Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Decisions in Art. 78 Proceedings

Article 78 Litigation Documents

November 2019

Decision in Art. 78 proceeding - Morales, Yull (2017-06-02)

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/pdd

Recommended Citation

"Decision in Art. 78 proceeding - Morales, Yull (2017-06-02)" (2019). Parole Information Project https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/pdd/18

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Article 78 Litigation Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Decisions in Art. 78 Proceedings by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ORANGE

In the Matter of the Application of YULL MORALES, 92-A-4230,

Petitioner,

NOTICE OF ENTRY

For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules,

INDEX NO. 7076/2016

-AGAINST-

NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF PAROLE,

Respondent.

X

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the within is a true and complete copy of a Decision and Order together with notice of entry in this proceeding duly entered in the Office of the County Clerk of Orange County on June 5, 2017.

Dated: Poughkeepsie, New York July 18, 2017

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN

Attorney General of the State of New York

Attorney for Respondent

1 Civic Center Plaza, Suite 401

Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

Telephone: (845) 485-3900

TO: Yull Morales, 92-A-4230

Petitioner Pro-se
Otisville Correctional Facility
PO Box 8
Otisville, NY 10963

RECEIVED NYS 05705 OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

JUN 0 7 2017



SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ORANGE

In the Matter of the Application of YULL MORALES - 92A4230,

DECISION AND ORDER

INDEX NO. 7076/2016

Petitioner,

For a Judgment Pursuant to Article 78 Of the Civil Practice Law and Rules

-against-

NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF PAROLE,

Respondent.

PRESENT: HON. ELAINE SLOBOD, JSC

The following sets of papers numbered 1 to 4 were considered on the respondent's motion to dismiss the petition as moot:

Order to show cause; Morales affidavit in support of order to show cause; petition, and exhibits 1(A-E) and 2

1-3

Notice of motion, Gavin affirmation, and exhibit 1

4

Upon review of the foregoing, it is ORDERED that the respondent's motion is granted without opposition.

The petition challenged a decision by the Board of Parole denying the petitioner parole and sought a de novo interview.

The petition is moot because the petitioner appeared for a subsequent interview, which was the relief sought by the petitioner. See Ortiz v Alexander, 83 AD3d 1078 (2d Dept 2011).

This decision constitutes the order of the Court.

ENTER

Dated: June 2 , 2017 Goshen, New York

> Clouve Slowerd HON. ELAINE SLOBOD, JSC

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, ATTORNEY GENERAL By Elizabeth A. Gavin, AAG Attorney for Respondent One Civic Center Plaza, Suite 401 Poughkeepsie, New York 12601-3157

YULL MORALES - 92A4230 Petitioner Pro Se Otisville Correctional Facility PO Box 8 Otisville, New York 10963