

Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

NYS Supreme Court Decisions in Article 78
Proceedings

Court Litigation Documents

November 2019

Decision in CPLR Article 78 proceedings - Morales, Yull (2017-06-02)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/pdd>

Recommended Citation

"Decision in CPLR Article 78 proceedings - Morales, Yull (2017-06-02)" (2019). Parole Information Project
<https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/pdd/18>

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Court Litigation Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in NYS Supreme Court Decisions in Article 78 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF ORANGE**

X

**In the Matter of the Application of
YULL MORALES, 92-A-4230,**

Petitioner,

NOTICE OF ENTRY

**For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil
Practice Law and Rules,**

INDEX NO. 7076/2016

-AGAINST-

NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF PAROLE,

Respondent.

X

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the within is a true and complete copy of a Decision and Order together with notice of entry in this proceeding duly entered in the Office of the County Clerk of Orange County on June 5, 2017.

Dated: Poughkeepsie, New York
July 18, 2017

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN

Attorney General of the State of New York
Attorney for Respondent
1 Civic Center Plaza, Suite 401
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
Telephone: (845) 485-3900

TO: Yull Morales, 92-A-4230
Petitioner Pro-se
Otisville Correctional Facility
PO Box 8
Otisville, NY 10963

JUN 07 2017

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF ORANGE

CLERK OF COURTS & LITIGATION
POSITIVE RECORDS OFFICE

In the Matter of the Application of
YULL MORALES - 92A4230,

DECISION AND ORDER

INDEX NO. 7076/2016

Petitioner,

For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78
Of the Civil Practice Law and Rules

-against-

NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF PAROLE,

Respondent.

-----X
P R E S E N T: HON. ELAINE SLOBOD, JSC

The following sets of papers numbered 1 to 4 were considered
on the respondent's motion to dismiss the petition as moot:

- Order to show cause; Morales affidavit
in support of order to show cause;
petition, and exhibits 1(A-E) and 2 1-3
- Notice of motion, Gavin affirmation, and
exhibit 1 4

Upon review of the foregoing, it is ORDERED that the
respondent's motion is granted without opposition.

The petition challenged a decision by the Board of Parole
denying the petitioner parole and sought a *de novo* interview.
The petition is moot because the petitioner appeared for a
subsequent interview, which was the relief sought by the
petitioner. See *Ortiz v Alexander*, 83 AD3d 1078 (2d Dept 2011).

This decision constitutes the order of the Court.

E N T E R

Dated: June 2 , 2017
Goshen, New York

Elaine Slobod
HON. ELAINE SLOBOD, JSC

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, ATTORNEY GENERAL
By Elizabeth A. Gavin, AAG
Attorney for Respondent
One Civic Center Plaza, Suite 401
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601-3157

YULL MORALES - 92A4230
Petitioner Pro Se
Otisville Correctional Facility
PO Box 8
Otisville, New York 10963