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Honorable Geraldine A. Ferraro  
US Congress  
House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515  

Dear Congresswoman Ferraro:

My wife and I want to commend you on your "presence" this morning while on
the David Brinkley program.

We think it is important that you take every opportunity possible to get
exposure on such programs. We were impressed with your diplomatic and clear
responses, and without having to resort to cue cards.

It amazes us that the media tends to exculpate President Reagan (NWR) for his
gaffs, his bad jokes and his sophomoric responses to questions, and then to
apply a different (continuous and needling) standard to you, Mondale and
Jackson. We would like the press to question who is in charge and who programs
NWR after he wakes up from cabinet mee tings.

Please, continue to keep your "cool" answer their questions with candor,
aplomb and appropriateness, and then ask the inquisitors why they don't ask
NWR about similiar circumstances that the public should know about.
And, as I recall when NWR gaffed a joke (similiar to Earl Buts) in New
Hampshire during the 1980 campaign he was isolated from the press and the
press accepted their fate without question.

Moreover, I think you should tell the press to lay-off the after church
questions because you have as much right as any other American to attend the
church of his/her choice without having your peace-of-mind disturbed after
the service. I believe you have the full support of every American in this
reguard.

I think it is important for you to question, at every opportunity wether
the country (AMERICA) is better off now than four years ago rather than as
NWR is doing asking (affluent) individuals wether they are better off etc.

In addition, polls favoring NWR should be questioned by exposing the
questions, and how, and where they were asked.

Yours truly,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Mr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name:</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name:</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Name:</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salutation:</td>
<td>Mr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address 1:</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address 2:</td>
<td>[Blacked out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>Medford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State:</td>
<td>NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip:</td>
<td>08055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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