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REPRODUCTION AND THE RULE OF LAW
IN LATIN AMERICA

Michele Goodwin* & Allison M. Whelan**

When Carmen Guadalupe Vasquez was rushed to the hospital after giving birth to a stillborn baby boy, the doctors first treated her life-threatening bleeding and then called the police, who handcuffed her to the bed. In El Salvador, where all abortion is illegal and emergency wards are turned into crime scenes, the confused, weak, and desperately ill 18-year-old maid was placed under investigation for terminating her pregnancy and driven away in a police van.1

INTRODUCTION

If race and the “color line” were the pivotal problems of the twentieth century,2 sex discrimination and reproductive justice are their companions in the twenty-first century. Scholars, activists, and lawmakers frequently perceive the “rule of law”3 as essential to eliminating discrimination and

---
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3. For such a widely used term, there is no concrete agreement as to its definition. One scholar argues that the rule of law should be focused on three clear matters: protecting against anarchy; allowing individuals to plan with confidence because they know in advance the consequences of their actions; and ensuring that law should not be arbitrary. Richard H. Fallon, “The Rule of Law” As a Concept in Constitutional Discourse, 97 COLUM. L. REV. 1, 7–8 (1997).
promoting various forms of egalitarianism, including gender equality. In the United States, federal legislation such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Voting Rights Act,6 and more recently the Americans with Disabilities Act7 and the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act8 powerfully buttress this claim. Similarly, other industrial nations and developing countries adopt this approach to addressing social inequalities. That is to say, hard legal rules in the form of legislation presumptively serve a fundamental role in effectively addressing and eliminating social inequalities, because legal rules are thought to be transparently written and enacted, equally enforced, and independently adjudicated.10


10. We define the rule of law as a doctrine of governance to which public and private entities, all persons, and the state are accountable. According to Rachel Kleinfield, the capacity of legal rules to bring about efficacy, stability, and equality is tied to several key goals: (1) ensuring equality before the law; (2) making states abide by the law; (3) upholding human rights; (4) providing impartial justice; and (5) providing law and order.
Legislation prohibiting discrimination against women and laws establishing formal equality among the sexes, such as affirmative action in education, business, and accommodations, advance the perception that the rule of law is critical to social equality. Yet, a growing concern among human rights advocates suggests that the rule of law must be rigorously implemented and enforced for it to be effective. They persuasively argue that changes in the law are simply insufficient to change deeply entrenched discriminatory social norms. Simply put, some activists and scholars perceive the rule of law as broken or lacking the capacity to address systemic, persistent discrimination in societies.

This raises an important question: If the law does not command it, can there be equality? In this Article, we offer an examination and critique of the rule of law as a method for advancing women’s rights. We take up two points of inquiry in the broader political and social discourses: reproductive rights and political capacity building. These issues intersect to raise other compelling questions: Is minority-group political representation essential to achieving equality? More specifically, is the representation of women in political leadership essential to achieving sex equality? Substantively, does the representation of women in political office correlate to reproductive justice? Normatively, are women more reliable than men in promoting sex equality and advancing reproductive liberty? Will broader representation of women in political office translate into the reproductive rights improvements that the majority of women favor?

Rule of law proponents remind us that even if legislation fails to change societal attitudes, antidiscrimination laws at least mandate formal or procedural equality. Prominent examples might be drawn from the civil


11. See Katharina Pistor et al., Social Norms, Rule of Law, and Gender Reality: An Essay on the Limits of the Dominant Rule of Law Paradigm, in GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES ON THE RULE OF LAW 244 (James J. Heckman et al. eds., 2010) (arguing “there is little evidence . . . to show that legal change has indeed brought about greater gender equality”).


13. “Formal equality” requires that “likes be treated alike,” an inherent concept in the rule of law. Shannon Fentiman, Discrimination, Work and Family: Recent Regulatory Responses to Promote Equality 6 (Ctr. for Emp’t & Labour Relations Law, Univ. of Melbourne, Working Paper No. 8, 2011), available at http://www.law.unimelb.edu.au/files/dmfile/Student_WP_No3.pdf. Formal equality, however, does not necessarily translate into “substantive” equality, which is concerned with equality in results not merely in procedure. Id. Formal equality versus substantive equality is frequently discussed, for example, in the context of race-based segregation, with some believing that mere desegregation is enough, even if it does nothing to change individuals’ “hearts and minds.” See Brown v. Bd. of Educ. 347 U.S. 483, 494 (1954); Kristina Brittenham, Equal Protection Theory and the Harvey Milk High School: Why Anti-Subordination Alone Is Not Enough, 45 B.C. L. REV. 869, 870 (2004) (“Some . . . argue that the formal equal treatment sought in integration cases can be an empty ideal rather than the key to equality. These advocates are less concerned with different treatment on the basis of classifications like race or sex than
rights movements in the United States, which advanced legislative equality resulting in landmark laws addressing voting rights, housing, health care, employment, and education. Indisputably, the rule of law provides minority groups and the marginalized the right to enforce laws intended to guard against inequality. For these reasons, rule of law proponents might also argue that formal law accords women the opportunity to demand sex equality and justice. This type of equality, which we refer to as formal equality or colloquially, equality “on the books,” however, does not necessarily lead to equality in action.

Evidence suggests that merely enacting laws or increasing women’s political representation does not necessarily result in gender equality or sex equality. Nor does the rule of law necessarily spawn the enactment of laws addressing women’s concerns, particularly those related to reproductive rights. In this Article we push back against the notion that law is enough. In particular, we assert that while legislative action may promote equality and shift gender norms, the rule of law sacrifices legitimacy and fails to achieve efficiency and impact without attention to enforcement. For

with the disadvantages resulting from such classifications.” (emphasis added)); Ruth Colker, Reflections on Race: The Limits of Formal Equality, 69 OHIO ST. L.J. 1089, 1113 (2008) (arguing that although notions of formal equality allowed the Supreme Court to reject segregation in Brown, “it has outlived its usefulness as a vehicle to attain substantive equality”).

14. “Gender” generally refers to “the socially constructed roles, behaviours, activities, and attributes that a given society considers appropriate for men and women.” What Do We Mean by “Sex” and “Gender”?., WORLD HEALTH ORG., http://www.who.int/gender/whatisgender/en/ (last visited Mar. 25, 2015) [hereinafter WORLD HEALTH ORG.]. Gerda Lerner described gender as “the cultural definition of behavior defined as appropriate to the sexes in a given society at a given time. Gender is a set of cultural roles. It is a costume, a mask, a straightjacket in which men and women dance their unequal dance.” GERDA LERNER, THE CREATION OF PATRIARCHY 238 (1986).

15. “Sex refers to the biological and physiological characteristics that define men and women.” WORLD HEALTH ORG., supra note 14. The terms gender and sex are often used interchangeably in academic and public discourse as well as in the law, despite their different definitions. LERNER, supra note 14, at 238.


17. From the outset, we acknowledge possible misinterpretation of our views as doubtful about the capacity of rules of law to bring about social change. For example, we note that many factors influence law beyond the law itself; sometimes law is truly incidental to social action.
instance, the rule of law can just as easily subvert, suppress, and oppress as it can uplift and empower.18

This Article focuses on the current status of reproductive health laws in two Latin American countries: Uruguay and Chile. A case study of these two nations’ approaches to reproductive healthcare shifts the United States from the epicenter of the reproductive justice debate and expands the scope of concern about such issues to the international context. Both nations offer useful case studies: Uruguay enacted formal legislation to increase the representation of women in political office19 while Chile has not;20 yet, in both nations female politicians campaign on reproductive platforms that are of urgent concern to their female populations.

This Article proceeds in four parts. Parts I and II begin by analyzing reproductive health laws in Chile and Uruguay. These parts ask whether any correlation exists between sex-based representation in political office and reproductive health rights. Part III urges that while some scholars and commentators might assume that female representation alone achieves gender equality or liberalizes women’s rights, such conclusions would be misleading and inaccurate or at best incomplete. This Article pushes back against the concept that marginal political power and representation by women better addresses sex equality than none—or that it affirmatively advances the rights and interests of women. This Article concludes that although women serve as important agents of change and female political representation is important—if not essential—to promote change, in the context of reproductive health, participation in the electoral process and rulemaking matter as much, if not more, than mere political representation.

I. WOMEN AND THE RULE OF LAW: CHILE

In an important work on gender and the rule of law, Pistor, Haldar, and Amirapu argue that “reliance on the rule of law as the harbinger of greater gender equality might be over-optimistic, if not misleading.”21 In fact, as the authors point out, although various empirical studies indicate a positive

---

18. See generally TAMANAH, supra note 4, at 1–5 (arguing that discrete rule of law reform initiatives tend to ignore or downplay the pervasive relationships and interconnections between formal and informal institutions and the broader social context).

19. Article 2 of Uruguay’s electoral law, for example, stipulates that for elections held in 2014, candidates of both sex must be represented in every third place on electoral lists, either throughout the entire list or in the first fifteen places. Where only two seats are contested, at least one of the candidates must be female. See Uruguay, QUOTA PROJECT, http://www.quotaproject.org/uid/countryview.cfm?country=232 (last updated Feb. 5, 2015); see also BEATRIZ LLANOS & KRISTEN SAMPLE, 30 YEARS OF DEMOCRACY: RIDING THE WAVE?: WOMEN’S POLITICAL PARTICIPATION IN LATIN AMERICAN 35–36 (2008) (discussing quotas implemented in several South American countries that require women “to represent at least 30 percent of candidates on party lists”).


correlation between the “rule of law” and “desirable outcome variables,” such as the growth in financial markets, the increase in gross domestic product levels, the increased volume of trade, and even some human rights, what remains puzzling is why the benefits do not trickle down to women as they flow to men and institutions in certain societies.22

Part I takes up sex equality and the rule of law in Chile. As a case study, Chile and Uruguay offer provocative points of comparison because both nations embrace the rule of law in a region considered religiously conservative and perhaps even hostile to women’s reproductive liberty.23 Within Latin America, these nations’ abortion laws exist on opposite ends of the spectrum. For example, women’s rights activists describe Chile’s abortion laws as “draconian,”24 whereas they praise Uruguay’s political leadership for crafting one of “the most liberal abortion laws” in South America.25 Somewhat surprisingly, however, despite Chile’s strict stance on abortion, its government enacted fairly progressive contraception and family planning laws, policies, and reproductive health initiatives in the last decade.26 What accounts for these differences and similarities, and how might they inform a political discourse on the rule of law and women in politics?

In Part I.A, we describe how legal success in one aspect or domain of reproductive rights—contraception—does not necessarily translate to greater access in other reproductive health spheres, such as abortion.

22. Id.


A. Reproductive Health Laws

Reproductive rights advocates regard Chile as one of the most restrictive nations with regard to abortion rights in the world. We would agree. Indeed, apart from reproductive rights, critics charge that Chile’s entire legal system and the laws enacted by its legislative bodies, including its National Congress, are “more inegalitarian” than laws in other Latin American countries.

For example, while many other countries liberalized their abortion laws and policies over the last two decades, Chile reversed course by crafting and enacting stricter “zero tolerance” laws. According to the Center for Reproductive Rights, Chile is one of only twenty-nine countries in the world that bans abortion without any explicit exceptions, even to save a woman’s life. Five of these countries are located in Central or South America. In 2013, such restrictive abortion prohibitions were brought into stark relief by human rights advocates worldwide when an eleven-year-old Chilean rape victim was denied an abortion.

In that case, the victim’s abuser, her mother’s boyfriend, sexually assaulted the young girl for two years, resulting in the much publicized pregnancy. Despite the abuser’s confession and subsequent national uproar, including women’s rights activists rallying and lobbying for legalized abortion, the girl could not access an abortion in Chile because the country bans the procedure. Former Chilean President, Sebastián Piñera, lauded the victim as brave and mature for keeping the baby and emphatically promised that every health resource in Chile would be at her disposal. However, even if every health resource were deployed to assist


31. Id. The four other Central and South American countries are the Dominican Republic, Honduras, Nicaragua, and El Salvador. Id.; see also Hellerstein, supra note 27.


33. Josephson, supra note 32.

34. See James Hider, Chilean Girl Is Refused Abortion After Stepfather Rape, TIMES (London) (July 13, 2013, 12:01 AM), http://www.thetimes.co.uk/tto/news/world/americas/article3815544.ece; Lopez, supra note 32.

35. See Lopez, supra note 32.
the victim’s pregnancy, the emotional, educational, and professional prospects for an adolescent mother remain grim.36

Interestingly, Chile’s anti-abortion legislative platform is fairly recent; the country has not always tolerated such severe restrictions on abortion procedures. A 1967 decree expressly permitted a therapeutic abortion to save a woman’s life, provided that the performing physician obtained the written consent of two other physicians.37 Furthermore, the concept of “therapeutic abortion” was expanded in 1971 to include abortions for pregnancies resulting from “contraceptive failures.”38 Commentators point to the Chilean government as ushering in harsh abortion bans in the 1970s to further consolidate the conservative political agenda.39

Yet, what accounts for Chile’s continued ban on abortion despite the toppling of General Augusto Pinochet’s regime and vocal outcry from women’s groups demanding legal change? Ironically, in 1989, at a time when other nations responded to women’s equality movements and actively liberalized reproductive rights,40 Chile amended its abortion law to take its current form, which essentially prohibits and criminalizes all abortions.41 Notably, even in fairly conservative nations, an exception is often carved out for cases involving rape, incest, or health risks to the mother.42

Chile’s current abortion law, however, prohibits all abortions, even to save a woman’s life or in the case of rape or incest.43 Moreover, Chile’s law authorizes punishment of health care practitioners (or other persons) who perform abortions44 as well as punishment of the women who “cause[] [their] abortion[s] or consent[] to another person causing it.”45 An unclear and vague interpretation of the law leaves room for women to make novel arguments of “necessity”46 and “honor” as defenses in criminal proceedings against them for obtaining abortions.47


39. Id. at 147.


41. UNITED NATIONS, supra note 37, at 93.

42. Rahman et al., supra note 40, at 57.


44. Id. art. 342.

45. Id. art. 344.

46. UNITED NATIONS, supra note 37, at 93.

47. CÓD PROC. PEN. art. 344.
According to Lidia Casas, a lawyer and professor at Chile’s Diego Portales University, between 250 and 300 cases arise each year attempting to prosecute women who obtain abortions.48 Many of these prosecutions are adjudicated in court. In 2001, approximately fifty women were convicted for violating the federal law that prohibits abortions.49 Most of those convicted for violating anti-abortion laws are “poor women who end up going to the hospitals for their complications of an illegal backstreet abortion and some of the doctors or the midwives working in the maternity wards” report the women to the police.50 The maximum penalty is five years in prison.51

Despite active prosecutions to enforce anti-abortion legislation, it should be noted that Chile’s attempts to prohibit and prevent abortions through the rule of law and threats of legal punishment are not necessarily successful. Instead, women frequently resort to clandestine, underground, and often unsafe abortions.52 An estimated 200,000 illegal abortions take place each year in Chile, with approximately one woman in twenty (200 per 1000) having an abortion each year as of 2004.53 In comparison, the 2011 U.S. abortion rate was 16.9 per 1000; at its peak, the U.S. abortion rate was 29.3 per 1000.54

In the period since 1990 and the country’s transition to democracy, more than twenty draft laws attempting to decriminalize therapeutic abortions failed in the legislature.55 One could argue that this failure does not result from a lack of societal will or opposition; instead, it largely results from a lack of political interest or commitment. In fact, the majority of Chileans express more progressive views than their political representatives on reproductive health matters. According to population-based surveys conducted among Chilean women from 2006 to 2011 and in 2013, over 70 percent of women supported abortion rights when the mother’s life is at risk, when there is a fetal malformation, or when the woman was raped.56 Another survey found that 25 percent of Chileans believe abortion should be legal under all circumstances.57 As a comparison, 28 percent of

---

49. Id.
50. Id.
51. Id.
53. Ross, supra note 48.
57. Jarroud, supra note 55.
Americans hold this same view. Despite a compelling public consensus for at least some access to abortion, Claudia Dides, spokeswoman for the country’s largest pro-choice group “Miles Chile” (Thousands Chile), states that “political leaders do not want to tackle the issue.”

When compared to other Latin or South American countries that share similar transitions from dictatorships to democracies (such as Argentina and Brazil), Chile’s democratic political institutions are “far more affected by an authoritarian legacy.” In other words, contemporary norms continue to be shaped by past practices. For example, democrats agreed to retain and respect the constitution created by military rulers in 1980. This constitution established the role of eight “institutional senators” appointed by Pinochet (“designados”), whose presence increased the power of a socially conservative voting bloc in the Senate. This conservative voting bloc frustrated and successfully stymied reforms “on even mildly controversial gender issues.” The laws developed in 1980 also created incentives for a de facto two-party system.

Arguably, Pinochet’s legacy significantly impedes the advancement of other gender and sex equality issues, and abortion in particular. Surprisingly, however, these regressive abortion laws have not translated into similarly restrictive contraception laws and policies. Indeed, we wish to point out that Chile has fairly progressive contraception laws in light of its “zero tolerance” abortion law.

Despite, or perhaps because of, Chile’s strict abortion laws and unwillingness to respond to public support for liberalizing abortion laws, Chilean women and reproductive rights advocates focus their efforts on other issues, such as general “reproductive health and family planning legislation,” in which they are likely to have greater success. The fight for access to contraception and information about family planning, however, has not been easy. According to the Women’s Global Network for Reproductive Rights:

From 2001–2009 Chilean conservative and religious opposition tried to ban most of the contraceptive methods used in the country, this measure

59. Jarroud, supra note 55.
60. HTUN, supra note 28, at 22.
61. Id.
62. Id.
63. According to Professor Mala Htun, “to maintain unity and their hold on power against opposition from the right-wing coalition, members of the governing coalition, comprised of Christian Democratic, Socialist, and Democratic (PPD) parties, have sought to avoid potentially divisive issues such as divorce and abortion.” Id. She notes that coalition politics in Chile have generally impeded policy changes favored by feminists. Id.
64. See Valerie Dekimpe, Gender Equality Poor in Chile Despite Improvement, SANTIAGO TIMES (Oct. 29, 2014), http://santiagotimes.cl/gender-equality-poor-in-chile-despite-improvement/ (quoting Dr. Bernadita Escobar from the Universidad Diego Portales, who stated that “[d]espite having a female President, the low level of women participating in the labor market in Chile, particularly in positions of power, is notorious”).
65. HTUN, supra note 28, at 9.
would have affected . . . 21% of the population who would then be unable to decide on their maternity (and paternity) and the spacing of their children. This measure would have skyrocket[ed] the numbers of unsafe abortion in this country and would have horrible consequences for maternal health in this country.66

In 2008, however, thirty-six deputies requested that Chile’s Constitutional Court declare the Supreme Decree unconstitutional, thereby preventing free distribution of emergency contraception (“the morning-after pill”).67 Despite President Bachelet’s urging that the Court reject the deputies’ requests, the Court held the portion of the Decree providing free emergency contraception unconstitutional.68 It did not, however, strike down the portion providing free access to other forms of birth control such as intrauterine devices (IUDs).69

In response to the Constitutional Court’s ruling, reproductive rights advocates and Chilean women mobilized and campaigned against the ruling. The Movement for the Defence of Contraception (the “Movement”) called for a national rally “to defend the right to decide about our sexuality.”70 At a national rally, the Movement’s leaders pronounced that it was calling to . . . everyone to mobilise . . . . We are calling all people to address this issue and to join in the actions of condemnation from the civil society. As citizens we cannot accept actions that violate our sexual and reproductive rights. We demand the state guarantee the means to enable us to have safe and pleasurable sex without running the risk of unwanted pregnancy. We must demand a democratic society that respects the right of people to decide about their sexuality. Let us demand safe sex without the risk of unwanted pregnancies, equity in access to contraception and a true democracy!71

More than 35,000 people protested the Court’s ruling nationwide. The Movement’s persistent advocacy and campaigning succeeded. In 2010, Law No. 20.418 was adopted, reinstating access to emergency contraception and “establishing norms on information, orientation, and the provision of methods to regulate fertility.”72 The law recognizes every

67. Id.; see also Marisol Peña Torres, Public Hearings in Proceedings Unconstitutionality of the Law: The Experience of the Court Chile’s Constitutional, 2ND CONG. WORLD CONF. ON CONST. JUSTICE 16–17, available at http://www.venice.coe.int/wccj/Rio/Papers/CHI_Penna%20Torres_autotrans_E.pdf (discussing the process leading up to the Constitutional Court’s conclusion that the free distribution of the morning-after pill was unconstitutional).
68. Sotomayor, supra note 26; Torres, supra note 67.
70. Id.
71. Id.
individual’s “right to decide freely and responsibly on the family planning method of their choice.” The legislation aims to (1) reduce teen pregnancy, (2) reduce sexually transmitted diseases, and (3) reduce sexual violence and its consequences. It codifies the right to receive comprehensive and unbiased information, education, and orientation on family planning methods and requires the state to “ensure access to all modern family planning methods, including hormonal and nonhormonal contraception and hormonal emergency contraceptive pills.” The definition of contraception specifically excludes any method with the “direct objective” to induce an abortion.

Access to emergency contraception, however, may be limited and further constrained by potential costs to a woman’s privacy. When a woman seeks emergency contraception, if the healthcare worker suspects the patient was sexually assaulted, the healthcare worker must inform the public prosecutor. Such mandatory reporting laws also require all accredited secondary schools to provide sexuality programs including information on approved and available family planning methods. Nevertheless, the law does not establish standards or perimeters for these programs and the curricula are developed “according to the convictions and beliefs of each educational institution.” On the one hand, that type of discretion and flexibility could be perceived as progressive and reflective of local democratic values. On the other hand, if the institution disapproves of premarital sex or other sexual or intimate activity, it appears this law permits the school to teach abstinence-only sexual education.

Despite the barriers to timely and adequate access to contraception, the movement to secure access and use of contraception evidences significant success when compared to Chile’s restrictive abortion laws. Nevertheless, Chile’s reproductive rights regime remains stratified and incoherent.

74. Id.
75. Id.
76. Id.
77. Id.
78. Id.
79. Id.
80. Id.
B. Women’s Political Representation and Participation in Chile

In considering the saliency of the rule of law, and particularly the advancement of women’s rights, important questions emerge, including the scale and scope of women’s access to political power; whether women in power make a difference to political outcomes important to women’s lives; and whether critical masses make a difference (i.e., without broad representation and power, do elected women lack political authority?).

Rosabeth Moss Kanter’s seminal research in the 1970s unpacked early, parallel questions as applied to the burgeoning growth of middle class women in the workplace. According to Kanter, prior to her research on proportional representation, “[m]ost analyses . . . locate[d] male-female interaction issues either in broad cultural traditions and the sexual division of labor in society or in the psychology of men and women . . . based on biology [and] socialization.” These approaches, while valuable to sociological discourse, failed to account for shifting, complex group interactions between men and women in the workplace, as well as dynamics between marginalized women that Kanter might describe as “solos.”

In the four decades since the publication of Kanter’s groundbreaking research, proportional representational theory continues to resonate, despite pushback. As she explained in 1977:

81. Rosabeth Moss Kanter, Men and Women of the Corporation 186 (1977) (“People who have authority without system power are powerless.”); Sara Childs & Mona Lena Krook, Critical Mass Theory and Women’s Political Representation, 56 Pol. Stud. 725, 725 (2008) (“A central concept in research on women’s political representation is the notion of ‘critical mass.’ It is frequently invoked to explain why women do not always appear to represent women once they are in political office. Gender and politics scholars and activists suggest that this pattern is due not to the inclinations of female office holders, but rather to the fact that there are fewer women than men in almost all elected assemblies.”); Rosabeth Moss Kanter, Some Effects of Proportions on Group Life: Skewed Sex Ratios and Responses to Token Women, 82 Am. J. Soc. 965, 965–66 (1977) (arguing that “groups with varying proportions of people of different social types differ qualitatively in dynamics and process. This difference . . . reflects the effects of contact across categories as a function of their proportional representation in the system”).

82. Kanter, supra note 81. In the period since Kanter’s iconic publications produced in the 1970s, scholars have drawn from her research, expounding upon her framework, critiquing some of its finer points and expanding upon others. See Pamela Braboy Jackson et al., Composition of the Workplace and Psychological Well-Being: The Effects of Tokenism on America’s Black Elite, 74 Soc. Forces 543, 553 (1995) (finding that racial tokenism results in increased symptoms of anxiety and depression). But see Michelle J. Budig, Male Advantage and the Gender Composition of Jobs: Who Rides the Glass Escalator, 49 Soc. Problems 258, 258 (2002) (testing “Kanter’s theory of tokenism” and arguing “contrary to predictions generated from Kanter’s [research], men do not suffer when they are tokens, in terms of ‘pay’”); Paul M. Collins Jr. et al., Gender, Critical Mass, and Judicial Decision Making, 32 L. & Pol’y 260, 260 (2010) (writing that research on gender in legal decision making suggests that critical mass by sex—i.e., women on the federal bench—might correlate to female judges’ decision making in criminal cases, which “could have substantial policy ramifications in the American polity”).

83. Kanter, supra note 81, at 967.

84. Id. at 966.

85. For example, recent trends in critical mass theory take up whether corporate boards experience greater “success” by the representation of women. Empirical data from Fortune 500 companies suggests the accuracy of this intuition, although correlation does not prove
In both macroscopic and microscopic analysis, sex and gender components are sometimes confounded by situational and structural effects. For example, successful women executives are almost always numerically rare in their organizations, whereas working women are disproportionately concentrated in low-opportunity occupations. Conclusions about “women’s behavior” or “male attitudes” drawn from such situations may sometimes confuse the effect of situation with the effect of sex roles; indeed such variables as position in opportunity and power structures account for a large number of phenomena related to work behavior that have been labeled “sex differences.”

This Article pursues a different, although not unrelated track: How effective are women presidents in advocating for women’s rights? Does the presence of women in the most senior governmental positions effect changes such that the rule of law advances women’s rights? Does female representation in legislative branches of government advance women’s rights? Again, Chile offers an interesting illustration in Latin America.

Women comprise 52.4 percent of Chile’s electorate. Women’s political representation, however, dramatically pales in comparison. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that Chile twice elected a woman as president in the last ten years. Michelle Bachelet served as Chile’s first female President, from 2006 to 2010. Bachelet’s successor was not another woman, although Evelyn Matthei ran a strong presidential campaign despite Sebastian
causation. According to a 2007 study, “companies with more [women on the board of directors] outperform those with the least by 53%.” Lois Joy et al., The Bottom Line, Corporate Performance and Women’s Representation on Boards, CATALYST (2007), available at http://catalyst.org/system/files/The_Bottom_Line_Corporate_Performance_and_Womens_Representation_on_Boards.pdf. Nevertheless, recent scholarship on critical mass represents a shift from the direct questions pursued by Kanter, which observed the subjective concerns of minorities or “tokens” and their ascendency to leadership and equitable pay. Much of the contemporary scholarship subtly, if not explicitly, directs its focus to whether firms benefit economically from women’s representation. See David A. Carter et al., Corporate Governance, Board Diversity, and Firm Value, the Financial Review, 38 FIN. REV. 33, 51 (2003) (finding a significant positive relationship between the fraction of women or minorities on a firm’s board and firm value); Jyoti D. Mahadeo et al., Board Composition and Financial Performance: Uncovering the Effects of Diversity in an Emerging Economy, 105 J. BUS. ETHICS 375, 384–85 (2012) (finding a positive correlation between women’s board service and firm performance). But see Øyvind Bøhren & R. Øystein Strøm, Governance and Politics: Regulating Independence and Diversity in the Board Room, 37 J. BUS. FIN. & ACCT. 1281, 1281 (2010) (finding that a firm creates more value for its owners when gender diversity is low); Lissa Lamkin Broome et al., Does Critical Mass Matter? Views from the Boardroom, 34 SEATTLE U. L. REV. 1049, 1050 (2011) (noting that critical mass “is hot,” but questioning “is it real?”).

86. Kanter, supra note 81, at 967.
87. In this project, we think beyond isolated, high-office representation or what decades of scholarship frame as “tokenism” to explore the complexities of sex-based representation. Id. at 966 (defining “tokens” as those who “are often treated as representatives of their category, as symbols rather than individuals . . . tokens can be solitary individuals or ‘solos,’ the only one of their kind present”); see also Janice D. Yoder, Rethinking Tokenism: Looking Beyond Numbers, 5 GENDER & SOC’Y 178 (1991) (arguing that Kanter’s theory of tokenism “did not reflect the complexities of gender discrimination in the workplace”).
88. LLANOS & SAMPLE, supra note 19, at 15 tbl.2.
Piñera’s eventual victory and election to the presidency. In 2013, Bachelet returned to the presidency and regained leadership of the nation. Bachelet promises—and reproductive rights advocates hope—that she will push forward urgently desired reforms, including legislation to advance gender equality and reproductive rights. A cautionary tale emerges just on this point. Despite Bachelet’s political success at the highest level of political office, real gender gaps in political representation remain, particularly with women’s representation in the country’s national legislature below the Latin American region’s average.

According to a study conducted by the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), Chile ranks 95 out of 190 countries in terms of women’s representation in parliament (or other equivalent governmental body). In 2014, women held 16 percent of seats in Chile’s national parliament. An examination of data from 2008 reveals that women hold an average 18.5 percent of seats in lower houses of congress (analogous to Chile’s National Congress) in Latin American countries. These statistics suggest that compared to its regional counterparts, Chile elects and appoints fewer women to political office. The following chart, which we created applying data from the World Bank, lists the proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments in South American countries in 2014.
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Notice that Chile does not rank as the nation with the lowest rate of female representation in South America. Brazil, for example, reports that women comprise only 9 percent of its legislative bodies. Thus, while Chile lags behind other nations on women in political representation, it does not rank at the absolute bottom of all Latin American nations. Nevertheless, Chile’s current status is quite far from achieving “critical mass,” a term used to indicate the level or percentage of representation a minority group must reach to “bring about certain changes in culture and in institutional norms in their legislatures.”98 In the context of female political representation, scholars predict the percentage to be 30 percent.99 In other words, even a nation that elects women presidents (in Chile’s case, twice in ten years) may not successfully advance the rule of law without broader women’s representation in legislative bodies and the political will and support of male colleagues. Furthermore, not all elected female representatives will prioritize or be invested in sex equality.100 Nor will all female politicians understand women’s equality along the same spectrum; race, class, religion, and other social statuses further shape women’s political views.101 In other words, it is important to account for the differences among women in their views on equality and subordination.
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However, given that Chile twice elected a woman president, what accounts for Chile’s below average representation of women in government as a whole? Insights may be found by examining executive bodies of the country’s political parties, particularly because these bodies are responsible for, define, and propagate political candidate lists. Women’s influence at this level, therefore, is vital if women are to run for—and ultimately be elected to—political office. The lack of women in leadership roles and on party leadership committees is problematic because it limits their influence in “decision-making spheres” and their ability to change party organization. Nevertheless, in other political positions, Chilean women fare better. Chile distinguishes itself as a nation with one of the highest rates of women mayors and ministers among Latin American countries (although not on par with male representation).

Chilean women’s mixed success in political representation suggests that the mere presence (or absence) of women in executive or legislative branch positions does not necessarily correlate with laws and policies that promote women’s rights, particularly those related to liberalized reproductive legislation. Thus, the question arises whether a “critical mass” of women in political office is necessary and/or sufficient to bring about changes in reproductive health laws and policies, particularly abortion. In many cases, “the presence of female candidates does not guarantee an embrace of feminist issues,” even if the candidate herself personally supports the issues. Consider presidential candidate Ms. Matthei who, despite supporting exceptions to Chile’s total ban on abortion to save a woman’s life, stated she would not push for changes in abortion law if elected after facing resistance from other members within her party.

II. URUGUAY

In Part II, we expand our examination of the rule of law to include Uruguay. Similar to Chile, it ranks low among Latin American nations for female representation in elected political office. According to data from the
World Bank, which analyzes data from national parliaments, women hold only 13 percent of Uruguay’s elected offices.107 Does women’s lack of representation in Uruguay’s legislature have negative consequences for women’s equality?

Part II.A and II.B explore whether equality norms, produced through the rule of law, result from female representation in political office. Surprisingly, achieving reproductive rights may not depend upon women’s representation in political office. We wish to be careful with the conclusions that might be drawn from our analysis and explain more in the second half of this Article.

A. Reproductive Health Laws

In contrast to Chile, Uruguay’s abortion laws fall on the other end of the spectrum—the country is described as having established “the most liberal abortion law” in South America.108 This “liberal” law was enacted in October 2012 with the support of President José Mujica and successfully avoided a referendum aimed at its repeal in mid-2013.109 Under Law No. 18.987110:

- Abortions are generally allowed for any reason in the first twelve weeks of pregnancy, provided that certain procedural requirements are followed;
- Abortions are allowed in the first fourteen weeks of pregnancy if the pregnancy is the result of rape;
- Abortion is allowed at any time if continuing the pregnancy poses a grave risk to the woman’s health or if the fetus suffers from a condition that is “incompatible with life;”
- Parental consent is required when an abortion is sought by a woman under eighteen, but provides a procedure if a parent refuses or otherwise cannot give consent whereby the adolescent can go before a judge who may authorize the abortion if s/he finds that the adolescent’s consent is “spontaneous, voluntary, and conscious;”
- Allows healthcare providers with conscientious objections to abortions to refuse to participate in the procedures if they notify their institutions. Objections are considered revoked if they participate in a procedure unless it is necessary to prevent grave risk to a woman’s health. Professionals who do not express a conscientious objection may not refuse to provide abortions.
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Uruguay’s abortion laws provide insight into rule of law norms in that nation and serve as a platform for problematizing how scholars understand and think about tipping points in achieving women’s equality. How does a nation with such limited representation of women in its legislature promulgate and implement rules that liberalize reproductive laws?

First, it must be understood that Uruguay’s abortion laws were not always “liberal.” Uruguay’s Criminal Code of 1933 prohibited abortion and criminalized a woman causing or consenting to an abortion. In such cases, a woman faced up to nine months imprisonment, and any person participating in an abortion with the woman’s consent faced up to eight years imprisonment. The law carved out exceptions: it exempted physicians from punishment if the abortion was necessary to save the patient’s life or protect her health, if the pregnancy was the result of rape, or if performed within the first three months of the pregnancy “to save the honour of the woman or in cases of economic hardship.”

These restrictive abortion policies, however, did not eradicate the practice. Similar to the United States in the period prior to the U.S. Supreme Court’s landmark ruling in Roe v. Wade, women obtained illegal, underground abortions that frequently risked their health and well-being. The World Health Organization’s (WHO) 2000 study on abortion reports that 19 million women obtain illegal abortions each year, “performed by unskilled providers or under unhygienic conditions or both.” The WHO further states that “unsafe abortion is one of the neglected problems of health care in developing countries. It is characterized by inadequacy of skills on the part of the provider and use of hazardous techniques and unsanitary facilities.”

One woman’s chilling account portrays the gruesome reality of “back alley” abortions, and although the procedure was performed in the United States, the conditions are no better in Uruguay:

Someone gave me the phone number of a person who did abortions and I made the arrangements. I borrowed about $300 from my roommate and went alone to a dirty, run-down bungalow in a dangerous neighborhood in
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east Los Angeles. A greasy looking man came to the door and asked for the money as soon as I walked in. He told me to take off all my clothes except my blouse; there was a towel to wrap around myself. I got up on a cold metal kitchen table. He performed a procedure, using something sharp. He didn’t give me anything for the pain—he just did it. He said that he had packed me with some gauze, that I should expect some cramping, and that I would be fine. I left.119

A 2002 United Nations study found that Uruguay experienced a relatively high abortion rate, most of which were illegally performed.120 In the wake of constraints on access to legal contraceptives and poor reproductive health education, abortions served as a primary method of birth control and a major cause of maternal mortality.121 Precise data is unavailable, but the United Nations reports that the most conservative estimates suggest there were “at least as many abortions as live births” in Uruguay.122 High abortion rates were likely caused, in part, by unmet needs for family planning and sex education, which contributed to significantly “high rates of unwanted pregnancies, adolescent pregnancies, induced [and often illegal] abortions, and infertility due to sexually transmitted diseases.”123

In response to the soaring numbers of unintended pregnancies and abortions, the Uruguayan government established a National Programme on Reproductive Health, which provides family planning information and access to contraception.124 In December 2008, then-president Tabare Vazquez signed Law No. 18.426, requiring the state to “guarantee conditions for the full exercise of the sexual and reproductive rights of the entire population[,] . . . promote national policies of sexual and reproductive health, develop new programs, and organize services to implement them . . . .”125 Specific objectives include126:

- Publicizing and protecting rights of children, adolescents, and adults related to reproductive health information and services;
- Promoting responsible paternity and maternity;
- Promoting and improving access to family planning services;
- Preventing the spread of sexually transmitted infections;
- Reducing harms caused by sexually transmitted infections; and
- Preventing and reducing damage from substance abuse.
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Despite Uruguay’s progress and liberalization of reproductive health laws, the success of any law requires more than its technical establishment or “law on the books.”\textsuperscript{127} Laws must be adhered to on the ground and noncompliance must be addressed. Sally Engle Merry, in her pivotal 2006 work \textit{Human Rights & Gender Violence: Translating International Law into Local Justice}, reminds us that because much of the violence and repression that occurs against women happens in the inner sanctum of the home, the rule of law has been slow to have an impact.\textsuperscript{128} In other words, law on the books does not necessarily translate into a law in action or impact the laws at home.\textsuperscript{129} Importantly, the recently enacted Uruguayan abortion law is not without its constraints to women’s reproductive liberty.\textsuperscript{130}

As we analyzed the law in comparison to other abortion laws in Latin America, important distinctions emerge that must be flagged. First, the law does not necessarily destigmatize abortion—the medical procedure is still technically a crime—the law simply broadens exceptions to the general prohibition.\textsuperscript{131} Second, the law imposes various conditions and requirements prior to an elective abortion procedure, such as consultation with a three-person panel comprised of a gynecologist, psychologist, and social worker.\textsuperscript{132} This panel may refuse to provide abortion services.\textsuperscript{133} Third, access to abortions is not guaranteed in Uruguay because many clinics cannot comply with formal, structural restrictions, such as “panel” requirements.\textsuperscript{134} Furthermore, at least thirty percent of gynecologists in the country have exercised a “conscientious objection” to the law; in the city of Salto, for example, every gynecologist has objected to the law.\textsuperscript{135} Finally, many women are simply unaware of their rights under the new law and many continue to resort to clandestine, unsafe abortions.\textsuperscript{136}
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Because Uruguay enacted its abortion law relatively recently, it remains unclear whether and how the challenges outlined in this Article, as well as those concerns articulated by activists, will be addressed by its national legislature or president. As one commentator observes, “the struggle to ensure access to safe, legal abortion does not end with legislation.” The International Women’s Health Coalition explains that “this struggle includes defending the law from reactionary, anti-choice movements, as well as ensuring that geography and lack of information do not determine the services women receive.” Moreover, the practical implementation of Uruguay’s abortion law, particularly the three-professional panel requirement, raises doubts about the law’s impact on access to abortion, because the intrusive procedural requirements may actually hinder rather than promote women’s rights.

B. Women’s Political Representation and Participation in Uruguay

Similar to Chile, women make up 52.4 percent of Uruguay’s electorate. Yet, women hold only 13 percent of national parliament seats and Uruguay places 104 out of 190 countries in terms of women’s representation in the General Assembly. Where comparable data is available, in many areas of political representation Uruguay does not surpass—and often fares worse—than Chile.

For example, women make up 20.1 percent of executive bodies in Chile’s political parties whereas they only make up 12.5 percent in Uruguay. The World Bank data also indicates that Uruguayan women hold a slightly lower percentage of seats in the country’s national government compared to Chile (13 percent versus 16 percent in 2014). In 2007, women accounted for 15 percent of seats in unicameral or lower houses of Congress; in Uruguay women made up 11.1 percent. Indeed, 36.4 percent of ministers in Chile were women compared to 30.8 percent in Uruguay. Additionally, Uruguay ranked lower (104) than Chile (95) on the IPU study on female representation in parliament. One area where Uruguay fared slightly better was the number of women in upper houses of congress. In 2007, women held 9.7 percent of seats in Uruguay’s upper houses compared to 5.3 percent in Chile. As of October 2012, however, this
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situation had reversed, with women holding 12.9 percent of seats in Uruguay’s upper houses compared to 13.2 percent in Chile.150 Notwithstanding these differences, both countries’ female political representation lags behind that of other Latin American countries.151

In the years since the data above were collected, however, Uruguay, unlike Chile, has adopted gender quotas.152 On April 3, 2009, Uruguay passed Law No. 18.476, which requires political parties to include women on ballots for primary candidates, primary candidate substitutes, members of both chambers of the national legislature, departmental assemblies, local assemblies, mayoral posts, election boards, and within political party leadership.153 The law mandates that “people of both sexes” must be included in “every three positions” on a party ballot and if a ballot has only two candidates, there must be one male and one female.154 Ballots that do not comply with the requirements are rejected by departmental election boards.155 The quotas were largely supported by the public and responded to women’s historically low levels of political representation in the country.156

Empirical studies demonstrate that gender quotas can, if effectively designed and implemented, increase women’s political representation.157 In a recent study surveying data from twenty-four countries using the Americas Barometer 2010, Professor Leslie A. Schwindt-Bayer explains that gender quotas “may offer a partial solution to women’s marginalization in mass political participation” and symbolize more inclusive political systems.158 Whether such representation necessarily and/or sufficiently improves or enhances women’s rights and access to reproductive healthcare is less clear. According to Professor Schwindt-Bayer, Uruguay’s gender quotas have yet to mitigate gender gaps in men’s and women’s political participation (such as voting, working on campaigns, and attending local government meetings).159 Schwindt-Bayer’s research emphasizes that quota systems in Latin American countries have yet to meaningfully decrease gender gaps.160
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So, do gender or sex quotas positively correlate to women’s reproductive health rights? Does the political representation of women correlate to progressive reproductive health policies? In Latin America, Chile’s reproductive health law policies related to abortion are considered draconian and out of step with its populace. Yet Chile has elected more women to legislative positions than Uruguay and some other Latin American nations.

Thus, is political participation rather than representation more important to establishing gender equality and shifting rule of law norms? As evidenced by the impact of national rallies in Chile to regain access to emergency contraception, participation matters. Equally, the relatively high rates of women’s political participation in Uruguay, which likely plays a role in the election of officials such as President Jose Mujica, who supported and approved Uruguay’s liberalized abortion law, demonstrates the importance of the democratic process to achieving the rule of law.

III. RECONCILING REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS AND THE RULE OF LAW

As this Article demonstrates, understanding the rule of law and gender equality requires engaging in nuanced analysis; while scholars and commentators might presume that female representation alone achieves gender equality or liberalizes women’s rights, such conclusions would be misleading and inaccurate or at best incomplete. Women’s political representation without a critical mass does offer scant access to power, but may not effectively achieve the types of norm-shifting regulations anticipated by the public. On the other hand, perceiving women’s equality as achievable only through female representation in politics overlooks the responsibility of male legislators to their female (and male) constituents who advocate for sex equality and greater liberalization of reproductive laws. In other words, the domain of equality is neither gender- nor sex-specific.

We make the case that women can and should play a vital role in their representation and the fact that they are underrepresented in political office in Latin America (as well as the United States) ought to cause concern. We continue to be interested in what accounts for fractional representation of women and the implications for reproductive health. For this task we turned to Latin America—though these issues deserve greater consideration globally.

In Latin America we find interesting insights regarding reproductive health laws and the rule of law.161 For example, oral contraceptives (the

pill) are legal in all Latin American countries, IUDs are legal in all countries except Guyana, and in many countries, including Uruguay, condoms are free. In both Uruguay and Chile, a prescription is not required for oral contraceptives and in Uruguay a partial subsidy is available to help women pay for the pill.

Further, contraceptive devices such as IUDs can be implanted by someone other than a physician in both countries. In many regions, contraception laws and policies are less strict in Uruguay and Chile than they are in the United States where, for example, a prescription is required for oral contraception and IUDs must be implanted by a physician. Effective contraceptive use is critical to reducing dangerous, clandestine abortions.

That said, simply enacting laws and adopting policies that allow and appear to promote contraceptive use may not translate into access, affordability, and use. And although unmet needs for modern contraceptives drastically decreased throughout Latin America in recent years, access and use continue to be low among vulnerable groups: rural populations, adolescents, the low income, the less educated, and certain ethnic groups.

Indeed, when contraceptive needs are not met, unintended and unwanted pregnancies increase, thus increasing the rate of abortions. These abortions are sometimes legal (i.e., in limited circumstances in Uruguay), but are more often illegal, “back alley” abortions which put women at great risk: these “back alley” abortions account for approximately twelve percent of all maternal deaths in the Latin American region.
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Scholars argue and data suggests that women’s increasing political representation and participation in Latin America results in the adoption of important laws and policies to protect and empower women.169 The fact that policy change remains “elusive” on abortion indicates a level of entrenchment on this issue that is inconsistent with the public’s view according to national surveys. This has resulted in scholars claiming that maintaining restrictive abortion laws is “out of sync with social practices and global trends.”170 In fact, in the entire region, abortion laws have changed the least among policies related to women’s issues, such as violence against women and gender quotas.

At the core of this Article is an important question regarding the conditions that are necessary to advance women’s reproductive rights. At first glance, the rule of law would seem to answer the question, but it does not. The political experiences and social movements galvanized around women’s rights in Chile, Uruguay, and other Latin American countries suggest that women’s rights reforms do not occur in isolation or merely by virtue of women elected to a nation’s presidency. Women’s rights advocates warn that those interested in advancing women’s equality and liberalizing reproductive rights would be ill advised to wait for legislatures to initiate legal change, which then paves the way for social, on-the-ground change. In Uruguay, for example, a referendum was avoided on the liberalized abortion law by successful mobilization and campaigning by reproductive rights advocates.171

Thus, despite some continual political and religious opposition, the fact that the referendum was defeated “shows that the Uruguayan society is willing to continue moving forward.”172 Effective campaigning proved similarly successful for Chilean women when the Constitutional Court reinstated access to emergency contraception after the Movement for the Defence of Contraception mobilized thousands of women and advocates to protest the Court’s previous ruling, which held the law providing access to emergency contraception unconstitutional.173

We conclude that women’s equality in society is not achieved exclusively by the rule of law. The rule of law provides a technical basis to challenge discrimination and it potentially frames women as equal citizens; but without enforcement, representation, and participation in the political process, advancements in women’s equality may be marginal at best even in nation-states that claim and do promulgate laws that appear to advance women’s rights. Reproductive equality provides an important lens through
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which to study these issues; even in nations where contraceptive use is broadly liberalized, if the poor lack information and access, the right to use contraception is illusory at best. Our research demonstrates that women (and men who support women’s rights and equality) must be active participants, both as political representatives and members of society, because law alone is not enough.

CONCLUSION

This Article analyzes women’s health, specifically reproductive rights as a lens to assess equity, inclusion, and the saliency of the rule of law. We evaluate the history of Uruguay’s and Chile’s reproductive health laws, women’s political representation and participation, and whether greater numbers of women in high-level government positions necessarily and/or sufficiently advance or improve women’s conditions. Specifically, we target this inquiry at access to reproductive health services because contraceptive usage and abortion remain deeply contested in some parts of the world even when the life of the mother is at stake. According to one commentator, “an estimated 47,000 women die each year because they lack access to safe, legal abortion care.”

Whether the rule of law functions effectively as a tool for achieving equality and responding to deeply entrenched oppression, violence against women, and reproductive justice remains an important point of inquiry and we urge continued study in this domain. Without attention to social contexts and enforcement, what can the rule of law achieve, particularly with regard to sex equality?

The rule of law can mask inequality or even undermine equality for women by presenting formal rules as gender neutral when the opposite may be true. In her renowned work, Professor Sally Engle Merry urges a more probing examination of the rule of law as a tool for normalizing equality. For example, hard-fought transformations in marriage have elevated the rights of women and girls in the context of marriage. Nevertheless, husbands continue to rule the inner sanctum of the home in many nations.

And as Goodwin’s prior work explains, the rule of law may serve as political and diplomatic cover for local adherence to traditional law or customs, which continue to be practiced in the shadows of federal legislation. India’s child marriage laws serve as a compelling example.
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Despite laws prohibiting underage marriage, which legislators in Delhi eagerly point out, child-bride trafficking and underage marriage frequently occur throughout India.\textsuperscript{180} In this latter context, how can the rule of law pierce the wall of privacy to expand protections to the most vulnerable women and girls?\textsuperscript{180}

In other contexts too, critics suggest that “Western policymakers and commentators have seized on the rule of law as an elixir for countries in transition.”\textsuperscript{181} As we note from the outset, the goals of the rule of law are universally lauded, but on inspection may be differently defined and unclear, perhaps even more so with relation to women’s rights and reproductive healthcare. Thus, is the rule of law a prerequisite to a successful political transition or the consequence of it?

In this Article, we do not deny that women can be agents of change. In fact, women may at times be the best advocates for women’s reproductive health concerns and beyond. However, we cast doubt on how those agendas are made real. According to a recent United Nations report, “Despite being a cornerstone of democratic governance, the rule of law still ‘rules women out’ in too many countries around the world.”\textsuperscript{182} In the context of reproductive health, participation in the electoral process and rulemaking matter as much, if not more, than mere political representation.
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