Thank You, Dean Moore

BY MAURA O'SULLIVAN
The title, Dean of Admissions, conjures up images of ogres and monsters who gleefully toss applications in the rejection pile. Fordham's own "ogre," Dean William J. Moore, presents quite a different picture. A cheerful man, Dean Moore welcomes one warmly into the inner sanctum of his office.

Any lingering fear of an Admissions "monster" is quickly dispelled when Dean Moore begins to talk. He came to Fordham thirteen years ago from Washington, D.C. While in our nation's capital, Dean Moore had been employed as general counsel for a major life insurance company. Fordham's present Admissions "ogre" gained his expertise in the insurance area of law by working for the New York State Department of Insurance prior to his arrival in Washington. Dean Moore recommends that all students, who are interested in becoming general counsel for any corporation, work for a few years in a law firm to become well-rounded lawyers.

Dean Moore has never regretted his decision to return to New York because he has so many friends here. He attended Fordham University as an undergraduate and continued his education at New York University Law School. Since leaving the business world, this "ogre" has totally enjoyed working here at Fordham; Dean Moore speaks highly of both Fordham's faculty and administration. Fordham's Dean of Admissions feels that the environment at the Law School is a caring one, which is a definite contrast with other schools.

Continued on page 8

The Stein, A Toast To Potter Stewart—And The Profession

BY CARLO ROSSI
They have no lawyers among them, for they consider them as a sort of people whose profession it is to disguise matters.
—Sir Thomas More

The first thing we do, let’s kill all the lawyers.
—Shakespeare

I am not a crook.
—Richard Nixon

Initial comments concerning lawyers are generally anything but flattering. It is as if we bear the taint of the human refuse—the conflicts and convicts—in which we deal. And there are those who do.

The early 1970's weighed especially heavily upon the public impression of the legal profession. The events which became known collectively as Watergate involved actions, and inactions, primarily by attorneys. In 1976 Richard Nixon was disbarred in New York for obstructing "the due administration of justice" during his presidency. In 1976 too, the country celebrated its Bicentennial; commemorating a collection of events which also involved the actions of lawyers. At that earlier time Thomas Jefferson had praised the study of law as qualifying a man "to be useful to himself, to his neighbors and to the public."

Louis Stein would doubtless agree with Jefferson's assessment; he is in fact have dedicated twenty years of their lives to educate the students of Fordham University. It goes without saying that such an award is "well deserved" by its recipient, but more importantly it serves as a public affirmation that loyalty, dedication, and the quest for tutorial excellence will not go unrewarded.

Continued on page 9

A Look Behind The Scenes: The Library

In the last issue of the Advocate, I gave you an overview of the work performed by our administrative and support staff. In this issue, I would like to discuss the work of the Library staff.

Our Library, which contains about 300,000 volumes, is staffed by seven full-time and three part-time librarians and six full-time clerks. Dr. Teclaff handles the overall supervision of the Library, and he is assisted in the day-to-day operations by Gersten Rappaport, Wendy Shum Lee pro-

Continued on page 9
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EDITORIAL

Blue suits, Martindale Hubbell, on-campus interviews, jealousy, anxiety, depression, acceptances, and rejections are all elements which popularize this season. Yes, this is the season of "The Job Search," a season which is composed of both unavoidable and avoidable consequences. This editorial is concerned with means by which students can improve their chances of receiving favorable responses from employers.

Avoiding a rejection is a function of two basic elements: the availability of adequate resources in the placement office, and the utilization of these resources by the student. The two components complement and reinforce each other. If either is lacking in magnitude, the probability of a rejection is correspondingly increased.

Presently, the placement office offers in the way of services the on-campus interview program, the alumni advisor program, the resume referral service, the part-time and full-time job guides, and various career panels. These services should be commended, because they are both earnest and creative attempts at dealing with the never ceasing placement dilemma. However, are they truly adequate in serving the needs of the entire student body?

Entire is the key word in the resolution of this question. Entire is an all inclusive word; accordingly, attention must be given to all the needs of the students. These needs are diversified and are based upon the individual's functional interest and academic standing. Are they being fully addressed in placement programs?

Presently, the law school invites some of the most prestigious law firms and corporations to participate in the on-campus interview program. The placement office should be praised for securing this elite group of employers. But is the entire student body interested solely in large private law firms and corporations, and does the entire student body realistically have a chance to receive offers from this particular class of employer?

We are not at liberty to probe into the minds of students to see what their ultimate interests are. Placement surveys, however, indicate interest in large firms and corporations is not universal, and in fact some interest exists in the public sector as well. The length of the student body are represented.

The second maxim is the implementation of the three programs we advocate. The programs are: the expansion of the on-campus interview program; the compilation of a resume book by specialty and entity type; and more persuasive marketing that Fordham Law School is an attractive place at which to recruit. These programs should be implemented to improve the representation of every student's interests.

The first maxim is patience. The placement office has done a creditable job in advancing the effectiveness of the services thus far. All concerned must give the office equal time to develop and implement new programs so that all the interests of the entire law school student body are represented.

To summarize: We believe law school students have diversified interests, but not all of these interests are presently being addressed. Remedying the prevailing situation is the matter which has to be dealt with.

The first maxim is patience. The placement office has done a creditable job in advancing the effectiveness of the services thus far. All concerned must give the office equal time to develop and implement new programs so that all the interests of the entire law school student body are represented.

The second maxim is the implementation of the three programs we advocate. The programs are: the expansion of the on-campus interview program; the compilation of a resume book by specialty and entity type; and more persuasive marketing that Fordham Law School is an attractive place at which to recruit. These programs should be implemented to improve the representation of every student's interests.

The on-campus program should be expanded in both scope and length. The scope of the program should be broadened to include small, medium, and intermediate size law firms, as well as public interest and government entities on all levels. The length of the program should be increased so that prospective employers will be given the opportunity to interview throughout the year. This is especially true for the smaller firms, since their needs for the summer are not usually known until the spring. Although a limited spring program is presently offered, special efforts should be made to induce various segments of the employment market to come at this time of the year.

Secondly, resume books should be compiled on the basis of student preference and sent to the appropriate recipients. For example, one book would include all resumes submitted by students interested in litigation at private medium sized law firms, while another book would include resumes submitted by students interested in environmental law at a governmental entity such as the Environmental Protection Agency. After the resume books are compiled, the placement office would send them to recipients who meet the students' description.

We believe that the timing of the program is equally important. It should be implemented at the conclusion of the fall interviews, so as to cater to those students who have not yet received positions. We believe this type of program would be effective since it gives the student a great deal of exposure in a pre-targeted specialty of law, with a minimal investment of time on his/her part. Furthermore, firms would only receive solicitations by students who were truly interested in that particular work environment and that specialty of law.

Finally, more persuasive marketing that Fordham Law School is in fact a desirable place at which to recruit must be carried out by the administration, faculty, alumni, and placement office. We recognize and appreciate the efforts which have been taken, but we urge that they be intensified. Only with such stronger marketing can the public's impression of the Fordham Law student be improved.

It is important to remember that meaningful change takes time. In the interim, students are encouraged to take advantage of existing placement services since the ultimate responsibility of finding a position still rests on them. Do your part to avoid a rejection.

THE ADVOCATE welcomes and encourages responses and comments from students, faculty, and administrators on this and on forthcoming editorials. All correspondence should be addressed to: Fordham University School of Law Letters to the Editor c/o THE ADVOCATE 140 West 62nd Street New York, New York 10023
FLS Events In Review

Pre-Law Panel Discussion

On October 3, 1983 THE ADVOCATE in conjunction with the Student Bar Association sponsored a Student Advisory Panel, for members of the Pre-Law Society at the Lincoln Center Campus. The principal theme of the discussion was: What To Expect in Law School—How Best To Prepare For The Experience. The panel was moderated by Mark S. Kosak FLS 85. The distinguished panelists included: John D. Feerick, Dean of Fordham Law School; William J. Moore, Assistant Dean & Director of Admissions; Carol Vechio, Assistant Director of the Placement Center; Gail Hollister, Professor of Tort; and Tim Brosnan, President of the Student Bar Association.

The panel was designed to dispel unwarranted fears and to provide information about the experiences to be expected in Law School in general. In addition, a second segment of the program was devoted specifically to admission requirements and placement opportunities at Fordham Law School. These goals were accomplished through an informal exchange of questions and answers.

The majority of the students questioned after the discussion, commented that they derived a great deal from the firsthand information conveyed by the various panelists. Dean Feerick stated that such a program should continue, to keep Fordham undergraduates abreast of developments at the Law School.

You Never Heard of a TANG?

"What does 'TANG' mean?" a number of students were asking the first week in October when put on notice of this upcoming event. Though the word "TANG" has a variety of connotations, in this instance it had one very plain meaning: Thursday Afternoon Get Together, for Fordham Law Students, in the Reading Room. As those who attended found out, it was an occasion of general merriment featuring beer, wine, tunes and dancing.

Each class of law students was well represented at TANG; and it provided a good get-acquainted setting for a number of first-year people. Unfortunately, while second-year and third-year men and women (most of them) rejoiced in the fact that their weekend was beginning, the freshmen had to consider the next day (TGIF?) and the tough year ahead of them. Thoughts of the academic grind were generally absent, however, for the time being. The SBA could well have warranted: "A Splendid Time is Guaranteed for ALL.

SBA Message:

By DARCY LOPEZ

The SBA had its annual budget meeting on Saturday October 1st. Representatives from the various student organizations presented their budget proposals and attending SBA members voted to allocate funds to each. The allocations have been posted on the SBA bulletin board. Although the SBA was operating with a limited source of funding, an attempt was made to give each group that which seemed feasible. If, during the course of the year, any organization is in need of additional funding it is free to present this request to the SBA.

A Student-Faculty Committee meeting was held on Thursday October 13th. Exam schedule conflicts were discussed and a student committee has since been appointed. This committee will review the exam schedule before it is finalized to alleviate potential nightmares. Another area of concern was that many of the student organizations have expressed the desire to publish scholarly articles with the ultimate goal of establishing a journal. The problem arises with regard to the funding of these publications. Although several of these organizations had included publication costs in their budget proposals, the SBA did not have the funds necessary to support them. It was decided that this year, organizations would be allowed to publish in the form of an insert in The Advocate.

Next year, if a group wishes to expand upon this, it will have to seek funding from outside the Law School. Upon reconsideration, the Environmental Law Council was granted funds from the SBA for a publication. This was due to the fact that it had a publication last year, thereby establishing itself, and had made arrangements for an outside article to be published this year as well.

The SBA office, located on the 3rd floor, will be opened at various times during the week for organizations to pick up mail, etc. These hours, along with the names of the SBA representatives who have volunteered their time are posted on the SBA bulletin board.

(P.S.—There have been no complaints about the hot dogs.)

The Dean Had His Day

Comraderie was in the air, at the first annual celebration of Deans' Day held on October 1, 1983. Whether it be at the informative seminar discussion of contemporary issues affecting the attorney, at the laying of distinguished educators, at the stimulating debate of Capital Punishment Pro & Con, or at the festive cocktail party, there was a certain invisible force which united the entire group. Alumni/ae reminisced about their days at the Law School, and marveled at how the school has changed since they attended classes.

Assistant Dean Robert J. Reilly, coordinator of the program was pleased with the alumni/ae response, and hoped for greater student involvement in the future. Dean Feerick felt that it is extremely important to provide a program that is interesting as well as informative since all the participants are professionals. He believed that this combination is key to maintaining alumni/ae participation in forthcoming Deans' Day celebrations.
The Countdown Is On

BY ROBERT V. FONTE

Official groundbreaking ceremonies for the new wing being added to Fordham Law School's existing structure were held on Wednesday, September 28 at the construction site adjacent to the Law School at Columbus Avenue and 62nd Street. Rex E. Lee, United States Solicitor General, was the principal speaker.

Lee emphasized a need to foster the present format of legal education by a transgression back to a purely theoretical method of teaching. In conclusion, Lee emphasized the importance of the expansion.

The new construction, the single biggest investment at Fordham in the last fifteen years, will nearly double the Law School's present space. The project could not have been postponed any longer due to the lack of sufficient space at the present site to adequately accommodate the current population. The original building, which was built in 1961, was designed to house a student population of 650 and a faculty of 27. The current enrollment is approximately 1200 students who are studying under 100 full and part time faculty members.

"When it was first built, our present building was hailed as a model law school," Dean Feerick said. "Its facilities greatly contributed to the enhancement of the School's reputation over the last twenty years. This much-needed new construction will further enhance the quality of Fordham's legal education and add considerably to the extra-curricular life of students and faculty."

The major facilities in the new wing will include a 256-seat amphitheater, two 140-seat tiered lecture halls and a new cafeteria that can accommodate 275 students. The new structure will double the school's library capacity while providing space for a computer center and other technological study aids. Reconfiguration of classrooms and offices will also allow for new faculty offices, seminar rooms and the expansion of the admission and placement offices and other student facilities.

The construction of the new wing should be completed by next fall, at a cost of nearly eight million dollars.

Also attending the groundbreaking were University President Rev. James Finlay, S.J., Rev. Lawrence McGinley, S.J., president-emeritus, under whose tenure the law school was built, William Hughes Mulligan, former dean of the law school, and Paul Curran, president of the Fordham Law Alumni Association.

A tour of the construction site was followed by a reception on the Robert Moses Plaza, adjacent to the law school.
Be A Winner!!!

BY DEAN LINDA YOUNG

Many essay contests are being sponsored in various fields. Winners receive cash awards and in some instances the winning paper will be published. Check the bulletin board outside the cafeteria for details. Here are some of the highlights:

**Energy Law**: the National Energy Law and Policy Institute is sponsoring an essay contest on any legal subject related to energy. The prize for the best essay is $300 for the author and $200 for the school the student represents. The essay must be mailed before January 31, 1984.

**Family Law**: the Family Law Section of the American Bar Association is sponsoring an essay contest. An essay of not more than 3,000 words must be submitted by March 1, 1984. Winners of first, second, and third places receive cash awards of $500, $300, and $200 respectively.

**Health Law**: the Catholic Health Association is sponsoring an essay contest. An essay of not more than thirty pages must be submitted by March 1, 1984. The winner will receive a prize of $1,000 and an all-expense paid trip to St. Louis in June, 1984 to receive the prize.

**Insurance Law**: the Federation of Insurance Counsel Foundation is sponsoring an essay contest with awards of $2,000 for first place, $1,000 for second place and $500 for first place. Any other contestant whose essay is selected for publication will receive $250. Essays of about 10,000 to 12,000 words in length are due on or before May 1, 1984.

The International Association of Insurance Counsel is sponsoring an essay contest. The essay is due April 1, 1984. First prize is $1,000 and second prize is $500. Both winning essays will be published in the *Insurance Counsel Journal*. Both winning essays will be published in the *Insurance Counsel Journal*.

**Patent Law**: the American Patent Law Association will award $500—and a trip to Washington, D.C. to receive the Watson Award on October 25, 1984—to the author of the best article on a subject of primary importance to the patent system. The article must be submitted on or before August 15, 1984. It must be the equivalent of at least ten printed Law Review pages.

**Tax Policy**: the American College of Tax Counsel is sponsoring a contest with essays of about 3,000 words due January 1, 1984. First place receives $500, second place $250, and honorable mention $150.

**Trademark**: the Virginia Tax Review is holding a writing competition among students at other law schools. Submission deadline is November 15, 1983. Notes selected for publication will be published in the spring, and the authors will receive $100.

**Trademark** is the University of Virginia Law Review. The Virginia Tax Review is holding a writing competition among students at other law schools. Submission deadline is November 15, 1983. Notes selected for publication will be published in the spring, and the authors will receive $100.

**The Pub at Lincoln Center**

**Introducing**

**An Evening Of Music & Comedy**

**With The Funsters**

113 West 60th Street
New York, New York

Law School Announcements

Entertainment And Sports Law Council

The first meeting of the Entertainment and Sports Law Council was a huge success. Over fifty students attended, bringing with them a wealth of ideas and enthusiasm. Many potential projects were discussed, and students talked informally and got to know one another. Among the activities proposed were:

- starting a newsletter
- organizing various seminars
- developing new classes for the curriculum

An election meeting was set for Tuesday, November 1st, at 5 pm in room 211. All those interested in running for office should attend. For those of you interested in joining the Council who could not attend the October 18th meeting, please attend the next meeting or call Brian Murphy at (212) 222-5809.

Also please note, those who proposed projects at the October 18th meeting, please compile all information (name, phone #, idea, etc.) and drop it off in the S.B.A. office—3rd floor—by Thursday, October 27th.

This new group is taking off fast and the prospects are exciting. Everyone is welcome, and we want to extend a special invitation to all interested first-year students to attend the next meeting.

A Note To The Student Body

BY ROBERT ALTMAN

“...aUSpecIFIC LAW STUDENT ORGANIZATION? AT FORDHAM? GOOD LUCK!” Over the past few weeks I’ve heard that line many times from various members of the student body. Almost invariably they would also note that they were Democrats. There have always been Democrats at Fordham, albeit unorganized. Now, we are organized and need your support.

Throughout the year the F.D.L.S.A. will sponsor a number of events. Some will be politically oriented, others more centered towards career choices. It will be to everyone’s advantage to participate in the programs sponsored and to give us feedback so we may improve our programs. An idea feel free to drop by a meeting of the Steering Committee and discuss it. The F.D.L.S.A. is committed to the open exchange of ideas and we’re willing to try something new. If you just want to attend a guest lecture, attend.

Governor Alfred Del Bello and the Honorable Archibald Murray, head of the Legal Aid Society. After some speeches there will be a reception. Additionally, we hope to start a program where a few students present topics each week, and the audience can then ask more informally about lawyer and/or politics.

The F.D.L.S.A. also hopes to have a running political commentary board. Colleagues and rebuts will be encouraged, though there are not guarantees that they will be posted under our auspices. By providing a forum, the F.D.L.S.A. hopes to encourage and to provide an outlet for debate on topical issues. Fordham students have something to say, and they should have an opportunity to say it before the issue is dated.

This is just a little of what we’ll be doing over the next year. Once again feel free to attend any meeting of the Steering Committee if you have any questions, ideas or suggestions. Date, time and place of all F.D.L.S.A. meetings will be posted and new members are always welcome.

ABA Forum Committee On Entertainment And Sports Industries

BY ROBERT BIEBSTOCK

At periodic intervals, the American Bar Association’s Forum Committee on the Entertainment and Sports Industries sponsors seminars on relevant topics in entertainment and sports law. The latest of these was a seminar on “Legal Aspects of the Music Industry” held at Boston College Law School on October 15, 1983. Four prominent music business attorneys addressed the audience of approximately 100 lawyers and students on topics important to the music business.

First, Jay L. Cooper, of the Beverly Hills firm of Cooper, Epstein & Hurewitz, spoke on the ownership and protection of performers’ names. Issues discussed included: when does a name acquire the status of a property right? (answer: when it acquires “secondary meaning”, i.e., when it becomes publicly identified with the group and its music); who owns the name when two performers have the same name? (answer: the first one to acquire “secondary meaning”); who can make the name in the event a group breaks up; can new members of a group acquire property rights in the group’s name; can record companies or managers take the group’s rights to its name; how to protect the group’s interest in the property right embodied by its name.

Next Robert E. Gordon of San Francisco spoke on the roles of manager and agent. Of particular importance here are statutes such as those in New York and California requiring an agent or manager to be licensed by the state if he intends to procure employment for the artist he manages. Unlicensed managers are permitted only to counsel artists on their careers.

Any contract between an artist and an unlicensed manager who has procured employment for the artist is void. In one case discussed, this resulted in a judgment for the owner of the recording company over three million dollars, plus interest, in favor of Richard Pryor against his unlicensed agent.

Following lunch, two panelists, Gary Baker, of Mayer, Nussbaum, Katz & Baker, and Michael Pollack, in-house counsel of Artist Records, conducted a mock recording contract negotiation. Mr. Baker, representing the artist, laid out the essential issues presented in such negotiations and what terms an artist should ask for. Mr. Pollack, in response, explained why the record company could not possibly give the artist what he’d asked for. The most heated part of the discussion occurred over the issue of whether the record company should pay the full statutory copyright fee for the use of the songs on a record or something less.

Other topics discussed included the impact of video and MTV on the music industry, the future of the music industry, and a discussion of relevant recent music cases. Generally, the discussion was highly informative and well worth attending. As admission was only $20 for members, including lunch, it was a real bargain as well. Now if we could only get similar programs at Fordham.

Advanced Antitrust Seminar To Be Held

The twenty-third Annual Advanced Antitrust Seminar, focusing on horizontal problems is to be held on December 1–2, 1983 at the Waldorf Astoria. The premise of the seminar is that current governmental antitrust enforcement is aimed at horizontal relationships among competitors. Speakers from the government and the private bar will discuss the areas where settled doctrine has been confirmed and those where new positions are being expanded. Prof. Barry E. Hawk will represent Fordham Law School as a member of the distinguished faculty conducting the seminar.

The practical advantage of attending the seminar is to obtain education designed to prevent criminal liability and treble damage exposure. The fee for the course including the handbook is $375.00.

The program is projected to run as follows:

Registration is thirty minutes before each morning session. Coffee will be available at that time.

First Day: 9:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.
Morning Session: 9:30 a.m.-1:30 p.m.
Trade price and credit exchanges and conspiracy doctrine

Second Day: 9:30 a.m.-4:30 p.m.
Morning Session: 9:30 a.m.-1:30 p.m.
The Antitrust Division

The Federal Trade Commission

Trade association activities

Joint research

Afternoon Session: 2:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m.
Joint ventures
Horizonal mergers
Joint exporting activities

Pricey actions—class actions, Illinois Brick, damages

Antitrust compliance programs

CROSSWORD PUZZLER

Send to THE ADVOCATE, Law School Office, Fordham University School of Law, 165 West 60th Street, New York, N.Y. 10023. Judges: Prof. Daniel R. Marcus; Prof. Albert E. Leventhal.

ACROSS

1 Urge on
4 Hebrew letter
6 Umbilicus
11 Figures of speech
13 Football team
15 Negative
16 Tropical fruit pl.
18 Neutral network
19 Veneration
21 China
22 Article
23 Unproductive on Cover
29 Attitude
31 College official
33 Brother of Odys
34 Paid notice
35 Three-toed sloths
36 Pigmen
39 Babylonian deity
40 Maiden loved by Zeus
41 Protectives
42 1983 Transgresses

Down
50 For example
52 Tarts
53 The self
56 Country of Asia
58 Rent
60 Cutting tool
61 Kind of sale
62 Wire nails
66 Near
67 Males
69 Down
71 Sicilian
72 Solar
74 Ceylonese
75 Working in fence
76 Beast of burden; pt.
77 King of Bashan
78 Gry of goat
79 Teutonic deity
80 Earth goddess
81 Printer’s measure
Faculty Headnotes:

The UCC And The UCP

BY PROFESSOR

GERARD T. MCLAUGHLIN

E.T., J.R., MX, GNP — it seems that the world is awash in a flood of abbreviations. Lawyers, of course, are not immune from creating their own list of abbreviations. For example, there are NSF checks; there is trespass QCF and DBA; and there is a JNOV. In the past several years, however, one may find that the world is awash in a flood of abbreviations. Lawyers, of course, are unfamiliar with letters of credit, it might be helpful if I analyze the law relevant to a commercial letter of credit transaction.

In a typical commercial letter of credit transaction, a lawyer must be prepared to deal with Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code, which governs the law of goods. Section 8-103 (1) of the Code provides that "the seller is the party whose draft is delayed in the mail and is presented 5 days after sight, the issuer-drawee must make sure that such a draft has been presented. At this juncture, however, various Article provisions could become relevant. For example, what would happen if the drawer failed to date its acceptance so as to trigger the running of the 30-day period? Section 3-410(3) provides the answer. Suppose the draft is delayed in the mail and is presented 5 days after the expiration date of the letter of credit. Section 3-511(1)(c) of the Code excuses late presentment if the delay was caused "by circumstances beyond [the party's] control and he exercises reasonable diligence after the cause of the delay ceases to operate." Letter of credit law, however, demands strict compliance with the terms of the letter of credit, including the expiration date. Case law dictates that letter of credit law should prevail in this conflict between Articles 5 and 3.

As students about to enter the practice of law, you should be aware of the growing importance of these payment mechanisms.

Dealing or usage of trade such letter of credit . . . is subject in whole or in part to the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits.

When you are dealing with a letter of credit transaction, try to remember this simple point—several Articles of the Code and the UCP may both apply to the transaction. Which of the Code Articles will apply depends on which relationship you are analyzing: the underlying Contract I relationship between buyer and seller; the Contract III letter of credit relationship between buyer and seller; the Contract III security interest relationship between secured party (bank) and debtor (buyer), etc.
Library Welcomes Westlaw

BY JOSEPH MAZZARULLI

The law school building is undergoing an exciting renovation and expansion. On the western side of the building a major addition to the law library will be erected. The library will be equipped with the latest and most modern instruments for audio-visual, computer research, and similar high technology aspects of modern legal scholarship.

The new floor of the library will contain four computer rooms housing multi-purpose law terminals. Two of the larger rooms will be utilized to administer computer training sessions for interested students.

Fordham has recently acquired Walt—short for West's Automatic Law Terminal—from West Publishing Co., donated as an educational gift. The school will pay a $1000 monthly usage fee for unlimited use of the terminal (excluding afternoon peak hours). As part of the package, West is training our professors how to operate the terminal and to refine their search techniques. After a training program is implemented for the library staff, the terminal will be available for student use.

Walt is a customized terminal which connects directly to the Westlaw computer. Westlaw is a computer-assisted legal research system designed to enable a researcher to solve a legal problem with the speed and capacity of a computer. The system operates interactively, meaning that both search terms and strategy can be continually adjusted to locate relevant cases or materials in the database.

Westlaw has a full data base called Full Text Plus which includes the full text of court opinions plus editorially-prepared synopses and headnotes. Case synopses tell the researcher at a glance what the case is about. Headnotes provide an abstract of each point of law decided in a case. With other systems, much research time is wasted combing opinions to unearth the relevant issues.

Westlaw's federal data base includes the U.S. code, code of Federal Regulations and full text coverage of the Supreme Court Reporter from 1925 to date, Federal Reporter from 1945 to date, and Federal Supplement from 1950 to date. Coverage of all fifty states is provided from at least 1967 to date. Many states have additional coverage extending to earlier years. In addition, Westlaw has specialized libraries in many areas such as Tax, Securities, Labor, Antitrust, and Government Contracts.

Another attractive feature unique to Westlaw is the classification of cases under a specific key number or digest topic. This capacity allows the researcher to retrieve court opinions based on legal concepts as well as the occurrence of particular words.

Westlaw is a dynamic research process which is continually expanding. Designed specifically to facilitate legal research, the Walt terminal will be an invaluable tool for students, professors, and practitioners. It provides the speed and versatility of computer technology to complement the researcher's skill and knowledge.

DEAN MOORE

Continued from page 1

Both Dean Moore and the administration expect the student population to remain constant after the new facilities are completed. The construction of a dormitory at Lincoln Center and one at the Rose Hill Campus are under consideration at this time. Dormitory facilities for law students might attract applicants from areas outside the metropolitan region. This fall, the assistant director of Admissions has been recruiting at campuses throughout the Northeast.

Dean Moore, during his stay at Fordham, has noted that LSAT scores and grade point averages have risen gradually. But this Admissions "ogre" emphatically stated that Fordham does not arbitrarily set cut-offs for admission. He attributes this steady rise in standards to increased preparation for the LSAT and the earlier seriousness of students during their collegiate years. Dean Moore admitted that the Admissions office might lean slightly toward children of alumni and graduates of Fordham but that he cannot disregard the academic excellence of the other candidates. This "ogre" gives consideration to applicants' extracurricular activities and special talents but these cannot be computed in any formula. He stated that the Admissions office looks for leadership potential, either in clubs, athletic activities or work experience.

Admission has no preference between applicants straight from undergraduate study and those from the professional world. Dean Moore has found that today more law students work before entering law school than was true thirteen years ago. Another noticeable difference between 1970 and 1983 is that the woman population has increased from 9% to 40%. There has been no administrative policy change concerning women during this time but the number of female applicants has greatly increased.

Dean Moore completely dispels the myth of the cruel director of Admissions. Fordham's congenial Admissions "ogre" exudes warmth and compassion. His door is always open to the student population and he is extremely willing to offer them advice. While he has no official duties concerning either the alumni or the law students, Dean Moore is an outgoing individual who loves any social interaction. Dean Moore is truly not an "ogre"!
STEIN

Continued from page 1

An example of its truth. After graduating from Fordham Law in 1926, Mr. Stein founded a private practice and later became president and chief executive of Food Fair Stores of Philadelphia. In 1956 he received the distinguished leadership award for service to the State of Israel. In 1983 Fordham awarded him an honorary Doctor of Laws degree. He serves as a director of several agencies including the national conference of Christians and Jews and the Roosevelt Institute for Cancer Research.

Most recently, he has established the Stein Institute on Professional Responsibility and Leadership which will hold its first meeting on October 27, at Fordham, to discuss: "the role of law schools in the cause of legal reform."

Mr. Stein, mirroring DeToqueville's "that a republic could subsist if the influence of lawyers in public business did not increase in proportion to the power of the people," sponsors the Fordham-Stein Prize to acknowledge the contribution of an individual lawyer in exemplifying the heights to which this "influence of lawyers" can rise. Specifically the prize—represented by a Tiffany crystal sculpture and an honorarium—is presented annually to a member of the legal profession whose work exemplifies outstandard standards of professional conduct, promotes the advancement of justice and brings credit to the profession by emphasizing in the public mind the contributions of lawyers to our society and to our democratic system of government.

Since its inception Mr. Stein has placed the prize under the active stewardship of Fordham Law School. Thus it is known as the Fordham-Stein Prize. Since 1976 Fordham has organized the annual nomination, selection and presentation process.

Each year nominations are solicited from the general public and, among other groups, the Chief Justices and Bar Association Presidents of each state, Deans of all ABA-approved law schools, law faculty who have taught Responsibility for five or more years, and from the Justices of the Supreme Court. From among the several hundred nominations which are received the award-winner is selected by a committee of nine individuals who serve voluntarily from year to year.

The committee this year was composed of Hon. Paul Curran (FL’56), Lloyd Cutler of Washington, D.C., Dean John Feerick (FL’61), Albert Jenner of Chicago, Charles Kirbo of Atlanta, Hon. Joseph McLaughlin (FL’59), Hon. William Mulligan (FL’42), Bernard Segal of Philadelphia and Hon. Lawrence Walsh. The presentation will take place during a ceremony at the Hotel Pierre on October 27.

Prior recipients of the Fordham-Stein Prize are:

1976 Henry J. Friendly
1977 Edward H. Levi
1978 Warren E. Burger
1979 Wade H. McCree Jr.
1981 Warren M. Christopher
1982 William H. Webster

Mr. Stein is pleased with the effect the prize has had on the legal community. He cited the active service duty during law school departments of Professional Ethics and his "increased sense among lawyers of their responsibility to the public in a changing democratic society." The Fordham-Stein Prize, itself, he thought had gained "acceptance by the profession as evidenced by the stature of those who have accepted the prize."

This year's recipient—Potter Stewart—is most widely known for his twenty-five years as an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States. Prior to joining the Court, Justice Stewart had spent four years on the Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. At the time of his appointment by President Eisenhower in 1954, he became, at 39, the youngest federal judge in the country.

From the time of his graduation from Yale Law School in 1941, Justice Stewart engaged in public service. He served more than thirty years in active service during World War II. He also served for two terms on the Cincinnati City Council and maintained an active involvement in that city's civic affairs before being appointed to the Sixth Circuit. Dean Feerick, in making the announcement stated, "Justice Stewart's career in the law, especially his quarter century on our nation's highest court, has been a record of scholarship, concern and dedication that his peers and the profession deserve recognition by his being named the 1983 Fordham-Stein Prize recipient." Mr. Stein, too, felt the selection was appropriate. He described Potter Stewart as "an outstanding lawyer and jurist, an outstanding individual who has done so much to raise the level of respect accorded the profession." We honor Justice Stewart for the service he has given to our society and his efforts in promoting justice and our democratic system. More, we toast him for the honor he has done us by working to remove the tarnish from the public's view of the profession.

Potter Stewart, Justice Supreme Court of the United States.
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vides secretarial assistance to both.

The Library staff is divided into two categories: the technical service staff and the public service staff. The technical services consist of cataloguing and acquisitions. Patricia Hart, our Cataloguer, and Joseph Sullivan, her assistant, spend their days out of the fastidiously to catalogue the thousands of books purchased each year by Dorothy Scholtes, our Acquisitions Librarian. Bob Cooper, who, incidentally, is a first-year student, assists Ms. Scholtes. He will be of interest to you to learn that according to an American Bar Association study conducted in April 1983, Fordham ranked thirteenth among the 173 libraries surveyed in funds spent to purchase books. Last year alone, 8,000 new books and 100,000 microfiche were added to our library collection.

The public service staff consists of Jamie Denny, Reference Librarian, Mary McKee, Documents and Media Librarian, and Douglas Cinque, Circulation Librarian. As their titles imply, each is responsible for providing a specific service to our students, faculty and alumni. In addition to responding to countless inquiries each day, this team of professionals is responsible for training students and faculty in the use of Lexis and will begin shortly several training sessions on our newly-acquired WESTLAW terminals. We hope to add two or three more WESTLAW terminals within the near future.

The part-time Librarians, who many of you have met during the evenings and on weekends, are: Jean O'Grady, of our second-year evening class, Cindy Nelson, formerly our Reference Librarian, and Jeanette Newman, who also worked a short time ago in the Library as our Documents Librarian.

Among the professional staff are: Liza Caragay, Jim Jenkins, and Felicia Abaini, all of whom perform a vital function in the network of Library tasks. Several part-time clerks and shakers spend several hours each day maintaining the collection.

Thanks to the dedication of our Library staff, there is someone on hand Monday through Friday between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and on weekends from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. to assist students, faculty and alumni.

John D. Frederick Dean

School faculty. He was one of the incorporators of New York Legal Services, Chairman of the 1969 National Conference on the Teaching of Anti-Poverty law, founded the Committee on Paralegals of the American Association of Law Schools and served as a consultant evaluating drug programs throughout the United States and abroad. He presently serves on the Boards of Consultants to the Uniform Commercial Code Law Journal and the Banking Law Journal. Professor Quinn's publications include the highly acclaimed Uniform Commercial Code and Law Digest, for which he is most widely known.

The Fordham community is proud of these gentlemen and anxious to see what their next twenty years with us will bring.

BENE MERENTI—PAST AND FUTURE

Reverend Aloysius J. Hogan, S.J., President of Fordham, 1930–1936, had the gold Bene Merenti medal specially struck for the first Convocation of all the faculties, May 10, 1931. On the face of it is a reproduction of the University seal, and on the reverse side the inscription "Bene Merenti de Universitate Fordhamensi" and the name of the recipient, with the years he served.

Present Law School faculty who retain this medal include, Professors Calamari (1972), Fogelman (1976), Crowley (1977), Kessler (1977), and Phillips (1980).

In 1984, the Bene Merenti medal will be presented to Professors Katsoris and McGonagle.

Answer To Previous Puzzle

A RED BOWED ANGEL ON A STAR STOOD ON A RUG WITH THE PIECE OF WAX MYED NED TASTING THE END OF A SPOON ON THE CEASICE AND PEAS BIE PEOPLE BIE PEOPLE IN THE BROOM AND HELMET DOCTOR CONE 3A RED
BY L. A. AIELLO
Somewhere a Child is Crying by Dr. Vincent J. Fontana. Macmillan (1983)

One infant's belly was swollen with malnutrition; her body was a mass of contusions, rope marks were around her ankles and wrists and a gag mark was evident across her mouth. One foot was so severely ulcerated that it had to be amputated.

In *Somewhere a Child is Crying* Dr. Vincent J. Fontana explores the problem of maltreatment of American children. Maltreatment ranges from mild neglect to the infliction of traumatic, even torturous abuse, such as amputations, rope marks were around her ankles and wrists and a gag mark was evident across her mouth. Fontana describes the guilty parent(s) as "inadequate, frightened people incapable of parenting... who don't like what they are." He suggests, as one step toward dealing with the problem, to start on a purely personal, humane, "reaching out" level.

In serious cases (where the possibility of danger to the child cannot be eliminated) the courts are faced with a dilemma. Decisions for removal can be made only by the Family Court judge who sometimes, for one reason or another, does not rule for removal—with tragic, often irrevocable, consequences. But as Fontana stresses "it is no simple matter to take a child away from his home and drop him neatly into some 'better place'." Keeping families intact is an objective of social agencies and courts. (The main thrust of the N.Y. Family Court Act is that removal should be resorted to only in "grave and urgent circumstances"). Questions of judgment, available alternatives, and policy may conflict.

*Somewhere a Child is Crying* makes the reader aware of (and frustrated because of) the maltreatment of children of America today. Too many children are crying; many will be silenced prematurely. Fontana urges us all to take the first step and listen.

---

**Book Review**

*Somewhere a Child is Crying* by Dr. Vincent J. Fontana. Macmillan (1983)

Fontana tells it for them.

Inadequate, frightened people incapable of parenting... who don't like what they are. He suggests, as one step toward dealing with the problem, to start on a purely personal, humane, "reaching out" level.

In serious cases (where the possibility of danger to the child cannot be eliminated) the courts are faced with a dilemma. Decisions for removal can be made only by the Family Court judge who sometimes, for one reason or another, does not rule for removal—with tragic, often irrevocable, consequences. But as Fontana stresses "it is no simple matter to take a child away from his home and drop him neatly into some 'better place'." Keeping families intact is an objective of social agencies and courts. (The main thrust of the N.Y. Family Court Act is that removal should be resorted to only in "grave and urgent circumstances"). Questions of judgment, available alternatives, and policy may conflict.

*Somewhere a Child is Crying* makes the reader aware of (and frustrated because of) the maltreatment of children of America today. Too many children are crying; many will be silenced prematurely. Fontana urges us all to take the first step and listen.
CALENDAR

10/31
Olin Foundation lecture: Midge Decter—"Feminism and the Family"
4:40 p.m. in Lowenstein.

10/31
Quarterfinal wormser: 6:00 p.m.

11/1
Semifinal wormser: 6:00 p.m. in the Moot Court Room.

11/1
Ent & Sport Law Council—Election Meeting 5:00 p.m. in Rm. 211.

11/2
David C. Rotman, specialist in career planning strategies for lawyers associated with the John C. Crystal career consulting firm, will speak to the students on job hunting issues and methods. Mr. Rotman has been working on a study of career satisfaction among lawyers and will offer his insights on analyzing the legal marketplace, your strengths and marketing yourself successfully. 4:45 p.m. in the Moot Court Room.

11/2
Final wormser: 6:00 p.m. in the Moot Court Room. Get your bets in early!

11/7
FDLSA—Archibald Murray—Head of Legal Aid. 5:00 p.m. in the Moot Court Room.

11/9
Real Estate Career Panel. 5:00 p.m.

11/10
Victor Yannacone (plaintiffs' attorney in the Agent Orange cases).

11/10
Blood Drive in the Lower Reading Room 11:00-4:30—your blood is needed to save a life.

11/14
ESLC—James Quinn (entertainment attorney who, among others, represents Dave Winfield). 5:00 p.m. in the Moot Court Room.

11/15
Investment Banking Career Opportunities for Lawyers.

11/16
FDLSA—Eric Goldstein of the Natural Resources Council. 5:00 p.m. in Rm. 203.

11/17-18
Communications Law Seminar—Practicing Law Institute. 212-765-5700 for info on registration and Student Scholarship Program.

11/18
Interview Program concludes.

11/21
Admiralty/Maritime Law Career Panel.

11/24
Thanksgiving Holiday.

11/25
Thanksgiving Holiday.

Don't Forget:
* New students: Look for the Alumni Advisor Program
* Everyone: The Advocate will run your classified and personal ads free of charge. Drop off anytime at the Advocate Office 048A.
* Clubs and other organizations: Don't forget to drop off upcoming events for next month's calendar in Dean Young's office. If you have events that you think students will find interesting, please drop them off there.
* Didn't just list them all? Something? We'd appreciate your thoughts in our Letters to the Editor section.
* Finding a job a pain? Anyone interested in organizing a student self-help forum on placement please contact Steve in the Advocate Office.
* Third-year female law student seeks Apt. (will share) or room to rent in Manhattan (prefer upper west side) up to $300/month (212)799-8087.
* Help us to make this your new student newspaper and reach out to the Fordham Community through the Advocate. 1st year people needed. A few hours a semester is all we need.

Bulletin Board Instruction
Notices not to exceed 20" x 15" may be posted only as follows:

* Ground Floor
  Outside reading room on left side: assignments and grades.
  Outside reading room on right side: for AALS, BALS, ELC, ERLA, HDLSA, FLW, NLG, PAD, RLSA, SALSA, and the Fordham Follies.
  Outside cafeteria: for Contests.
  Outside women's locker room: activities outside the school.
  Across from elevator: for SBA.
  Outside main locker room: for Bibli-Juris.

* Stairwell: Bar Review signs
  Outside Advocate office: for Advocate notices and notices concerning Clinicals.
  Landing in stairs between Ground and First Floor
  Bulletin board is for signs concerning upcoming events at the school.
  First Floor: A new bulletin board will be placed in the landing on the first floor that is for emergency notices, including urgent personal messages to students. Notices must be dated and must be approved by the Assistant Dean of Student Affairs. Cancellation of classes will be posted on the movable bulletin board outside the library. It will be moved near the elevator when possible to alert students as to classes cancelled. This board will also be used to post exam schedules and academic notices.
  Exam schedules, registration notices, and academic notices will be posted on the glassed-in board opposite the Registrar's Office.
  Outside the Dean's Office: Dean's notices.
  Outside Admissions Office (Room 122): Financial Aid notices.
  Placement notices will be on boards outside and inside the Placement Office.
  Landing in stairs between First and Second Floor
  Bulletin board is for signs concerning upcoming events at the school.
  Second Floor
  Opposite elevator (glassed-in board): Registrar's office and Placement office.
  Outside Law Review Library: Housing notices.
  Outside ILJ office: ILJ notices.
  Third Floor

Outside ULJ: ULJ notices
Outside Moot Court Office: Moot Court notices.

Notices not in compliance with these rules will be removed.

Survey
The editors of the Advocate are interested in feedback from its readers. We would appreciate it if you would fill out the following questionnaire and submit your answers in the envelope on the door of the Advocate's office.

1. What do you presently like about the Advocate?

2. What do you think are the most immediate needs for improvement?

3. What would you like to see more of?

*4. (Optional) Which is the best (and worst) of the following: New Jersey, Connecticut, Long Island?

Environmental Law Council Speaker: Victor Yannacone, Jr.

Interested in hearing from those in the forefront of today's law? Come hear Victor Yannacone, Jr. discuss his efforts on behalf of Vietnam veterans who are suing chemical companies for birth defects, cancer and other illnesses allegedly caused by Agent Orange. Mr. Yannacone will also speak about his career as the 'father of environmental law.' This fascinating career has encompassed: banning the use of DDT; founding the Environmental Defense Fund; and co-authoring the book, Environmental Rights and Remedies.

The Placement Office and the Environmental Law Council are presenting Mr. Yannacone Thursday, November 10, at 5 p.m. in the Moot Court Room. Other law schools in the New York area are invited. Don't miss this opportunity to hear a courageous litigator and inspiring speaker!

WORD PROCESSING

TYPING & PROOFREADING

SPECIALIZING IN

- Reports
- Thesis
- Dissertations
- Resumes
- Personalized Letters
- Fast, Accurate, Affordable, Dependable

TWIN TOWERS OFFICE SERVICES

(212) 608-6346
(212) 758-2000
Last year, more than 4,300 persons studying for the New York Bar Exam took BAR/BRI. Far more than all other bar review courses combined.

They did so because:

- BAR/BRI offers the maximum scheduling flexibility of any New York course. In Midtown Manhattan, only BAR/BRI has consistently offered two live sessions (morning and evening) during the summer course. Afternoon videotape replays are available. In our larger locations outside Manhattan, we offer videotape instead of audiotape.
- Locations already guaranteed videotape for Summer 1984 include: Albany, Boston/Cambridge area, Buffalo, Hempstead, Ithaca, NYU/ Cardozo area, Queens County, Syracuse, Washington D.C., and Westchester County.

BAR/BRI professors are more than just experts on substantive law. They have accurately forecast many of the questions appearing on past New York and Multistate bar examinations. The faculty is composed of prominent lecturers on New York law, Multistate law and the New York Bar Examination. The 1984 faculty will include:

  - Prof. Richard Conviser, BAR/BRI Staff
  - Prof. David Epstein, U. of Texas Law
  - Prof. Richard Harbus, Touro Law
  - Prof. John Jeffries, U. of Virginia Law
  - Prof. Stanley Johanson, U. of Texas Law
  - Prof. John Moya, BAR/BRI Staff
  - Prof. Alan Resnick, Hofstra Law
  - Prof. Faust Rossi, Cornell Law
  - Prof. Robert Scott, U. of Virginia Law
  - Prof. Michael Spak, BAR/BRI Staff
  - Prof. Geogene Vairo, Fordham Law
  - Prof. William Watkins, Albany Law
  - Prof. Charles Whitebread, USC Law
  - Prof. Irving Younger, Practicing Attorney
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BAR/BRI offers a special "Take 2 Bar Exams"™ program. This program allows students to be admitted to the New York Bar and another Multistate Bar.

BAR/BRI offers a free transfer policy. If a student signs up for New York, does not mark his or her books and elects to take another state bar instead, all monies paid will be transferred to the BAR/BRI course in that state.

BAR/BRI offers the widest selection of course sites and allows students to freely switch locations. Anticipated course locations for 1984 include:

  - Albany
  - Ann Arbor
  - Boston
  - Brooklyn
  - Buffalo
  - Cambridge
  - Charlottesville
  - Chicago
  - Durham
  - Fire Island
  - Hempstead
  - Ithaca
  - Manhattan (Midtown)
  - Manhattan (NYU/Cardozo area)
  - New Haven
  - Newark
  - Philadelphia
  - Queens County
  - Rochester
  - Staten Island
  - Suffolk County
  - Syracuse
  - Washington, D.C.
  - Westchester County
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New York's Number One Bar Review.