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Fordham Students Establish Fund

By Jay Sullivan

Spending a summer doing public interest legal work need not mean scant economic compensation. Second year students in the Fordham School of Law have organized the Fordham Student Sponsored Fellowship program (FSSF) to provide funding for students interested in pursuing law-related public interest summer jobs. Funds, pledged by students and law firms, will be made available to the students in the form of grants. The first stage of the program is set to begin this spring and funds are expected to become available for the summer of 1989.

In early 1988 all second-year and third-year evening students will receive information explaining the program in detail and asking them to pledge one day’s wages from their upcoming summer earnings to the FSSF. With each student’s permission, his or her firm will be contacted and asked to match the student’s contribution. Early in the fall semester any student interested in working in a public interest law-related job may submit an application for fellowship funds. Expected living expenses, available part-time employment, transportation expenses etc., will be taken into account in determining the amount granted. Applications will be reviewed by a committee of students, faculty and administration.

FSSF is designed to fill a gap in Fordham’s commitment to public interest law. The Clinical Program, administered by Professor Jim Cohen and the Pro Bono Program, run by third-year students Beth Freidman and Ken Brazzile are examples of both school and student initiative to address the legal needs of those who cannot afford private counsel. Both programs require time commitments which some students, though interested, are unable to make for financial reasons. FSSF facilitates participation by the entire student body with minimum commitment—a pledge of a day’s wages. Its main objective is to make full-time summer employment in public interest law a viable alternative for Fordham students. By collecting funds from students the program fulfills a second objective of increasing awareness of the need for public interest involvement. It also provides students with an opportunity to show support for public interest law, and for their classmates. Finally, the program allows the entire Fordham community to express its commitment to the legal needs of many segments of society whose legal concerns are all too often overlooked.

Jackson Speaks to N.Y. Students

“Young America, come alive!” With this exhortation, the Reverend Jesse Jackson brought the crowd at the Local 1199 Union Hall at 310 West 43rd Street to its feet.

The occasion was a New York Students for Jesse Jackson rally on January 25th. Approximately 300 high school, college and graduate students turned out to show their support for Rev. Jackson’s presidential campaign. Fordham Law was represented by second year night student Adam Clayton Powell, who addressed the rally before the Rev. Jackson.

Jackson began by denying that racial violence is the dominant issue in New York City today, stressing instead the accomplishments of the civil rights movement.

Shifting to the national scene, Jackson addressed the firing of CBS Sports Analyst Jimmy the Greek Snyder in response to Snyder’s statements alleging the genetic superiority of black athletes. In an apparent attempt to distance himself from more incendiary black activists, Jackson stated, “I want to make a substantive change in the sports hierarchy. He characterized all the attention paid to Jimmy the Greek as “jumping on the mailman and missing the Postmaster General.”

Unimpressed with the Reagan revolution, Jackson claimed that of the six million jobs created during the Reagan Administration, three million pay $7,000 a year or less. “Poor people,” said Jackson, “don’t need to be motivated by Workfare, they need to be paid for the work they already do.”

Jackson was also critical of President Reagan’s Central American policy, suggesting that the future of U.S.-Central American relations lay in exporting grain and tractors, not weapons for the Contras and mines for Nicaraguan harbors. Central America should be viewed as “forty million neighbors, allies and customers,” Jackson said.

Jackson pledged that if elected, he would forge a lasting peace in the Middle East. He stated that only U.S. mediation can lead to peace in the Middle East because only an American president can offer both the Arabs and the Israelis what neither can offer the other, namely, mutual security in exchange for mutual recognition. Referring to the Palestinian struggle with Israel for a Palestinian state, Jackson analogized: “Both are in the

SBA UPDATE

By Dean Obeidallah

Things are changing at the Law School and in the SBA. The most significant change is that the school calendar will be altered for the 1988-1989 school year. Classes will commence on Tuesday, September 6, 1988, the day after Labor Day. This marks a two week delay in the beginning of classes from the current schedule. As a result, the Fall semester exam period will be shortened from the current three week period to two weeks. The Spring semester schedule remains unchanged.

The officers of the SBA would like to thank the great number of students who signed our petition against the scheduling of Fall semester exams in January. We strongly believe that the overwhelming student opposition to the post-Christmas vacation exams influenced the decision of the administration in determining the schedule changes.

Along with the school changes, the SBA is in the midst of transition. We are currently re-writing the SBA Constitution. Although many of the changes are simply updates, there are certain substantive changes. The most visible will be the expansion of the SBA’s Executive Board from four to five members. The fifth member will be an “Evening Executive,” a position held, and voted for, solely by evening division students. The goal of this new position is to increase evening student input into the Executive Board of FSSF will involve the entire law school community. The Career Planning and Placement Center will be expanding its public interest resources to more fully educate students about the wide variety of careers available in public interest law. The administration is currently considering matching in financial aid funds the amount pledged by students. Faculty members, serving on the application review committee, will have input on the allocation of funds. Nevertheless, the program will be administered almost exclusively by students, and students, through their pledges, will determine the amount of funds available.

FSSF is similar to very successful programs in place at the University of Virginia Law School and at Columbia Law School.

Questions about or suggestions for the program should be directed to Jay Sullivan at (212)247-3166.
Pieper runs the extra mile for you!

Pieper gives something in addition to what is due, expected, or customary in a Bar Review Course. This extraordinary effort is making the difference.

For more information contact your Pieper Representative or telephone:

(516) 747-4311


90 Willis Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501

The bar course that cares
**Neighborhood Hotspots**

By David McGetrick

For those students who have grown tired of the school cafeteria and the neighborhood's conventional eateries, as well as the Pub and McGlade's, The Advocate is pleased to recommend a couple of alternatives.

The hungry should settle into a cramped counter seat at John's Coffee Shop (Broadway at 66th, across from Tower Records). That's primarily because no other type of seating is available. But what John's lacks in space and comfort it more than amply makes up for in service. Breakfast eaters are waited on by the very personable Eileen, who refers to all customers as "Honey" or "Babe" (It is not clear whether either affectionate nickname is more deferential than the other). A hot and satisfying meal will be yours within seconds of making your order. If The Advocate's recommendation is not enough for you, an autographed picture of Ernie Anastos hanging on the wall attests to the quality of the cuisine.

For those rare occasions when you are already ten minutes late for class, the Greek Princess is in order. Her chariot parked at the corner of 62nd and Broadway, the Greek Princess serves the finest coffee in the neighborhood along with a veritable orgy of donuts and pastries. Reasonable prices, a prime location and an ever present smile are her trademarks.

The thirsty should wander into McLaughlin's at 55th Street and 8th Avenue. This tavern does not have wine coolers or sidewalk tables but it does feature ice cold cans of Schaeffer for $1.30 each. The regulars are apt to scream incoherently with (or at) the juke box or blissfully pass out in a booth seat. The quiet but friendly nighttime bartender, Jimmy, is always more than happy to serve a newcomer who feels at ease with the McLaughlin's ambiance.

Prospective patrons of these establishments may wish to bring along a copy of this editorial endorsement in the hope of receiving complimentary food or drink. However, The Advocate will not provide reimbursement if you are unsuccessful.

**SBA Redux**
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the SBA.

Finally, if students have any concerns that they believe can be resolved by the Faculty-Student Committee, please feel free to attend our next meeting. The SBA will post signs detailing when the next meeting of the Committee will be held.

**POLITICAL FUNDRAISING**

Bill Gianaris (3rd Year Day) was interviewed in the last issue of The Advocate regarding his involvement in the presidential campaign of Massachusetts Governor Michael Dukakis. Gianaris's organization, Youth for Dukakis, subsequently held a very successful fundraising dance for the Governor and The Advocate sought him out for this follow up interview.

Q: How much money did you raise for Governor Dukakis?
A: The dance was a great success. We raised over $15,000 for the Governor. A lot of people bought tickets at the door. Our P.R. seems to have been very good. As a matter of fact, a good number of people came from out of state. Looking over the receipts, I noticed a lot of people from New Jersey, Connecticut and Pennsylvania.

Q: How did the Dukakis campaign react?
A: They were overjoyed. The amount raised was a record for money raised without Governor Dukakis being present.

Q: Did you expect to raise this much?
A: Actually I didn't. I was shooting for $10,000 total. As I said, the large number of people who bought tickets at the door made the difference.

Q: Have you remained active in the campaign?
A: As a result of the successful fundraising dance I was made part of the Dukakis National Finance Committee and I have assisted in several fundraisers since. Youth for Dukakis and I have also helped out in various political activities such as petitioning to get the Governor's name on the local ballot.

Q: How has Governor Dukakis raised the money for his campaign?
A: He has raised it entirely from individual contributions. The Governor does not accept any PAC money. Besides Gary Hart, I believe he is the only presidential candidate who does not accept PAC funds. What is amazing is that he has raised more than $10 million not including federal matching funds, more than twice as much money as his closest democratic rival.

Q: How much has Governor Dukakis spent so far and how much does he have left?
A: The Governor has spent approximately $10 million so far, with a good portion of it going towards nationwide organization. He has approximately $5 million left with more money being raised by the day.
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EDITORIAL

It is perhaps appropriate now, as final exams and summer jobs loom ominously near, to take a moment and congratulate some Fordham Law students who are interested in more than grades and the prospects for high-paying employment. There is no question that the demanding atmosphere of law school does not easily allow for either the time or energy required to do anything other than just keep one's sanity while keeping up with classes.

Therefore, it is refreshing to see some students taking an active interest in helping those in the community. Jay Sullivan has almost singlehandedly taken a nice idea and turned it into an ambitious program whereby students who are working at paying summer jobs will donate one day's salary to create a fund. The money from this fund will enable other students interested in pursuing non-paying public interest work to apply for financial support. This program, focused on the second year class, is a way for all students to become involved in public interest work, by donating either money or time to a worthy cause.

Elsewhere, another group from the Fordham community, including Joe Vitale, Tom Suezzi and Career Planning's Kathy Brady, are spending their time, both days and nights, at the St. Paul's shelter for the homeless. This group, along with anyone else who might be interested, helps to prepare and serve meals or help chaperone overnight stays at the shelter.

It is often difficult enough to make time for one's friends and family while trying to maintain one's schoolwork, so the Advocate would like to take this opportunity to applaud those who are making special efforts to aid those who can truly use some help.

WEDNESDAY, BLOODY WEDNESDAY

The annual spring blood drive in conjunction with the American Red Cross was held on Wednesday, February 24. Not since the Double Dragon duo of Fred "Spike" Onorato and Pete "Hammer" Nehmis battled their way into the sanctum sanctorum has there been so much blood in the student lounge.

Sixty-four of Fordham's finest parted with a pint of the red stuff and were rewarded with sugary cookies, beverages and jellybeans. Although the sixty-four donors are to be praised for their participation, the number of donors actually declined from last fall's disappointing total.

When questioned by The Advocate after donating blood, Pat McCaffrey (2nd Year Evening) replied "Red Cross? I thought that was the Barsan."

Mary McBrien left the student lounge in a fit of giggling. "I talked all my friends into giving blood, then bagged out of it myself. I guess you could say I am a backstabber."

Anne Galligan and Dennis Grogan, co-coordinators of the blood drive, deserve to be congratulated on a job well done. Hopefully more members of the law school community will contribute next fall.

Hanlon Speaks Out

Always attempting to dig to the heart of any controversy, real or imagined, the Advocate dispatched Michael Parnell to speak with Assistant Dean Robert Hanlon about a variety of matters of importance to the Fordham community.

Q: Class scheduling seems to be a perennial problem. For instance, the schedule for the Spring semester was published two days before students were supposed to register. Why is this?
A: That is because the teaching assignments aren't always firmied up until very late. You could make a schedule right now for the next fifty years if nothing changed.
Q: Would it be possible to produce at least a tentative schedule?
A: If you put out a tentative schedule people will tend to rely on it. Even as it is there are last minute changes. If things were done on time, if things were gotten in on time . . . It's just like the lateness of grades. If deadlines were adhered to, as they were many years ago, there would be no problem.
Q: Students have complained to the Advocate that Federal Courts, Complex Litigation and Conflict of Laws conflict frequently. Many students who take these courses would like to take all three at the same time.
A: Conflict of Laws used to be given in the Fall in the day school, and at night in the Spring. Complex Litigation, I believe, has only been given for the last two years so they can't frequently conflict. This year is the first time I think all three of them have been given in the same semester. There is no way of knowing in advance, when something is being done for the first time in a given semester, how everybody is going to elect.
Q: Will you be able to change this?
A: Sure. Having once had the problem you will avoid it.
Q: Why are professors assigned for required courses? Many students would like to be able to elect which professor to take for required courses.
A: That is precisely why they are required. They intend to have the student population in equal numbers and assigned to professors really at random so that there is no question that student has a right to elect Professor A over B or C.
Q: Perhaps this would motivate Professors B or C to improve their performance?
A: You must remember too, a very good professor should not be penalized by teaching ten times what another person is doing. But in required courses, just as you can't elect whom you wish to take in first year, second year, and third year night students must take whoever is assigned to give that course. Even in an elective like Income Tax, you must take it when your group is assigned to it.

Q: Are students who didn't take Income Tax second year allowed to take it in third year?
A: No. Since Income Tax really should be taken second year. I think they should go back to something we had several years ago when we recommended certain courses and also recommended when students should take them. Curriculum has a certain sequence to it and you just have people electing all over the lot.
Q: Why is it that some professors don't anticipate holidays and then schedule makeup classes?
A: Oh, I think they know about the holidays, what they don't realize is how easy it is to fall behind. There is a certain amount of material that has to be covered. The business of what is called statutory Mondays, that is, where you have Monday's schedule of classes followed on a Wednesday, that doesn't always work because adjuncts cannot come on a Wednesday, they may have other commitments.
Q: It seems that exams are clumped together at the beginning and end of the exam period. A lot of students have had five exams in one week and then been finished. Other students had to wait until the end of the exam period for their exams. How is this schedule made?
A: I think what happens depends on what a student has elected. The way a schedule of exams starts, you begin with a required exam for seniors, New York Practice. The morning of New York Practice there might be a required exam in remedies which is only taken by second year day. Then you funnel in another required exam that would be second year evening and third year evening, and then what you start doing is putting in the required courses and then the major electives, and then you use the not popular elective, or freshman courses as the bridge.

In many law schools the entire examination period is less than two weeks and people take three exams in thirty-six hours. Years ago we were criticized by the American Bar Association in that our examination periods ran too long. They are going to be tightened up in the future.

Q: Why is it that a student can only change one exam if he has three in thirty-six hours? This is very hard on commuters.
A: That was the decision of a faculty committee.
Q: There is no way that could be changed?
A: No. The most important exam you will have in law school is the bar exam and that is taken over a two day period of concentration from 9 o'clock in the morning until 4:45 in the afternoon with an hour for lunch.
Q: So this is our preparation for the bar exam?
A: As Aristotle said to Alexander, there is no royal road to scholarship.
LETTERS

This letter was written and received before the Gay and Lesbian Law Association, then known as the Gay and Lesbian Student Union at Fordham Law, received official status.

To the editors:
The Advocate's most recent issue included an article suggesting the Gay and Lesbian Student Union at Fordham Law School (GLSU) has been somehow forced to hold its meetings off campus.

This is untrue. GLSU is effectively permitted the use of school facilities.

Those believing the advocacy of promiscuous sodomy is wrong, particularly at a University whose advertising exploits its Catholic heritage to the last nickel, are more likely to feel out of place.

GLSU sought funding from the SBA during the Fall, 1987 budget hearings. They received none. Reportedly the SBA President asked the Board of Trustees for advice on whether GLSU should be funded.

Among their proposed activities were several forums featuring homosexualist speakers, many of whom are bitterly opposed to organized religion and traditional morality.

Their proposed speakers series on criminal law tentatively featured non-lawyer Andy Humm, the city's leading anti-Catholic homosexual agitator. He organized demonstrations outside City Hall when Mayor Koch recently honored Bishop Mugavero and has been involved in the homosexualist disruptions of the Mass at St. Patrick's Cathedral.

Such are the speakers they would bring to the Jesuit University of New York.

GLSU should receive neither money nor recognition.

Despite the University's secularization, its Catholic heritage is inexpiugible. The Law School's student handbook states that "Religious values hold an important and respected place at Fordham."

Those values include support for the institution of the family and the condemnation of homosexual activity for its socially detrimental effects. The Bible cannot be clearer in its condemnation of homosexual activity. The Old Testament describes such acts as abominations; the New Testament, as "vile affections" which pay a "recompense" to those who engage in them. A recent clarification of Catholic teachings on homosexuality by Cardinal Ratzinger, Prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, reaffirmed that homosexual acts are morally wrong and must be viewed as "gravely evil and disordered use of the sexual faculty." On the secular plane, the Supreme Court recently reaffirmed the states' power to punish such activities in Bowers v. Hardwick.

While many homosexuals' physical and psychological problems must command our compassion and understanding, an endorsement of unnatural vice is dangerous.

The GLSU differs from other student organizations in its members' advocacy of immoral and unhealthy practices as a positive good.

Further, GLSU's attitude toward the University may leave something to be desired. At the September 15 Activities Fair, its members distributed propaganda suggesting GLSU was a legitimate campus organization. Among these was an excerpt from the Rhetorid Report, a publication which covers litigation involving homosexuality, which discusses two cases, Gay Rights Coalition of Georgetown University v. Georgetown University and Lesbian Gay Academic Union v. Arizona State University. Both revolve around the organizations' lust for official recognition and money for prop-

agogist activities.

The hint of blackmail is obvious. Perhaps, if the SBA does not eventually recognize GLSU, the organization may sue Fordham, subjecting her to the same embarrassment, expense, and harassment that Georgetown and Arizona State have endured. Perhaps the Board of Trustees should inquire whether such is their intention.

GLSU's advocacy of promiscuous sodomy should have automatically barred its funding or recognition. Such activities are wrong and endanger the common good. For example, no one knows the University's academic excellence may face some hard questions.

Is the University faithful to that heritage?

Is the University afraid to assert the moral truths on which it was founded?

The ultimate question is inspired by Fordham's advertising.

Is it the Jesuit University of New York? Or merely jesuitical?

William Bryk

The above letter represents the views of its author. It in no way reflects the policy or opinion of the Fordham Advocate or any of its members.

Gay Group Receives Official Recognition

Fordham University School of Law recently granted the Gay and Lesbian Law Association official status. The group will now be accorded funds for activities and expenses, access to University facilities, space on a bulletin board, and a description in law school publications that list student groups. The law school's action followed a recent revision of the group's Constitution, which removed the group's personal support goals, and changed its name from the Gay & Lesbian Student Union at Fordham Law.

The organization, which now has 20 members, was founded in August 1987. The Student Bar Association supported the formation of the group after receiving its proposed budget, Constitution, and plan of activities in September 1987. After a discussion with the law school faculty, the Student Bar Association, and the Board of Trustees of the University, Dean John D. Feenick announced that the Gay & Lesbian Law Association would enjoy the law school's complete recognition.

The group successfully enlisted the support of many students, faculty members, alumni, outside legal organizations, and other local law student groups. The University's decision was greeted by GALLA's founder and President, Michael Davis, as "a progressive move that will enrich the intellectual life of the law school community by encouraging the study and discussion of legal issues that affect the homosexual population."

The success of the recent AIDS & Law Symposium organized by GALLA may have given the group added credibility. The event was held in the McNally Amphitheater of Fordham Law School on January 22, 1988 and was co-sponsored by the Black Law Students Association and the National Lawyers Guild Fordham Chapter. Nearly 100 students, professors and attorneys gathered to listen to four experts address the complex and critical legal issues of the AIDS epidemic.

Appearing at the Symposium were Joan Gibbs, staff attorney at the Center for Constitutional Rights; Tim Westmoreland, counsel to the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Health; William Rubenstein, staff attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union; and Arthur Leonard, New York Law School Associate Professor and Bar Association for Human Rights president.

Candidate Jesse
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ditch. One is on top but they are both in the ditch because you have to throw the ditch to keep the other in the ditch.

Jackson concluded his speech by recounting how he and his wife celebrated Christmas. Refusing to "take a holy day and reduce it to a holiday," Jackson's family did not exchange gifts. Explaining that Christmas is really a story about a home-less couple, Jackson used the Christmas story to convey a message of hope to his young audience. Referring to the Christ child, Jackson exclaimed that "He was born in the slum, but the slum was not born in Him." Reminding his listeners that the Holy Family was rejected by the innkeepers, Jackson assured his audience: "No matter how much you are rejected you must still do your best. When you do your best, somehow wise men show up."
MR. X GOES TO HOLLYWOOD

As Mr. X fans realize, I recently spent some time in prison on trumped up charges of manslaughter and fraud relating to my television show. This being my first time in the big house, I didn't realize just how friendly the inmates were. In fact, many of them would bend over backwards for you. Thus, I was sad to leave my newfound friends behind when my lawyer, F. Lee Bailey, sprung me.

Upon my release, I decide to leave New York and head for Hollywood, in the hope of breaking into the film industry. In California, I became involved with a girl who was into New Age religion. One night she took me to a channeling ceremony where the spirit of a 2000-year old man spoke through one of the worshippers present. When it seemed that the 2000-year old man sounded a lot like Mel Brooks, I decided to leave. I went home and engaged in my own form of channeling—flipping through the cable stations with the remote control. While going through the channels, I came across the movie “The Muppets Take Manhattan.” It was while watching this movie that I hit upon the greatest idea of my illustrious career.

The idea was this. When Disney was floundering in the early 80s and no one would go to G-rated films, they formed a new company (Touchstone) to produce movies that were a little juicier than “101 Dalmations.” I decided that large sums of money could be made taking this same idea a step further—apply it to the Muppets. By putting the Muppets in low budget horror and pornography flicks, I could exploit an entire generation that grew up on “Sesame Street.”

I formed my own production company and started making movies. The first two were horror films—“Space Muppets from Hell” and “Nightmare on Sesame Street.” These proved surprisingly successful, so I just kept churning them out. Remember, making Muppet movies is not expensive, as all you need are a camera and some material and thread.

My next films were a bit more risqué. They centered around that femme fatale—Miss Piggy. The first and still a classic was, of course, “Miss Piggy in a Blanket.” This was followed by a remarkable string of blue successes—“The Devil in Miss Piggy,” “You Pig,” and “File This, Miss Piggy” (where Miss Piggy played a wealthy executive's personal secretary).

At this point, I decided to branch out into films that dealt with important social issues. Three of these starred Oscar the Grouch (who lives in trash can on Sesame Street). I call them the "Oscar Trilogy." The first dealt with alcoholism. Oscar is forced to face up to his drinking problem in the forceful “Trashed in the Can.” The second was a tragic feature dealing with AIDS. Oscar develops the dread disease from a used hypodermic needle that was inadvertently thrown into his trashcan. This film was titled "A Needle in My Haystack."

The final installment of the Oscar Trilogy dealt with homelessness. Oscar is picked up for growing and yelling at people from his trashcan by Ed Koch and placed in Bellevue. There, he is befriended by Billie Boggs and her lawyers from the A.C.L.U., with predictably uplifting results. This epic was entitled "Street Grouch."

Perhaps the classic Mr. X film that dealt with social issues was "Little Crack House on Sesame Street." In this movie, Kermit the Frog is a crack dealer who puts crack in the Cookie Monster’s jar. Cookie becomes hooked on the stuff and soon becomes known on the street as the Crack Monster. This is the film that includes the poignantly written hit rap song sung by Cookie (Crack) Master M, three verses of which are reproduced below:

"I went to the cookie jar
To get myself a snack
I wanted chocolate chip
But all I got was crack
Kermit put it in there
He wanted me to fall
Now I'm addicted
With my back against the wall
I need sombody's help
I know that crack is wack
I want to get off this stuff
And back to my cookie snack."

Needless to say, though, all good things must come to an end. Jim Henson, the creator of the Muppets, got wind of my production company and put it out of business. It looks as though I'll soon be returning to visit my good friends in the joint. I just never realized how jealous these Hollywood types can get.

But before I finished, I was able to make one last film which allowed me to get even with Henson. In my final epic, Jim Henson and Miss Piggy have a torrid weekend encounter which leads to a nasty break-up when Miss Piggy becomes pregnant. It's highpoint is when Henson returns home to find Bert and Ernie boiling in a pot of water on the stove. The title of this masterpiece—"Fetal (Pig) Attraction." I have no doubt that it will win this year's Academy Award. It is just too bad that I won't be able to pick it up myself.

Sorenson Addresses Fordham Law

On Monday, February 1, the Fordham Democrats presented a successful forum featuring former John F. Kennedy speechwriter and special advisor, Theodore Sorenson. Currently a partner at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrisson, and still active in Democratic party politics, Sorenson delivered a speech entitled "The Next President of The United States" to a standing room only crowd at the McNally Amphitheatre.

While disclaiming any ability to predict accurately who would be the next U.S. President, Sorenson did touch upon many of the candidates and summarize the entire election process. He noted that to be elected, a candidate must survive three distinct stages: the pre-primary period, the primaries themselves, and the general election. Sorenson also added some historical perspective to presidential elections, recalling candidates from Lincoln’s to Reagan’s. Discussing on-present-day politics, Sorenson noted what he described as an "asymmetry of leadership" between the U.S. and the USSR. He sees in Gorbachev, but not in Reagan, a capable leader who has consolidated his power. He was also dismayed that in addressing world problems, the U.S. now chooses to send military arms and aid overseas instead of "teachers and technicians."

Organized by Fordham Democrats president Tom Svorzi and vice-president Thomas Desidahiah, Sorenson’s forum and ensuing question-and-answer session provided the Fordham Law community with an opportunity to hear an important figure in Democratic party politics. The informative speech and the Q&A allowed Sorenson to provide perspective and humor at the beginning of a presidential election process which already seems interminable.

CAREER DINNERS

How many times have you been frustrated in your attempt to get from the law school to the Loewenstein building by the transformation of the atrium into Cafe Fordham? A frequent contributor to this rerouting of pedestrian traffic is the Career Placement Center, which holds Career Dinners in the atrium throughout the school year. Career Dinners allow students to meet alumni in various areas of practice. Students can use these opportunities to explore areas of the law they find interesting, network with alumni, get job leads and develop relationships with alumni who may provide invaluable advice for job hunting and long term career planning.

The dinners begin with an informal reception, giving the students and alumni the opportunity to mingle. This is followed by dinner and roundtable discussion. Individual alumni then address the gathering, discussing their experience and perspectives on practicing in their field. Drinks are served after dinner to allow students a final chance to mingle with the alumni and collect business cards.

The atmosphere at these dinners is very collegial and the alumni are very approachable. Besides, where else can you get dinner and drinks for three dollars?

Professor Philip J. Hoblin presents:

SECURITIES SEMINAR

April 18, 1988 3:00 PM McNally Amphitheater

Refreshments to follow

Featuring:

Moderator: Professor Constantine Katsoris
Professor of Law
Fordham University

Hon. David N. Edelstein
William J. Fitzpatrick, Esq.
Judge, U.S. District Court
S. Vice President, General Counsel
Southern District of N.Y.
Securities Industry Association

John F.X. Peloso, Esq.
Frank J. Wilson, Esq.
Partner
Exec. Vice President, General Counsel
Morgan, Lewis and Bockius
National Association of Securities Dealers

The Honorable Edward H. Fleischman
Commissioner
Securities and Exchange Commission

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION:

- Ivan Boesky and Insider Trading
- Brady Report
- Computerized Trading

SPONSORED BY THE ADVOCATE.
Which bar review is right on schedule?

☐ Bar reviews that schedule their lectures on an ad hoc basis.

☐ Kaplan-SMH Bar Review Services which reviews the law logically, covering the Multistate Exam in the first 18 sessions and devoting the second half of the program to the State Essay Exam.

Ask The Right Questions, Get The Right Answers.

See your Campus Rep, or call:

STANLEY H. KAPLAN EDUCATIONAL CENTER LTD.

MANHATTAN (212) 977-8200
BROOKLYN (718) 336-5300
QUEENS (718) 261-9400
STATEN ISLAND (718) 979-1122

© 1987 Kaplan – SMH
Prof. Arthur Miller Joins BAR/BRI

BAR/BRI is excited to announce that Prof. Arthur R. Miller, of the Harvard Law School, will be lecturing for BAR/BRI, beginning with the 1988 bar examination.

Prof. Miller, who lectured on the bar examination for more than 10 years before joining BAR/BRI, will lecture in New York, California, Michigan, Massachusetts, and other states.

The addition of Prof. Miller is just one more reason that more law school graduates throughout the United States take BAR/BRI than take any other bar review.

We are excited to welcome Prof. Miller to our faculty.

LIVE NEW YORK COURSE STARTS MAY 23