy of printing all letters to the editor, we thought we'd better take no chances and reproduce it for you here.

This editorial was written on a brown paper bag littering—along with hundreds like it, cups, cans, straws, nakins, and other assorted garbage—the tan and green dining room we call "Blackacre".

Garbage.

We are surrounded/buried in garbage, some of it the "legal kind", but most of it the "slobbish kind", "human garbage" strewn by people—young law students, old law students, professors, deans, and other assorted co-conspirators—for the sole purpose of avoiding the great exercise and stress of carrying approximately two ounces of aluminum can to a round gray-lined receptacle no more than 20 feet away.

There, I now propose that the SBA Executive Board institute an immediate program to eradicate the problem by using the left-over student fees to purchase three large goats who would certainly enjoy munching on this sub-human fecal material.

Concerned Environmentalists

## A Mo

During the past many Fordham students have come as and have equities with being castic, humorous, just ridiculous. W compliment or have taken great in being able to im of levity into th often highly op atmosphere of law To anyone that I h ed in jest I sincerel But my columns a behind them are n cant. The fact th read and appreciat my deep-rooted Fordham is and ca special law school.

I have many thoughts about prepare to gradu those of you who pecting a farewe apologize, but th reserved for a few sincerity.

Fordham is a

# ment of Sober Reflection . . .

years school. I don't buy any of that garbage that we're just a shade behind the other two Manhattan schools. You take the average legal expert on the street who claims the other two are "better," exchange students, faculty and deans while he is sleeping, and I guarantee that the next morning he'd still say Columbia was better.

I went to Columbia undergrad and often, when not watching the Lions lose convincingly to Brown, have had occasion to use their Law Library. The scene was lifeless (and wasn't because everyone was busy watching the demos in front of Hamilton Hall. It was because people were too busy burying their faces in their texts to realize there were friends around to be had. Here we also have people in the library, but many of them like each other and don't get overly annoyed if someone happens to cough.

To those who say Columbia

and NYU are better, I say the law of New York as taught on 116th Street is not different than that expressed on 62nd St., so not better there. Fordham's superior record on Bar exam performances bears out that conclusion.

A law school is no better or worse than the people who comprise it—students, faculty, administration—and the interrelation between all of them. That is where we gain the ad-

## SPEAKING OF SPORTS

by Bruce Birns

vantage. As far as I am concerned, and I'm sure I speak for many, there is no greater dean in the business than Joe McLaughlin. He's one of the brightest, most knowledgeable, most approachable and most reputable men of learning I have ever known. But he possesses one even more outstanding quality so often lacking in a man of his stature—he is interested in the interests of his students, both academic and otherwise. What other dean socializes with his students, incorporating their ideas? Who else would have gladly accepted charges, or for that matter requested his students to call him collect from Springfield to apprise him of the basketball results?

It is this interest, indicative of the school consciousness we have here both on the part of students and faculty alike, that makes this school unique, a cut above the rest. Why then do the people who are supposed to voice the sentiment of the students and who are elected to be the leaders and advocates of the betterment of law school life act so scornful and unappreciative of the chance for improvement. It is this attitude on the part of many that stands in the way of and even threatens a more happy and rich three years here.

There is no denying that the primary goal of a law school is the preparing of its students for an eventual practice of law. To place any other goal on a higher pedestal is to defeat the purpose of coming here. But when the opportunity to improve the life of students here is in our hands, failing to do so is waste and cannot go uncriticized.

Two years ago when I started the intramural basketball program here I had three primary purposes. First, I thought the school was very much in need of a physical outlet during the cold and dreary winter months. I had hoped that perhaps fifty students would turn out. Instead, by year's end, over eighty-five had played.

Secondly, I thought the league provided an opportunity for students in different sections to get to know one another. There is no denying that many valuable friendships were made that would have

been unrealized had there been no opportunity to meet.

Finally, as I had hoped, the league provided a "section consciousness" in which each week every section was looking forward to beating those guys from 2B. Students became involved with and stood behind their sections.

The league provided a richer experience for many. It was available for all. Thus I become turned off when a member of the Student Bar Association tells me to be thankful for the appropriation I received. I am thankful. It is the significance behind the remark that perturbs me. If the Student Bar Association is truly the mechanism for the improvement of student life here, it should want to completely subsidize such a far-reaching and stimulating cause. It's not the money, but the attitude that scares me and makes me think that what is important to a large number of students goes unnoticed by their representatives.

The problem is that many on the S.B.A. think they sit in the houses of Parliament, waiting for an important enough issue—such as the execution of the King—before they act. And once given the opportunity, they do so subjectively, rudely and in an uneducated manner. The Springfield Issue proves highly indicative. That trip was made as a representation of the school. Thirty schools or so were interested and sixteen attended. The team represented the school exceptionally well, and not only in winning the Championship. The people who ran the event stated that we were the nicest bunch in the lot. I'm sorry, it may not be

academia but it says a lot for the school. People are impressed by those kinds of things.

Furthermore, the students here were interested in how we did and ten of our loyal fans made the four-hour drive up to the tournament. The only other school that had any was the Western New England school and they had to walk all of two blocks to get there. Thus, the trip being that important to so many and being beneficial to the school, the S.B.A. could have paid a little bit more consideration if not courtesy.

But Parliament can do what it wants. On three different occasions I attended S.B.A. meetings on the assurance that the proposal would be entertained, twice coming early from work to do so. On each of those occasions my proposal was tabled because they had a six o'clock class, or because it was a retroactive proposal and could therefore wait. The reason it was retroactive was because earlier I had agreed to wait until after the trip in deference to more important matters—the elections. If I had found time to be there the issue should have been disposed of at that meeting. A claim of class as a defense holds no weight. If one class or for that matter fifteen minutes is more important than a proposal affecting fifteen students then that member has no business being a spokesperson for the student interests.

The other schools attending were completely funded by their respective Student Bar Associations. Many of the schools were allocated over

four hundred dollars. Where as here where there is a budget surplus—so much that after our proposal was decided a motion was made to give a large bundle to the graduation class to better alumni-student relations—I find it preposterous that we were given \$130.00 where expenses incurred totalled four hundred dollars. I feel silly when I give two people—one of whom covered the event for the *Advocate*—four dollars each in satisfaction of expenses totalling much more. When the S.B.A. grants \$100 for one woman to attend a women's law conference in Atlanta, I view it as an insult to receive \$130.00 for fifteen students travelling as representatives of the school.

On each occasion members were always quick to assert the S.B.A.'s policy of a \$100 travel limit as a defense. My reaction to that is one of astonishment. Members of our governing board, you are all students of the law. It is quite obvious that the policy was intended for small groups, not fifteen. The easy way to circumvent the problem was to either construe the section liberally or amend it. This is not England, you are not Parliament, and you need no permission from Elizabeth. It's time to pay a little more attention to what your constituency deems important.

All I will say in closing is that this school has a great and unique school consciousness, one that can enrich the student lives of those who attend if it is allowed to grow and if the people who are supposed to cultivate it do so instead of looking askance.

## e In

ortunities than other fields (you fool!) don't think law offers good employment opportunities, but it's all you've got still think law offers an opportunity to contribute to society put all that time and effort into first, and you hate to throw it down the drain by dropping out relative is paying for all of this, and would you if you dropped out still think you're going to make a lot of money or achieve social status through your career as a lawyer don't want your peers to think of you as failure don't want to think of yourself as a failure

### WHAT DO YOU EXPECT TO GET OUT OF YOUR CAREER AS A LAWYER?

interesting, worthwhile job fulfillment of moral or social values money, security prestige, social status

alcohol drinking problem high blood pressure and/or a heart attack nervous breakdown marital ennui

### Write-In Answers

desire for the security, income and social status accompanying a professional career (money!)—"but it's lacking."

drinking problem—"aggravate existing problem."

desire to get a worthwhile job."

### Conclusions

reason most students cite for coming to school was a "feeling that law offered a contribution to society." By second year, students were primarily concerned with holding on, frequently citing "you put all your time and effort into the first year, and you throw it down the drain by dropping out as their reason for continuing their education. In the final category, the one thing students expected to get out of their education was "a drinking problem"—reminding us we are, after all, Fordham students.

## . . . Time's Up

I, Bruce Birns, being of an insane mind do hereby declare this writing to be my last willing testimonial, herein revoking all prior acts of buffoonery made by me previously.

First, I hereby declare that CONSTANTINE KATSORIS shall act as my EXECUTOR empowered in distribution to make sure that no onerous strings be attached to any of these bequests and to prevent

any of the devisees from becoming pig-headed, but the CAT shall only act as executor on the condition that he show himself worthy of the task by answering correctly seventy-four easy little true-false questions or in the alternative by bringing back the broomstick of the Wicked Witch of the West.

Second, in the event that he should fail, then PROFES-

SOR McANIFF (hereinafter referred to as JONES) will serve as my TRUSTEE to distribute the corpse to these stinking beneficiaries and remains of men provided they be in being at the time of my departure.

In the event that a contest occur as to the rules to apply to any of the bequest provisions herein made by me, then the law as determined by MIKE MARTIN shall apply notwithstanding it shall have nothing to do with the facts as established.

Furthermore, if there be any litigation in the Surrogate's Court brought on by an opponent to these bequests, it is my fervent desire that HENRY PUTZEL be retained as counsel to argue for the opposition.

Finally if any of my executors, administrators, or trustees shall find it necessary to initiate an undertaking on my estate's behalf, and due to whatever reason an attachment be needed then I hereby instruct that one be attached

continued on page 4

## The Advocate Awards

As academic 1977-1978 dies like a sick rat in a subway tunnel, we turn back the pages to remember with affection some of the truly outstanding accomplishments of recent times. We feel that they should receive the recognition they so richly deserve.

The Richard M. Nixon Award for Poise Under Pressure goes to J. Wallace "I am not a crook" LaPrade, former head of the FBI's New York office, for the professionalism and respect for authority with

which he has met charges against him.

The Werner Erhard Award goes to Florence, ah, what's her name?

A special Fordham Jock Award goes to Bruce Birns, for the balls he has shown in New York Practice. He's our favorite athletic supporter.

The *Advocates's* Kama Sutra Award goes to the people in the Placement Office, who are charged with the responsibility of helping Fordham Law students find new positions.

# Birns Paroled After Three Years

Continued from page 3

to whatever by my dear friend and one of the world's most confused experts in Practice and in reality JOE McLAUGHLIN for indeed it is quite clear that he alone is blessed with the knowledge of how, where, what or who is attached, this action by JOE to be allowed only quite obviously if the object attached could not have been arrested.

Therefore with heaviness of heart I do declare the following to be carried out:

To my good friend PETE PUTZEL I leave my Joe McLaughlin evidence notes—from what I hear you shall

always treasure them.

To my good debtor LAWRENCE RABINOWITZ all the change I have found in *The Advocate* drawers during the past three years.

To my good friend MICHAEL CAREY my endorsement for what ever student of fice he shall seek next year.

To my dearly beloved LOIS SMITH, a devout Yankee fan, the opportunity to be choked by Thurmon Munson.

To no one's friend MICHAEL KELLY my size 55 cap. In the event this cap shall prove too small, then to his fellow members of the LAW REVIEW to be worn

per stirpes.

To my exam friend DEAN HANLON a commitment to take the Bar Exam on time.

To DAVID LEWIS my clown crown to wear in the Dean's class next year, but in the event that he prove not worthy of this right (and by his performance in Practice this year he's got a long way to go) then a tape recorder so that he may hear himself talk.

To the greatest teacher ever, JOE McLAUGHLIN, Dave Lewis and, after May 1 night the chance to have me in Practice again.

To the S.B.A., a sarcastic thanks for everything and a

check for \$130.00 which they apparently need more than me. However, in the event that they shall remain asleep after my departure then it is my desire that this money go to the alumni so that we may have a better working relationship with them.

To the security guards BROWN DOZIER and the other guys whose names I can't spell let alone pronounce, I hereby leave my alarm clock.

To GEORGINE VAIRO, a "sorry" for the four dollars she received for her troubles in driving seven of us up to Springfield and spending some

thirty bills in so doing but in the event that this be in her discretion insufficient, leave to amend to implead the S.B.A.

To my good PROFESSOR CONSTANTINE KATSORIS, for whom I will remain forever indebted in taxes, I pledge to him my safety deposit box as a place to store his books during the break. But if the Court shall declare this provision void since an executor can not take under the will then I simply say I'm sorry for these fifty or sixty times I was a little late.

Finally, to BILL RUANE and next year's ADVOCATE, a huge void to fill.

## We Think You've Got Potential!

That's why Chemical Bank can offer you — the young professional—the credit you deserve. We want to establish a long-term banking relationship with you right now! Before you earn your first paycheck in New York, you may qualify for a \$3,000 line of credit. That means credit before you even begin working — a practice generally unheard of in banking today.

### Choose from our 2 "Great Potential" package plans

#### Plan A

- ChemBank Master Charge card with \$1,000 credit line.
- American Express Gold Bank Card with a \$2,000 line of credit at Chemical Bank.
- No-Fee Checking and interest-bearing Savings account.
- 200 free serialized, personalized checks.
- Chemical Cash Machine membership.

#### Plan B

- ChemBank Master Charge® card with a \$1,000 credit line.
- "No-Bounce" Privilege Checking account with a \$1,000 line of credit at Chemical Bank.
- No-Fee Checking and interest-bearing Savings account.
- 200 free serialized, personalized checks.
- Chemical Cash Machine membership.

Then fill out and send us this attached coupon and we'll send you all the information you'll need to discover your own potential at Chemical. Return this card to: Chemical Bank, 2 Pennsylvania Plaza New York, NY. Attention: George Wenskus, Program Director.

**CHEMICALBANK**  
THE CHEMISTRY'S JUST RIGHT AT CHEMICAL.

I want to realize my potential with Chemical Bank

With Plan A

With Plan B

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Phone Number ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Graduate School \_\_\_\_\_

Member FDIC