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Abstract

This Article serves as the first law review essay to engage the feminist debates regarding sex work and human rights in the African context. This Article surveys “antiprostition” and “pro-sex-worker” feminist arguments and activities in the sub-Saharan Africa; explores the debate surrounding the legal frameworks of legalization, decriminalization, prohibition, and abolition of prostitution in a number of African countries including Senegal, where prostitution is legal and regulated, and South Africa where prostitution remains illegal despite civil society advocacy for decriminalization; and calls for the empowerment of African sex workers by arguing for a human rights-based transformation in African governments’ legal and policy posture towards sex work. Part I of this Article explores both the feminist arguments against prostitution and in favor of sex workers’ rights. Part II traces the development of the distinction between forced and unforced prostitution in international law and argues that the international human rights system creates a foundation for the realization of sex workers’ rights in Africa. Part III explores the debates regarding the criminalization of prostitution in a number of African countries and includes case studies from Senegal and South Africa.
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INTRODUCTION

This Article serves as the first law review essay to engage the feminist debates regarding sex work and human rights in the African context. The Article surveys “antiprostitution” and “pro-sex-worker” feminist arguments and activities in sub-Saharan Africa; explores the debate surrounding the legal frameworks of legalization, decriminalization, prohibition, and abolition of prostitution in a number of African countries including Senegal, where prostitution is legal and regulated, and South Africa where prostitution remains illegal despite civil society advocacy for decriminalization; and calls for the empowerment of African sex workers by arguing for a human rights-based transformation in African governments’ legal and policy posture towards sex work.

The antiprostitution feminist camp characterizes prostitution as an exploitative institution of patriarchy, a form of sexual slavery and violence against women, and therefore a violation of women’s rights.¹ They have used the human rights paradigm as a clarion call to “save” women from prostitution.² The pro-sex-worker feminist camp, on the other hand, uses the language of human rights to advocate for sex workers’ protection by characterizing sex work as a legitimate profession.³
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This Article’s arguments are rooted in the pro-sex-worker feminist tradition but attempts to move beyond the polarized debate in which both sides too often present victimhood and agency in prostitution as mutually exclusive realities. There is growing recognition of the need to transcend the victim/agent dichotomy in antiprostitution and pro-sex-worker feminist theorizing. Sex workers do not simply exist as victims or agents, criminals or laborers, products of exploitation or products of liberation. The use of the sex worker solely as a symbol clouds the complexity of her life.

Although the international sex workers’ rights movement is a relatively recent phenomenon—burgeoning in the past four decades—African sex workers have been largely absent in the global conversation on sex workers’ rights. This Article will argue that an African sex workers’ rights movement that includes a radical shift in governmental legal and policy positions on prostitution is a necessary prerequisite to fighting the stigma and abuse that African sex workers experience. A critical reflection on the continent’s need for collective action around sex work is timely. In recent years, there have been nascent regional attempts to engage the issue of sex workers’ rights.

Each sex worker has her own individual story, but many African sex workers enter the trade due to economic stressors. The lack of financial support from male partners or extended family members, economic pressures of single motherhood, and relative instability of informal sectors, such as subsistence farming and petty trading, can lead African women to view sex work as an economically viable option for themselves and their families. Women engaged in sex work part-time often do so in order to supplement meager earnings in low-paying trades or to provide their families with economic buffers during lean harvests or times of drought. Economic upheaval in certain popular sectors, such
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5. See O’Neill, supra note 1, at 24.
as the difficulties resulting from the closure of mines,\(^{10}\) as well as rising tuition fees out of the economic reach of many families, can also lead African women into sex work.\(^{11}\)

Whatever precipitates their entry into sex work, African women engaged in prostitution experience deep societal stigma and discrimination that affect their ability to advocate for their own human rights.\(^{12}\) African societies promote the stigmatization of sex workers by often casting them as vectors of disease at odds with the sexual mores of conservative societies.\(^{13}\) Stigma against sex workers also exists in African hospitals and health centers and discourages sex workers from seeking necessary health services.\(^{14}\) Sex workers themselves often internalize this deep societal stigma, which can lead to a grinding sense of hopelessness.\(^{15}\)

African sex workers are the victims of physical and sexual abuse and rarely receive protection from the state as victims of gender-based violence.\(^{16}\) There is a general perception that gender-based violence is part and parcel of sex work and not a crime from which they should be protected.\(^{17}\) Statistics involving gender-based violence very rarely include instances in which the woman at issue is a sex worker.\(^{18}\) Far from protecting sex workers from abuse, African states are often complicit in the abuse of sex workers through tolerance of routine police abuse and


\(^{15}\) See Fick, supra note 13.
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harassment of sex workers. Police often demand exorbitant bribes from sex workers, and detain sex workers through arbitrary arrests, and subject sex workers to sexual abuse. African women engaged in sex work also experience sexual and physical abuse from clients. They risk contracting HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections (“STIs”) from clients who refuse to wear condoms or vicious beatings and sexual assaults from clients that object to condom use.

Sex worker collectives remain a rarity in Africa, and African women’s rights organizations have been slow to embrace women’s rights as sex workers’ rights and fight against gender-based violence aimed at sex workers. The failure of states to recognize sex worker abuse, and a general absence of strong civil society voices arguing that violence against women also includes violence against sex workers, creates an atmosphere in which sex workers are acutely susceptible to abuse.

The criminalization of prostitution throughout Africa heightens African sex workers’ vulnerability. The vast majority of African countries maintain one of two distinct legal frameworks regarding sex work. In the first legal regime, prostitution itself—the exchange of sexual services for monetary payment—is illegal. Prostitution-related activities, including procurement of prostitution (i.e., soliciting, facilitating, or living

21. See Ida Bergstrom, Commercial Sex Workers Bare Their Souls, MONITOR (Kampala), June 24, 2005, available at 2005 WLNR 10041344; Virahsawmy, supra note 16.
23. See Mgbako et al., supra note 22, at 550.
off the earnings of prostitution, which includes brothel ownership and pimping), are also illegal. A sampling of African countries in which both prostitution itself and procurement are illegal include Angola, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Gabon, Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, Liberia, Mozambique, Namibia,


28. See Transitional Penal Code art. 604, as amended by Proclamation No. 4/1991 (proscribing prostitution or living on prostitution of others as the criminal offense of “habitual exploitation for pecuniary gain.”).


34. See Código Penal arts. 71, 405 to 405-A (penalizing prostitution, procurement, maintaining a brothel, and facilitating the practice of prostitution), available at
Rwanda, Somalia, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia. In the second legal regime, prostitution itself is not explicitly criminalized, however, procurement and solicitation in public places is often times illegal, rendering it nearly impossible for sex workers to legally engage in prostitution, despite the fact that the exchange of money for sex is otherwise legal. African


36. See Code Penal arts. 363–75 (prohibiting prostitution, pimping, solicitation, enticing another into prostitution, and a host of other activities that facilitate prostitution such as assisting or protecting prostitutes and advertising or services). For a copy of the code, as it was originally enacted, see Décret loi 21 of 1977, Journal Officiel de la République Rwandaise [Official Gazette of Rwanda], July 1, 1978. A consolidated copy of the code is available electronically at http://www.amategeko.net/ and published in 1 CODES ET LOIS DU RWANDA 383 (Philip Reyntjens & Jan F. S. Gorus eds., 2d ed. 1995).


countries that embrace this legal posture include Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, the Central African Republic, Côte d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Sierra Leone.

42. See C. PÉN. arts. 423–27, Loi 43/96/ADP, Journal Officiel du Burkina Faso [Official Gazette of Burkina Faso], Jan. 16, 1997, available at http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/country,legal,legislation,bfa,456d621e23ae6b5cc0,0.html (permitting prostitution, but banning the corresponding acts of procuring persons for prostitution, assisting the prostitution of others, soliciting for the purpose of prostitution, sharing in profits from the prostitution of others, living with a person regularly employed in prostitution, enticing or supporting a person for the purpose of prostitution, maintaining a house of prostitution, or acting as an intermediary between persons engaged in prostitution and individuals exploiting or paying for prostitution).


48. See Code Pénal arts. 334–35 (criminalizing pimping, which is defined to include knowingly protecting prostitutes, living on the proceeds of prostitution, living with a person who habitually engages in prostitution, hires someone for the purpose of prostitution, or maintains a house of prostitution), available at http://www.icrc.org/
Swaziland, and Zimbabwe. Senegal is the only African country in which prostitution is both legal and regulated. There is no African country in which prostitution is entirely decriminalized.

Part I of this Article explores both the feminist arguments against prostitution and in favor of sex workers’ rights. It presents ways in which antiprostitution and pro-sex-worker arguments have developed into realities in Africa through government crackdowns on prostitution and budding civil society attempts to embrace sex workers’ rights. It argues that the establishment of
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53. Prostitution has been legal since 1969. See Law No. 66-20 of Feb. 1, 1966, Journal Officiel de la République du Sénégal [Official Gazette of Senegal], Feb. 5, 1966, p. 152. However, the current criminal code prohibits a number of prostitution-related activities, such as soliciting, aiding and abetting the practice of prostitution, living off the profits of prostitution, acting as an intermediary in the business of prostitution, and impeding efforts to control, assist, and reeducate persons vulnerable to prostitution. See Code Pen°al arts. 323, available at http://www.justice.gouv.sn/droit/p/code%20penal.pdf.

African sex workers collectives and women’s rights organizations—working in partnership with sex workers—would create a fertile environment for the birth of a sex workers’ rights movement on the continent.

Part II traces the development of the distinction between forced and unforced prostitution in international law and argues that the international human rights system creates a foundation for the realization of sex workers’ rights in Africa. Many African nations have ratified treaties in the international human rights corpus that set forth rights that relate to sex work including the right to the free choice of work; freedom from discrimination, violence, and arbitrary arrest; and freedom of association.

Part III explores the debates regarding the criminalization of prostitution in a number of African countries and includes case studies from Senegal and South Africa. As early as 1969, the government of Senegal launched a program to prevent the spread of STIs through the legalization and regulation of sex work. In 2002, the South African Constitutional Court rendered a judgment that upheld the country’s Sexual Offences Act, which criminalizes prostitution. Based on an assessment of these two cases this part calls for the decriminalization of prostitution throughout Africa.

I. FEMINISM AND SEX WORK

The prostitution debate has long divided the feminist world. Feminists have struggled with the following questions: Why does prostitution exist? What role should sex work play in society? Can a woman consent to prostitution? How can societies protect women in the sex trade? What are the best legal and policy approaches to prostitution? While such questions have evoked a variety of responses, two main camps have established the foundational parameters of the prostitution debate. The “antiprostitution” camp characterizes prostitution as violence against women and embraces an abolitionist stance. The “pro-sex-worker” camp, on the other hand, recognizes prostitution as a viable economic channel and seeks to empower sex workers to take matters of health and human rights into their own hands. The polarized positions of these two groups have driven a wedge between feminists who are often forced to choose between
supporting sex workers and condemning prostitution. It reflects the ongoing schism in the feminist movement over the contours of sexual exploitation. It also reflects the need for the creation of broad coalitions to wed the two groups so that no woman or girl is forced into prostitution; violence against sex workers is re-imagined and embraced as an issue of violence against women; and women who remain in the trade can realize and demand their rights.

A. Antiprostitution Arguments

Antiprostitution feminists, widely known as abolitionists, have dominated prostitution discourse since the turn of the twentieth century. The roots of the abolitionist movement can be traced to Western Europe and the United States, where antiprostitution principles continue to retain a stronghold in legislation, politics, and social mores. According to abolitionists, prostitution results from poverty, coercion, and unequal gender relations. They fashion prostitution as a manifestation of patriarchy rooted in the sexual exploitation of women, which reinforces gender stereotypes and entrenches female subjugation.

Abolitionist organizations, such as the Coalition Against Trafficking in Women and WHISPER (Women Hurt in Systems of Prostitution Engaged in Revolt), aim to abolish prostitution. Abolitionists point to the violent crime associated with

55. See CARPENTER, supra note 4, at 35, 49–50.
57. SANGRAM & POINT OF VIEW, ARE WE NOT WOMEN?: WOMEN IN PROSTITUTION, FEMINIST ACTIVISTS, AND SEX WORKERS’ RIGHTS GROUPS IN DIALOGUE 14 (2008) [hereinafter SANGRAM].
60. See Jody Freeman, The Feminist Debate Over Prostitution Reform: L. Prostitutes’ Rights Groups, Radical Feminists, and the (Im)Possibility of Consent, APPLICATIONS OF FEMINIST LEGAL THEORY TO WOMEN’S LIVES: SEX, VIOLENCE, WORK AND REPRODUCTION 237, 242 (D. Kelly Weisberg ed., 1996); see also BARRY, supra note 1, at 9, 11; O’NEILL, supra note 1, at 18.
prostitution, human trafficking, public health crises, and high rates of substance abuse among sex workers as reasons for society to pursue the eradication of prostitution. Antiprostution feminists argue that sex workers, who exit the trade and survive the beatings, rapes, STIs, drugs, alcohol, and emotional abuse associated with prostitution, emerge traumatized and often as poor as when they entered.

The antiprostitution platform rejects the legitimacy of prostitution as a viable means of economic survival. They argue that voluntary prostitution cannot exist because the exchange of sexual services for compensation amounts to sexual exploitation. The global labor market is highly segmented, differentiated along lines of class, age, and gender with access to employment often a function of education, skills, and social status. Abolitionists contend that women entering prostitution generally have low levels of education and minimal skills, and are therefore restricted to low paying labor. They argue that because a sex worker’s “choice” is to sell her sexual labor or starve, it amounts to compulsion and really amounts to no choice at all.

Following from the abolitionist belief that prostitution is a violation of women’s rights, many antiprostitution feminists endorse “rescue” operations, which seek to “save” sex workers from the industry. Rescue work largely rose out of the social purity and “antivice” campaigns of the nineteenth century. Throughout the twentieth century, an alliance of the Christian right and radical feminists waged a so-called “moral crusade” against prostitution. Police aided in the effort by raiding sex work establishments and arresting and detaining sex workers. It

63. See Donna M. Hughes, Legalizing Prostitution Will Not Stop the Harm, in Making the Harm Visible, Global Sexual Exploitation of Women and Girls (Donna M. Hughes & Claire M. Roche, eds., 1999); Jody Williams, Barriers to Service for Women Escaping Nevada Prostitution and Trafficking, in, Prostitution and Trafficking in Nevada: Making the Connections 159, 163–72 (Melissa Farley ed., 2007).
64. See Raymond, supra note 61, at 1–9.
65. See Matthews, supra note 59, at 30.
66. See id.
67. See id.
is a horrible irony that raids led by police—who are often a primary source of sex worker exploitation—have often led to abuses of sex workers and that some in the women’s rights movement have been complicit in this abuse.\footnote{See SANGRAM, supra note 57, at 12.} The notion of the “suffering third world prostitute” has often been invoked to justify Western interventionist programs.\footnote{See generally Jo Doezema, Ouch! Western Feminists’ ‘Wounded Attachment’ to the ‘Third World Prostitute,’ 67 FEMINIST REV. 16 (2001).} Rescue work attempts to force sex workers to leave prostitution without providing real solutions to the larger issues of poverty, unemployment, violence, and female subordination.\footnote{See TAIMUR KHAN ET AL., SEX WORKERS PROJECT AT THE URBAN JUSTICE CENTER, CRITIQUE OF THE ANTI-PROSTITUTION PLEDGE AND ITS GLOBAL IMPACT 5 (2007), available at http://www.sexworkersproject.org/working-group/downloads/20070330-BriefingPaperOnAnti-ProstitutionPledge.pdf.}

B. Antiprostitution Camp in Africa

Antiprostitution arguments have found fertile ground in Africa. In a 1991 article on prostitution in Mali, Fatoumata Sire Diakite, the founder of the Association for the Progress and Defense of Malian Women and a Board member of the Coalition Against Trafficking in Women, one of the world’s largest antiprostiution organizations, wrote that: “Prostitution is violence against women and a violation of human rights . . . . Prostitution is a form of slavery and must be recognized as such.”\footnote{FAFOUMATA SIRE DIAKITE, THE COALITION AGAINST TRAFFICKING IN WOMEN, MAKING THE HARM VISIBLE: GLOBAL SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF WOMEN AND GIRLS, SPEAKING OUT AND PROVIDING SERVICES, PROSTITUTION IN MALI (1999), available at http://www.uri.edu/artsci/wms/hughes/mhvmali.htm.} One need only survey the antiprostitution legal framework in most African countries to affirm that African societies embrace the antiprostitution view articulated by Ms. Diakite and held by many feminists. The overwhelming majority of African countries have criminalized prostitution itself and/or prostitution-related activities.\footnote{See supra notes 26–53.}

African government officials have publicly characterized prostitution as incompatible with African culture. In March 2007, President Paul Kagame of Rwanda used the occasion of International Women’s Day to deliver an abolitionist speech
targeting prostitution. He argued that prostitution was a grave threat to Rwanda’s moral and cultural fabric and contrary to the country’s development agenda. Kagame encouraged Rwandan sex workers to “go to other countries where the vice is accepted as part of development.” Government efforts to crack down on prostitution preceded this strong rhetoric. In 2005, for instance, the Rwandan National Police “flushed out” over one hundred sex workers in an operation in the nation’s capital, Kigali, aimed at curbing rising rates of prostitution in the area.

Similar aggressive abolitionist government action against prostitution has occurred in other African countries. In 2002, President Yahya Jammeh of the Republic of Gambia, citing to the correlation between rising immorality and the increase in prostitution, declared an “uncompromising war against prostitution.” This public declaration soon resulted in police raids on brothels and bars in the capital, which led to the arrests of over seventy sex workers. Similarly, in 2001, police in Sierra Leone engaged in raids dubbed ‘Operation arrest prostitutes,’ in which they detained twenty-three sex workers. In 2004, Zimbabwean officials, declaring prostitution a social ill resulting from illegal immigration, extended strict antiprostitution laws involving fines and imprisonment in “Operation No To Prostitution.”

Since 2000, Nigerian state governments have intensified their efforts to eradicate prostitution. Officials in Edo State launched an aggressive campaign against sex workers by passing
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a severe antiprostition law in which sex workers and their clients face prison terms ranging from two to ten years as well as hefty fines.84 At the ceremonial signing of the law, then Edo State Governor Lucky Igbinedion stated that, “Prostitution is not part of our culture . . . . It is one of the things that came with civilization.”85 Perpetuating the stigmatizing notion that sex workers are vectors of disease, the Edo State Women Affairs Ministry described prostitution as “a means for the rapid spread of the dreaded, AIDS.”86 African sex workers in Rwanda, Gambia, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Zimbabwe, and throughout the African continent remain marginalized and shunned members of their societies. Government efforts to target sex workers strengthen and ingrain this marginalization.

C. Pro-Sex-Worker Arguments

Despite the traditional dominance of the abolitionist viewpoint in the prostitution debate and its foothold in Africa, the voices of pro-sex-worker feminists have emerged to call for the realization of sex workers’ rights. The idea that oppression need not be the sole narrative of prostitution paved the way for the introduction of sex workers’ rights.87 In the 1970s, the prostitutes’ rights movement in the United States and Western Europe introduced the concept of “sex work” in an effort to move beyond the rigid bounds of traditional prostitution discourse.88 This alternative movement was rooted in a shared belief by advocates and sex workers that the established dialogue failed to reflect the diverse experiences of sex workers.89 As a result, pro-sex-worker feminists attempted to move the debate from questions of morality and deviance to issues of work and human rights.90

84. Ibewuie, supra note 83; Oladipupo, supra note 83.
86. Id.
87. See O’NEILL, supra note 1, at 19.
90. See O’NEILL, supra note 1, at 17.
Under the “sex work” model, the ills of the industry are not inherent in prostitution itself but are primarily products of the stigma and discrimination that plague sex workers. In other words, it is “vulnerability, not sex work, which creates victims.”

Abolitionist feminists put forth important arguments about the often violent nature of the sex work industry. However, the abolitionist emphasis on what they believe to be the inherently oppressive nature of the exchange of money for sex turns all sex workers into victims, whether or not that is in fact how all sex workers view themselves. Without question, many women in the sex trade are in fact victims—of abuse at the hands of police and clients, of societies that shun and revile them—but the abolitionist determination to turn the institution of prostitution into an evil in and of itself, clouds the real evils of violence that many sex workers face because their illicit status leaves them without recourse to justice. Only after the prostitution debate moves from a moral paradigm to a framework of human rights will sex workers be able to negotiate the rights and protections that they deserve as laborers struggling to secure a livelihood.

Because sex work is rarely considered work, and often characterized as morally reprehensible, societies often fail to view women in prostitution as women at all. Female sex workers are also mothers, daughters, sisters, wives, and individuals who struggle to provide for themselves and their families, and therefore, they should not be defined solely by their livelihood. They engage in acts of negotiation, resistance, and subversion no less than any other woman. Women experience the institution of prostitution in complex ways, negotiating spaces and struggling for survival. Pro-sex-worker advocates believe that sex

91. Lopes, supra note 89.
92. Cf. id. (claiming that a “zero-tolerance approach towards street prostitution . . . [forces sex workers] to work in more isolated areas where they are more vulnerable to violence . . . [and] reduces [their] negotiating powers”).
93. See id.
94. See SANGRAM, supra note 57, at 10.
95. See id. at 36.
96. See O’NEILL, supra note 1, at 23.
workers have the capacity to exist as rational actors,98 and reject the abolitionist viewpoint that renders all sex workers incapable of exhibiting agency.99 Sex workers’ ability to control their lives is most undermined by state regulations that criminalize, penalize, stigmatize, and therefore isolate sex workers, rendering them unable to counter harassment and abuse.100

Although this Article embraces the “pro-sex-worker” feminist camp, prostitute rights advocates have at times sought to emphasize the sometimes “liberating” nature of the industry at the expense of acknowledging the victimization that many women in the sex trade experience. It is possible for women in prostitution to experience both victimhood and agency, and camps on both sides of the debate do them an injustice when they compartmentalize sex workers as either victims or agents.

D. International Sex Workers’ Rights Movement

Pro-sex-worker feminist arguments are rooted in the sex workers’ rights movement. The international sex workers’ rights movement blossomed in the 1970s, with the emergence of prostitutes’ rights organizations in Western Europe and North America, and evolved into a crusade to legitimize the status of prostitutes and to decriminalize prostitution.101 Prior to this international movement, “never before had [sex workers] and their advocates . . . challenged commonly held notions about prostitution, offered proposals for reform, and [affirmed prostitution] as reasonable and moral.”102

Scholars attribute the official launch of the prostitutes’ rights movement to an uprising in Lyons, France, in 1975, when local French prostitutes took over a church, made public a list of grievances, and initiated a strike that demanded protection from police harassment and the repeal of French antiprostitution laws.103 The Lyons rebellion received unprecedented media
attention, which raised public awareness of the hardships that sex workers faced.\textsuperscript{104}

In addition to the Lyons rebellion, scholars point to the founding of the U.S. prostitutes’ rights organization COYOTE (Call Off Your Old Tired Ethics) in 1973 as an important catalyst in the movement.\textsuperscript{105} In the years following the Lyons strike and the establishment of COYOTE there was a surge in the formation of sex worker advocacy groups. The English Collective of Prostitutes in the United Kingdom advocated for the normalization and de-stigmatization of prostitution and opposed state interference in the sex market.\textsuperscript{106} Red Thread in the Netherlands argued that governments should treat prostitution as a profession and employ pragmatic regulatory tactics that would improve working conditions.\textsuperscript{107}

These events led to the creation of the International Committee for Prostitutes Rights, which sponsored the two World Whores Congresses held in Amsterdam in 1985, and Brussels in 1986.\textsuperscript{108} Founders, representatives, and members of prostitutes’ rights organizations attended the conferences and called for a reevaluation of the traditional feminist stance against prostitution.\textsuperscript{109} The two World Whores Congresses culminated in the drafting of the World Charter for Prostitutes Rights, which demanded the recognition of sex workers’ human rights in the women’s movement and the decriminalization of prostitution throughout the world.\textsuperscript{110}

Initially, sex workers and advocates living in the Global North dominated the movement. Sex workers from the Global South did not attend international meetings on sex work,
including the two World Whores Congresses, and early research and policy documents excluded their stories, strategies, and skills. The unique experiences of sex workers in Latin America, Asia, and Africa also did not inform the drafting of the World Charter of Prostitutes Rights, which was written by a predominantly Western audience of sex workers and advocates. In the early days of the movement, this marginalization was partially due to the fact that many sex workers in the Global South had not structured themselves into collectives.

The early marginalization of sex workers’ voices from the Global South is apparent in the literature and theory on sex work. Ratna Kapur attributes this gap in research to an “artificial divide” between sex workers in the Global South (who are seen by researchers and advocates in the Global North to be in a state of “perpetual victimization”) and sex workers in the Global North (who are seen by the same people as participating in the struggle for their rights and self-determination). This divide initially restricted the level of global involvement that might otherwise be expected from sex workers in the Global South because it positioned them as helpless victims in need of rescue.

Collective action by sex workers in the Global South, however, is on the rise. In the 1990s, sex workers from around the world gathered at international conferences to address the threat of the HIV/AIDS crisis. For the first time, because of AIDS, there was a clear need for increased dialogue and collaboration among global sex workers. International conferences offered opportunities for cross-cultural and international information sharing. Unlike the previous World Whores Congresses, sex workers from the Global South engaged in these conferences as equal participants.

A number of sex workers’ rights organizations in the Global South have risen to global prominence, including the Asia-Pacific
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Network of Sex Workers; SANGRAM/VAMP (India), AMBAR (Venezuela), Association for the Rights of Women (Chile), and SWEETLY (Dominican Republic). In 2007, Cameroonian sex workers under the auspices of the Nkwen Association of Sex Workers organized a strike to protest police abuse of sex workers. In Zambia, sex workers formed a union to promote their rights in light of distressing HIV/AIDS statistics. Kenyan sex workers formed the Commercial Sex Workers Association in order to regulate themselves. In 1993, the now-prominent Senegalese sex worker organization the Association of Women at Risk from AIDS was born out of an AIDS prevention campaign held at a polyclinic in Senegal’s capital, Dakar.

Despite these examples of collectivization from Africa, the voices of African sex workers are still largely unheard on the global stage. One cannot underscore enough the importance of collectivization among sex workers in the fight for sex workers’ rights. In order to battle the stigma and discrimination that sex workers experience from all corners of society, they must have collective spaces where they can reflect and strategize for group action. Feminists must engage the voices of sex workers themselves who have had to fight to have their say on the international, national, and local stage. African sex workers must be included in any programs designed to cater to their health and well-being. Too often policies and targeted interventions are designed without the participation or leadership of sex workers. The establishment of African sex workers collectives and women’s rights organizations that view themselves as partners

118. Id. at 20–21.
119. See infra notes 253–58.
and supporters of sex workers will provide African sex workers with the tools, skills, knowledge, and confidence necessary to advocate for their rights in different forums.

E. Pro-Sex-Worker Activities in Africa

The need for a “pro-sex-worker” rights-based feminist transformation in African countries’ legal and policy posture towards prostitution is timely due to nascent pro-sex-worker activities on the continent. In March 2008, women’s rights groups and development organizations joined forces to hold one of the first sex workers’ rights conferences on the continent.124 African advocates gathered to identify the links between health and human rights and sex workers’ rights.125 They argued that East African governments have failed to protect sex workers’ human rights by ignoring rampant sex worker abuse.126 They further maintained that government toleration of discrimination and abuse of sex workers increases sex workers’ vulnerability by driving them underground and out of the reach of health, social, and justice services.127 “It’s time for dialogue and action on the violation of sex workers’ rights,” proclaimed Solome Nakaweesi Kimbugwe, the Executive Director of Akina Mama wa Afrika, an African organization that co-organized the workshop with the Open Society Institute (“OSI”).128 She continued, “Why are sex workers denied the rights that everyone else is enjoying?”129

The conference was originally scheduled to occur in Uganda, where prostitution is illegal, but was transferred to Kenya after the Ugandan government banned the workshop, stating that the sex workers, women’s rights advocates, and development workers involved in the conference planning were co-conspirators attempting to “commit a criminal offense.”130

125. See Marete, supra note 24; Editorial, supra note 124.
126. See Marete, supra note 24.
127. See id.
129. Id.
The Ugandan Minister of Ethics and Integrity, James Nsaba Buturo, stated that: “We don’t take any delight at all in the idea that prostitutes are coming together to devise ways of spreading their vice.”

A precursor to the Akina Mama wa Afrika/OSI sex workers’ rights conference was an “HIV and Sex Work” Conference that took place in Mozambique in October 2007. The Mozambique National AIDS Council and the United Nations Fund for Population Activities organized the conference, which drew participants, including sex workers, from all over Southern Africa. The conference publicly embraced a pro-sex-worker agenda that included calls for African governments to interrogate their legal and policy positions regarding prostitution. The conference resulted in the Maputo all for Action, which calls for the elimination of violence, stigma, and discrimination against sex workers; the strengthening of legal and health support systems targeting sex workers; and the creation of partnerships advocating for sex workers’ rights.

Mozambique’s Deputy Minister of Women’s Affairs and Social Welfare, Joao Kandiyane, used the conference as an opportunity to lay out a powerful pro-sex-worker platform, which was significant due to the fact that it came from a high level official. He argued that countries in southern Africa should acknowledge that prostitution is a reality and that in light of abuses against sex workers, countries should craft legal frameworks that uphold sex workers’ rights and safeguard their
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Kandiyane also underscored the importance of involving sex workers in the planning and implementation of HIV/AIDS prevention efforts. He rejected discrimination against and the criminalization of women engaged in prostitution: “what we want is that sex workers should be seen as human beings . . . rather than the current situation, where they are exploited and have nobody to defend them.”

II. PROSTITUTION AND INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

Nascent pro-sex-worker activities in Africa are supported by a body of international human rights law that provides a foundation for the realization of sex workers’ rights in Africa. Sex workers in Africa face systematic discrimination that puts them at risk of abuse, exploitation, and violation of their human rights. They experience police extortion, arbitrary detention, violence, discrimination by health services providers, and numerous other human rights violations. Although many international human rights treaties refer solely to the welfare of “forced” sex laborers, and are silent on standards for women who are not trafficked into prostitution, the human rights corpus does speak to the types of abuses that sex workers endure.

A. International Human Rights Instruments That Specifically Address Prostitution

While prostitution has long existed in most societies throughout the world, international legal protections for sex workers have evolved slowly. Many international legal instruments reflect the abolitionist movement’s historical position of influence. However, an examination of the evolution of international instruments shows a gradual shift from abolitionist ideology towards recognition of the right to self-determination and sex workers’ rights.
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In 1949, the United Nations adopted the Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others ("1949 Convention") in an effort to consolidate all prior international legal instruments on the trafficking in women and children. The 1949 Convention establishes a feminist, antipornography position by declaring: "[P]rostitution and the accompanying evil of the traffic in persons for the purpose of prostitution are incompatible with the dignity and worth of the human person and endanger the welfare of the individual, the family and the community." The 1949 Convention provides for punishment for the procurement, enticement, and exploitation of the prostitution of a person, with or without consent. Also prohibited are brothel keeping and the renting of accommodations for prostitution purposes. The inclusion of the term "consent" in the document implies that the 1949 Convention recognized a distinction between voluntary and forced prostitution. However, the general consensus is that the 1949 Convention adopts an abolitionist viewpoint, which rejects the idea that an individual can ever consent to prostitution.

In 1979, the United Nations and the international community took a major step towards advancing women’s rights by adopting the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women [hereinafter CEDAW]. CEDAW specifically addresses prostitution. Article 6 calls upon state parties to "take all appropriate measures . . . to suppress all forms of traffic in women and exploitation of prostitution of women." The history of the drafting process suggests CEDAW
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does not expressly embrace an abolitionist standpoint. Morocco introduced an amendment to article 6 to include the phrase “suppression of prostitution” in addition to the phrase “suppression of the exploitation of prostitution” in the document.\textsuperscript{150} The Netherlands and Italy expressly rejected this move, which signifies that article 6 does not consider all prostitution inherently coercive.\textsuperscript{151}

CEDAW also represents a shift from an emphasis on measures to eradicate prostitution to a view of sex workers as individuals who hold fundamental rights.\textsuperscript{152} CEDAW general recommendation 19 includes a paragraph that states: “Poverty and unemployment force many women ... into prostitution. Prostitutes are especially vulnerable to violence because their status, which may be unlawful, tends to marginalize them. They need the equal protection of laws against rape and other forms of violence.”\textsuperscript{153} Such pro-sex-worker language illustrates a rising recognition that states must safeguard sex workers’ rights because the illicit status of their trade puts them at heightened risk of abuse.

In 1993, the United Nations made its first clear departure from the abolitionist view of prostitution in the Declaration on the Elimination of Violence Against Women (“DEVAW”).\textsuperscript{154} In article 2, DEVAW limits violence against women in the context of prostitution to “trafficking in women and forced prostitution.”\textsuperscript{155} The absence of a general reference to prostitution shows a recognized distinction between forced and voluntary prostitution.\textsuperscript{156} Since the adoption of the DEVAW, most international agreements distinguish between forced and voluntary prostitution.\textsuperscript{157}
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In 1995, the United Nations Fourth World Conference on Women resulted in the Beijing Declaration and Platform of Action. Sex workers’ rights groups, including Network of Sex Workers Project and the Global Alliance Against Trafficking in Women, lobbied to ensure that every use of the word “prostitution” as a form of violence against women in the final conference document was prefaced by the word “forced.” While lobbying efforts did not result in the final document specifically referencing sex workers’ rights, the absence of antiprostitution language was a significant achievement.

In 2003, member states of the African Union took part in a summit meeting in Maputo, Mozambique, which concluded with the adoption of the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples Rights for the Rights of Women in Africa (“Maputo Protocol”). Article 4 of the Maputo Protocol requires state parties to take appropriate and effective measures to “prevent and condemn trafficking in women, prosecute the perpetrators of such trafficking and protect those women most at risk.” Thus, the Maputo Protocol does not expressly forbid all forms of prostitution, only prostitution within the context of trafficking.

B. International Human Rights Treaties that Cover Human Rights Violations Applicable to Sex Workers

While the concept of sex workers’ rights is gaining more global traction, international human rights law has yet to provide for comprehensive protections specific to the enforcement of the rights of sex workers. However, certain provisions of international instruments can be applied to a number of rights violations that affect sex workers. Therefore, there already exists a legal basis—through treaties which enshrine the right to free choice of work; the right to be free from arbitrary arrest, detention, discrimination and violence; and the right to free
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association—upon which African nations should aim to advance sex workers’ rights.

The right to free choice of work and to just and favorable work conditions are fundamental economic rights that are embodied in international human rights law. Article 23(1) of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (“UDHR”) clearly enumerates these rights.\(^{163}\) CEDAW recognizes “the right to work as an inalienable right of all human beings.”\(^{164}\) Article 6(1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (“ICESCR”) also includes the right to free choice of work.\(^{165}\) These rights are violated when states create legal obstacles to prevent individuals from engaging in sex work.

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights preserves the right to be free from arbitrary arrest and detention.\(^{166}\) This fundamental civil and political right is frequently denied to sex workers. Police use antiprostitution laws to arrest sex workers on an arbitrary basis, often as an instrument of intimidation or extortion.\(^{167}\) Such practices violate the right to liberty encompassed by UDHR article 3 and to freedom from arbitrary arrest and detention in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (“ICCPR”) article 9(1).\(^{168}\)

The criminalization of sex work, which is primarily a female form of labor, constitutes a restriction on the right to work on the grounds of sex.\(^{169}\) Such restrictions violate the human right to live freely from discrimination. The laws against the sex industry are almost exclusively aimed at sex workers, most often women, and not to clients, most often men. The gender-specific application of antiprostitution laws is an affront to the principle
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of gender equality enshrined in UDHR article 2, ICCPR article 3, ICESCR article 3, and CEDAW article 2(g), which calls on states parties “[t]o repeal all national penal provisions which constitute discrimination against women.”170

Sex workers are often the targets of violence. Clients, pimps, and police commit physical, psychological, and sexual abuse against sex workers with impunity.171 These abuses violate the right to security of person under UDHR article 3 and the right under ICCPR article 10(1) to be “treated with humanity and with respect for the inherent dignity of the human person.”172 They also constitute “[p]hysical, sexual and psychological violence perpetrated or condoned by the State,” as described in article 2(c) of DEVAW.173

Sex workers are regularly prevented from enjoying the right to freedom of association.174 Included under this entitlement is the right to organize and join free trade unions, which is protected by UDHR article 23(4) and ICESCR article 8(1)(a).175 The isolated nature of the sex industry, wherein sex workers may be reluctant to identify themselves for fear of social or legal backlash, often precludes enjoyment of this right.176 In recent years sex workers have started to organize themselves to collectively defend their rights and to improve their working and living conditions.177 However, even when sex workers are allowed to form collectives or to establish workers’ rights organizations, they continue to face hostility from other trade groups and are typically barred from joining forces with the greater labor movement.178
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Forty or more countries in sub-Saharan Africa have ratified CEDAW, ICESCR, and ICCPR. These countries include Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, Sudan, Togo, Uganda, Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. They are therefore bound to provide a foundation for the advancement of sex workers’ rights.

III. PROHIBITION, ABOLITION, LEGALIZATION, AND DECriminalization of Prostitution in Africa

African states have adopted different domestic legislative policies to address prostitution, most of which do not safeguard sex workers’ human rights. States generally employ one of four major legal regimes on prostitution: prohibition, abolition, legalization, and decriminalization. States rarely implement these basic categories in their pure forms, thus they tend to serve as “ideal types” rather than formalized legal structures. These classifications signify a state’s policy direction, which in practice will be subject to qualifications and contradictions.

Prohibition is the most stringent of the four legal approaches to prostitution. Prohibition is the absolute
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criminalization of the exchange of sexual services for payment. 185 Under a prohibitionist system, prostitution itself is a criminal offense, and most, if not all, activities corresponding to prostitution are also outlawed. 186 The state criminalizes all parties involved in commercial sex transactions, including the sex worker, the procurer, and implicated third parties. 187 In practice, however, resources and regulatory efforts often solely target sex workers. 188

Prohibitionist legal regimes take a hard line approach to a complex and multidimensional challenge. Violence, corruption, and exploitation continue to plague illicit sex industries and are proof that an outright ban on prostitution is an ineffective deterrent to the sex trade. 189 Illegality renders sex workers dependent upon pimps, brothel owners, clients, and police officers. 189 Criminalization affords sex workers little to no legal recourse and denies them the rights granted to average citizens. Lack of access to basic rights and protections relegates sex workers to the shadows of society, where they are vulnerable to abuse and exploitation. Criminalization also discourages collectivization and union formation among sex workers, which could otherwise foster environments for safe sex practices and positive self-esteem.

Like prohibition, abolition is a policy of criminalization. While the sale of sex is either technically or de facto legal under an abolitionist regime, prostitution-related activities such as solicitation and brothel keeping are banned. 191 Abolitionists envision a world where the sale of sexual services does not exist for any reason. 192 Therefore, abolitionists reject all policies that legitimate prostitution. They argue that legalization does not elevate the social status of women but rather further entrenches
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hegemonic patriarchy and women’s subordinate position in society. Abolitionists often promote a hybrid model, calling for the decriminalization of sex workers and the criminalization of clients. The focus on the “demand side” of prostitution follows from the abolitionist belief that prostitution is the product of unequal and exploitative social and economic systems. However, with less demand, sex workers’ choices of clients become more limited. Sex workers in countries where clients alone have been criminalized assert that such laws frighten away the “good guys” but not the dangerous or abusive clients. This hybrid model may force sex workers to move into more dangerous and clandestine areas to shield clients, thus making it more difficult for necessary aid to reach sex worker populations. Critical services provided by non-governmental organizations, such as health education, condom distribution, and counseling, become inaccessible, and investigations into exploitative working conditions become more difficult.

Under regimes of legalization prostitution is legal and the sex trade is subject to state control. Legalization allows states to regulate sex work in the same way they regulate other sectors of the labor industry. In states where prostitution is legalized, governments may institute state-approved brothels or designate “toleration zones” where street prostitution is allowed to operate freely. States may also prescribe health status registration for sex workers or require that sex workers have working licenses. Sex workers and businesses that operate without the necessary
permits may be subject to criminal penalties. Currently, prostitution is legal and regulated in countries such as Austria, Germany, Greece, the Netherlands, Switzerland, and Turkey.

The main aim of government regulation of sex work is to control the excesses, abuses, disorders, and other undesirable social and public health consequences associated with its operation. Proponents of legalization also contend that legalization reduces criminal activity associated with prostitution (e.g., organized crime, police and state corruption, child prostitution, and sex trafficking); decreases the level of street prostitution and relocates prostitution to controlled environments away from residential areas; provides regular health checks to women in licensed brothels; controls the spread of STIs and HIV/AIDS; and protects sex workers from violence and coercive pimps. Legalized regimes, however, face practical obstacles. Many sex workers may not want to register because of the stigma associated with prostitution or may be unable to register because of their illegal immigration status. Legalized systems therefore may lead to two classes of sex workers—those who register and are regulated by the state and an underground class of sex workers who do not register and remain marginalized and vulnerable.

Decriminalization is the legal regime most often embraced by pro-sex-worker advocates and stands against the legal control of people in prostitution. Decriminalization is the removal of all laws relating to prostitution. Decriminalization addresses the negative impact that criminalization has on sex workers. For example, criminal records for prostitution-related offenses reinforce the marginalization of sex workers, while making it more difficult for workers in the sex industry to find alternative
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employment. This increased marginalization heightens sex workers’ vulnerability to abuse and assault from clients, pimps, and partners, who violate the rights of sex workers with impunity. Sex workers’ illegal status acts as a barrier to reporting abuse and creates difficulties in accessing necessary health and social services. Decriminalization would safeguard the human rights of sex workers, alleviate the social exclusion that people in prostitution endure, and improve sex workers’ health and working conditions.

The difference between legalization and decriminalization lies in the means by which prostitution is “made legal.” Legalization imposes more state regulations to control prostitution, while decriminalization removes all laws that criminalize prostitution. Those who support decriminalization argue that legalization essentially exchanges one exploitative system for another. Under a legalized system, women work out of government-regulated brothels, which can leave sex workers with little control over their work conditions and which can substitute abuse and exploitation by police officers and pimps with rights violations by the state. Both laws which legalize and regulate prostitution and laws that criminalize prostitution target the sex worker. Furthermore, the main goal of regulationist policies, including legalization, is often to keep prostitutes isolated and separate from the rest of society, which reinforces the stigma surrounding prostitution.

A. Contemporary Debates in Africa

Contemporary debates regarding the legalization, decriminalization, and abolition of prostitution are taking root in Africa. In Ghana, where prostitution and procurement are
illegal, the debate regarding the potential legalization of prostitution has revolved around the express desire of both those against and for the legalization of prostitution to stem the spread of HIV/AIDS. Those arguing for legalization believe that licensing Ghanaian sex workers, taxing their incomes, and subjecting them to routine medical examinations would curb the spread of HIV/AIDS. Those arguing against legalization counter that it would put more people at risk of HIV/AIDS by attracting more women to the profession and encouraging the patronage of more men. In addition, they argue that the government does not have the resources to provide sex workers with the specialized medical services that often accompany legalized prostitution regimes in which sex work is regulated.

Those who have argued against prostitution in Ghana also fear that a legalized regime would attract sex workers from throughout West Africa and transform Ghana into a destination for sex tourism.

The debate regarding the legal status of prostitution is also taking hold in Kenya where prostitution and procurement are illegal. Some Kenyan women’s rights activists have argued for the legalization and regulation of prostitution. They maintain that sex work is a legitimate form of labor in which money is exchanged for a service and that legalization would cast sex work as a viable economic option for women who may face limited economic opportunities and thus decrease the vulnerability of
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women who enter the trade.\textsuperscript{[224]} Like those arguing for legalization in Ghana, they also believe that legalizing sex work would help curb the spread of HIV/AIDS.\textsuperscript{[225]}

Prominent Namibian activists have called not for the legalization of sex work but the decriminalization of prostitution. In 2005, the Legal Assistance Centre (“LAC”), Namibia’s foremost legal services organization, declared publicly that the decriminalization of sex work would advance sex workers’ human rights and help stem the spread of HIV/AIDS by allowing for more effective HIV/AIDS prevention programs targeting sex workers.\textsuperscript{[226]} Years prior, the Swapo Women’s Council argued that “open-minded” law reform was needed in order to protect Namibian sex workers from abuse.\textsuperscript{[227]} A LAC legal expert made one of the strongest arguments for decriminalization when she noted that sex workers who are not legally treated as criminals are more likely to report instances of abuse to the police.\textsuperscript{[228]}

The debate has also taken root in Uganda. Those in the pro-legalization camp in Uganda argue that women engaged in prostitution are responding to legitimate economic pressure and should not be forced to remain silent victims of abuse at the hands of police and clients.\textsuperscript{[229]} Those against legalization and decriminalization in Uganda have painted arguments for reform as foreign imports\textsuperscript{[230]} attempting to legalize immorality.\textsuperscript{[231]} Nowhere have debates over legalization and decriminalization been as vibrant as in Senegal, where prostitution is legal and
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regulated, and South Africa, where pro-sex-worker advocates continue to fight for the decriminalization of prostitution.

B. Legalization: Case Study from Senegal

In 1969, Senegal legalized prostitution and institutionalized the health regulation of self-identified female sex workers. In this program, women working in the commercial sex industry must report to designated registration sites for monthly health check-ups. The law also requires sex workers to acquire and keep current a *carnet sanitaire*, or health book, in order to avoid arrest. The law also led to the creation of the National Bureau for the Battle against STDs and the creation of several STI treatment centers across the country.

Under Senegal’s penal code, specifically articles 318 to 327 bis, prostitution is legalized within a tightly controlled regulatory framework. While prostitution itself is legal, soliciting, brothel ownership, and pimping are prohibited. Later in 1969, the Penal Code was updated to prohibit registration for women under the age of twenty-one. The government strictly regulates

---
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locations for commercial sex work. Police monitor sex workers to ensure that they attend regular health check-ups.

Some have argued that the early identification of sex workers as both a vulnerable and high-risk group for contracting and transmitting HIV gave Senegal a significant advantage over other African countries when formulating HIV prevention programs. They also contend that Senegal’s legalization of prostitution allowed for a more open and productive dialogue with religious and community leaders about sexual behavior and its relationship with HIV infection. There are indeed some uniquely positive aspects of Senegal’s registration system including the fact that it extends health and dental benefits to the children of sex workers who comply with the registry. Although Senegal has been applauded for its legalization and regulation of sex work as a viable method of confronting the HIV/AIDS epidemic, questions remain. In the decades since Senegal first implemented its registration program, statistical reports have indicated increases in HIV prevalence among sex workers in different regions of the country. Such reports cast doubt on the impact that policies legalizing sex work have on controlling the HIV/AIDS epidemic and question whether Senegal’s policy has been truly effective in reducing HIV transmission among sex workers.

Legalization and registration have also failed to engage all sex workers in Senegal. Beneath the surface of Senegal’s visible community of registered sex workers lies a large underground
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sex industry.246 Sex workers in this underground industry are known as les clandestines.247 Many women in the clandestine sex industry fail to register because they do not meet the legal age requirement of twenty-one and are consequently excluded from the clinical, educational, and preventative services that are provided to registered sex workers.248 Other reasons for lack of registration under the government system include the lack of identity papers and ignorance of the substance and procedure of the legal requirements.249 Some women also fail to register because deregistration after one leaves the sex trade is tediously slow if not impossible.250 The social stigma attached to being a sex worker in a predominantly Muslim country is another reason for the existence of underground sex workers. Despite their legal status, sex workers are still considered social outcasts in Senegal.251

The absence of clandestine sex workers from official health clinic lists is a cause of concern for public health experts.252 Not only are these women not being treated or tested for STIs or HIV, but official data collected from registered sex workers’ monthly check-ups for the purpose of calculating Senegal’s HIV/AIDS prevalence rate may not be representative of true HIV rates among Senegal’s sex worker populations.253 Many experts, physicians, and social workers feel there is a need to lower the age for legal prostitution, but agree that such a step is near to impossible because of Senegal’s powerful religious leaders.254 There is also heightened recognition of the need to target services towards groups of clandestine sex workers who fall beneath the radar of Senegal’s registration program.255 External pressures inhibit many of these efforts, however, as funding from
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abroad has been limited by countries, including the United States, in response to Senegal’s refusal to change its policy of legalized prostitution.256

The potential legalization and regulation of sex work in other African countries would be a step forward from criminalization, but legalization still targets the sex worker in a way that may not lead to complete sex worker protection. As exhibited in the Senegal case study, legalization and government regulation may result in the creation of vast underground sex worker communities that exist outside the reach of government regulation and thus are divorced from government-provided health and social services. Most African sex workers already live in the shadows of their societies because of criminalization. A legal regime that draws all sex workers out of the shadows is what is needed.

C. Decriminalization: Case Study from South Africa

South Africa provides an insightful case study on the contemporary debate over legal approaches to prostitution and social movements for decriminalization. Despite strong civil society advocacy for reform, South Africa’s formal legal position on prostitution is one of total criminalization.257 The Sexual Offences Act of 1957 (“SOA”), the primary governing legal authority on prostitution in South Africa, criminalizes the various acts associated with prostitution—including brothel keeping, solicitation, and procurement.258 In 1988, the SOA was amended to include section 20(1), which reads, “[a]ny person who . . . has unlawful carnal intercourse, or commits an act of indecency, with any other person for reward . . . shall be guilty of an offence.”259 Prior to this amendment, prostitution itself—the sale of sexual services for reward—was not illegal.

In a 2001 appeal by three persons convicted of various crimes under the SOA, the Pretoria High Court held that section
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20(1) was unconstitutional under the equality clause of the South African Constitution because it penalizes the sex worker and not the client. The High Court also found that the provisions that outlawed brothel keeping did not violate the South African Constitution.

In 2002, the brothel owner, brothel employee, and sex worker who were the subjects of the 2001 judgment appealed to the constitutional court of South Africa to affirm the ruling that the prostitution provision of the SOA was unconstitutional and reverse the ruling that the brothel-keeping provisions of the SOA were constitutional on the basis that the latter violated their rights to liberty, privacy, gender equality, security of the person, and equality before the law. The state argued that prostitution posed a danger to public health, threatened the moral integrity of the South African community, created a public nuisance, and was directly linked to other crimes. In October 2002 the South African Constitutional Court handed down the seminal decision, Jordan v. State, which upheld the constitutionality of criminalizing unlawful sexual intercourse for reward under section 20(1) of the SOA as well as the brothel keeping provisions. Much to the dismay of sex worker advocacy groups who submitted amicus briefs examining the health and human rights implications of criminalization, a majority of judges on the constitutional court found section 20(1) did not discriminate because it was gender neutral and applied to both male and female prostitutes. The court agreed that the provision made a distinction between the sex worker and the client, but held that because the purpose of the SOA is to outlaw commercial sex, and because prostitutes are more likely to be repeat offenders, the distinction is constitutionally permissible.

In 2007, then-South African President Thabo Mbeki signed into law a new SOA in an effort to intensify South Africa’s efforts
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to fight sexual crimes, especially crimes against children and the mentally disabled.\textsuperscript{267} Drafters of the new SOA failed to integrate sex workers in the legislative process or to hold any form of open debate on the provisions relating to prostitution.\textsuperscript{268}

In addition to the SOA, municipal by-laws generally relating to public nuisances such as noise, littering, loitering, and public indecency are employed against sex workers and prostitution establishments.\textsuperscript{269} Police often selectively enforce the laws against street-based sex workers.\textsuperscript{270} These arrests often do not lead to prosecutions or convictions but often result in severe violations of sex workers’ rights in the form of unlawful arrest and detention, bribery, and assault.\textsuperscript{271} In 2002, the South African Law Commission (“SALC”) issued a report that examined the impact of criminalization on prostitution.\textsuperscript{272} The report concluded that criminalization had failed to eliminate the market for commercial sex, largely because it does not address the determinants of the market.\textsuperscript{273} It found that antiprositution legislation actually aggravated sex workers’ working conditions.\textsuperscript{274}

Despite setbacks in efforts to reform South Africa’s hard line approach to prostitution, there has been continued civil society advocacy for decriminalization. Decriminalization would mean the removal of the provisions of the SOA that expressly relate to
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prostitution.\textsuperscript{275} Additionally, decriminalization would require repeal of relevant provisions in the Aliens Control Act, the Liquor Act, and municipal by-laws that are exclusively aimed at the prohibition of prostitution-related activities.\textsuperscript{276}

At the forefront of the decriminalization movement in South Africa is the Sex Worker Education and Advocacy Taskforce ("SWEAT"), a nonprofit organization based in Cape Town that works with sex workers on matters of health and human rights. SWEAT first emerged in 1994, responding to an escalating need to address HIV/AIDS issues and human rights abuses experienced by people working in the sex industry.\textsuperscript{277} SWEAT advocates for a dramatic change in the social, legal, and political status of sex work as a profession.\textsuperscript{278} A driving force behind SWEAT's mission is to ensure that the democratic principles embodied in the South African Constitution, namely freedom of economic activity and freedom of association, are extended to sex work.\textsuperscript{279} SWEAT has implemented a series of direct service-based interventions targeting sex workers including counseling, safer sex and HIV education skills building, an extensive condom distribution network, legal advice, and the establishment of sex worker and police liaison forums.\textsuperscript{280}

In 2002, SWEAT facilitated a national meeting of sex workers from across South Africa, who demanded their rights and critical legal reform.\textsuperscript{281} They asserted that laws that seek to control sex workers in matters of personal or public health lead
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to the oppression of sex workers, and that decriminalization would facilitate progress in other areas such as increased sex worker access to health services and the curbing of police harassment. At a second national meeting in 2003, SWEAT launched the Sisonke movement. The Sisonke movement works with sex workers of all races, sexual orientations and areas of work in South Africa and fights for decriminalization of sex work. SWEAT and Sisonke serve as models for burgeoning pro-sex-worker organizations in Africa. Strong civil society advocacy combined with the amplified force of the greater sex workers’ rights movement, can affect critically needed change in sex workers’ lives.

African states should reform their legal posture towards sex work and decriminalize prostitution. The legal status of prostitution has a direct effect on sex workers’ ability to organize and demand their rights. The criminalization of prostitution makes access to legal and health protection for sex workers nearly impossible and further entrenches the stigma and discrimination that increases sex workers’ vulnerability to abuse. Thus, in societies where such a framework is tolerated, violence against sex workers is the acceptance of violence against women and a violation of their fundamental rights.

CONCLUSION

In order to address abuses and discrimination faced by African sex workers the establishment of an African sex workers’ rights movement is necessary. African sex worker collectives need the space to grow and flourish, and African women’s rights organizations should include violence against sex workers in their portfolio of activities targeting violence against women. African states should interrogate their current political and legal stances towards prostitution and question whether criminalization has made women safer. They should seriously consider implementing regimes of decriminalization, the legal system most likely to lead to the realization of sex workers’ rights.
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a concept indirectly supported by the international human rights corpus. African states cannot continue to treat sex workers like criminals, to relegate them to societal positions in which they are beyond the reach of necessary health and legal assistance. Decriminalization will lead to destigmatization and provide the foundation from which sex workers can form collectives and fight against violence and abuse.