

2-28-1964

Paul A. Freund to John D. Feerick

Paul A. Freund

Follow this and additional works at: http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/twentyfifth_amendment_correspondence



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Freund, Paul A., "Paul A. Freund to John D. Feerick" (1964). *Correspondence*. 31.
http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/twentyfifth_amendment_correspondence/31

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Twenty-Fifth Amendment Archive at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Correspondence by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

Law School of Harvard University

Cambridge 38, Mass.

February 28, 1964

John D. Feerick, Esq.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
551 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10017


Dear John:

I have just had the pleasure of reading the statement which you are presenting to the Subcommittee. It is both strong and tactful, and I have no doubt will make a corresponding impression.

When I appeared on Tuesday of this week, I was questioned by Senator Keating on the point which you picked up for special attention -- the issue whether an amendment should be as detailed and specific as our proposal. I replied very much in your terms, that a blank check to Congress would probably be less appealing to the state legislatures than a definite plan, coupled with a measure of flexibility for future revision by Congress. I am wondering whether, as this letter is being sent, you are responding to Senator Keating's doubts. I am sure that your testimony will prove to be a mutually happy and rewarding experience.

With every good wish,

Sincerely,



Paul A. Freund

PAF:AM